

HESS CORPORATION

2021 ANNUAL REPORT



| Our Company

Hess Corporation is a leading global independent energy company engaged in the exploration and production of crude oil and natural gas.

Our company's purpose is to be the world's most trusted energy partner. We are committed to meeting the highest standards of corporate citizenship by protecting the health and safety of our employees, safeguarding the environment and making a positive impact on the communities where we operate.

| Table of Contents

1

Financial and Operating Highlights

2

Letter to Shareholders

5

Global Operations

9

Sustainability

12

Board of Directors and Corporate Officers

Financial and Operating Highlights

Hess Corporation

Amounts in millions, except per share data

FINANCIAL — for the year	2021	2020
Sales and other operating revenues	\$ 7,473	\$ 4,667
Net income (loss) attributable to Hess Corporation	\$ 559	\$ (3,093)
Net income (loss) per share diluted	\$ 1.81	\$ (10.15)
Common stock dividends per share	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.00
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 2,890	\$ 1,333
Exploration & Production capital and exploratory expenditures	\$ 1,829	\$ 1,786
Midstream capital expenditures	\$ 183	\$ 253
Weighted average diluted shares outstanding	309.30	304.80

FINANCIAL — at year end	2021	2020
Total assets	\$ 20,515	\$ 18,821
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,713	\$ 1,739
Total debt and finance lease obligations	\$ 8,677	\$ 8,534
Total equity	\$ 7,026	\$ 6,335
Debt to capitalization ratio for debt covenants ^(a)	42.30%	47.50%
Common stock price	\$ 74.03	\$ 52.79

OPERATING — for the year	2021	2020
Net production		
Crude oil and natural gas liquids (thousands of barrels per day)		
United States	162	206
International	54	33
Total	216	239
Natural gas (millions of cubic feet per day)		
United States	234	256
International	357	298
Total	591	554
Barrels of oil equivalent (thousands of barrels per day)	315	331

(a) Total debt (including finance lease obligations and excluding Midstream nonrecourse debt) as a percentage of Total Capitalization of Hess Corporation as defined under Hess Corporation's term loan and revolving credit facility financial covenants. Total Capitalization excludes the impact of noncash impairment charges and noncontrolling interests.

Letter to Shareholders

In 2021, our company continued to successfully execute our strategy and achieve strong operational performance. We are proud of our employees for their commitment to keeping our workplace and the communities where we operate safe throughout the COVID-19 pandemic.

Our strategy has been and continues to be to deliver high return resource growth, a low cost of supply and industry leading cash flow growth. We have built a differentiated portfolio offering a unique value proposition – delivering durable cash flow growth that enables us to invest in some of the highest return projects in the industry and also to grow cash returns to our shareholders.

In terms of resource growth, we have been disciplined in allocating capital to the best rocks for the best returns. Our portfolio is focused on the Bakken, the deepwater Gulf of Mexico, Southeast Asia and Guyana, with its multiple phases of low cost oil developments, and we expect all four of these assets to be free cash flow generative in 2022. Our 2022 capital and exploratory budget is \$2.6 billion, of which approximately 80% will be allocated to Guyana and the Bakken.

Because of the investments we are making, we are well positioned to significantly lower our cost of supply. By 2026, our cash costs are forecast to decline approximately 25% compared with 2021 to \$9 per barrel of oil equivalent. In addition, our portfolio's breakeven oil price is expected to be one of the lowest in the industry by 2026, decreasing to \$45 per barrel Brent.

In terms of cash flow growth, we have an industry leading rate of change and durability story. Our company is positioned to grow our cash flow at a compound rate of 25% per year out to 2026 based on a Brent oil price of \$65 per barrel.

Our second offshore development in Guyana, Liza Phase 2, began producing oil in February 2022 and, at capacity, is expected to add more than \$1 billion of net operating cash

flow annually at \$65 per barrel Brent. Following startup, we repaid the remaining \$500 million of our term loan, and in March 2022, we announced a 50% increase in our quarterly dividend. As our portfolio becomes increasingly free cash flow positive in the coming years, we commit to return up to 75% of our adjusted free cash flow annually to shareholders by continuing to grow the dividend and accelerating share repurchases.

Key to our strategy is Guyana, the largest new oil province discovered in the last decade, which is positioned to be one of the highest margin, lowest carbon intensity oil developments in the world according to Wood Mackenzie data. On the Stabroek Block, where Hess has a 30% interest and ExxonMobil is the operator, we continued our extraordinary string of exploration successes with five significant discoveries in 2021 and two additional significant discoveries in January 2022. Our four sanctioned oil developments on the block have world class economics, with a Brent breakeven oil price of between \$25 and \$35 per barrel. In 2027, at least six floating production, storage and offloading vessels (FPSOs) are expected to be online on the Stabroek Block with a production capacity of more than 1 million gross barrels of oil per day, with the potential for up to 10 FPSOs to develop the gross discovered recoverable resources of more than 10 billion barrels of oil equivalent.

The Bakken, our largest operated asset, remains an important part of our portfolio with a robust inventory of high return future drilling locations. In 2021, with continued strength in oil prices, we added a second and third rig, which will enable us to accelerate cash flow growth, lower our unit cash costs and further optimize our infrastructure.

Turning to our 2021 financial results, our adjusted net income was \$677 million compared with an adjusted net loss of \$894 million in 2020, primarily due to higher realized prices. Cash flow from operations, before changes in working capital, was \$3.0 billion, compared with \$1.8 billion in the prior year.

Proved reserves at the end of 2021 stood at 1.31 billion barrels of oil equivalent, up from 1.17 billion barrels of oil equivalent at the end of 2020. Net proved reserve additions in 2021 totaled 348 million barrels of oil equivalent, including positive net price revisions of 107 million barrels of oil equivalent, resulting in an overall 2021 production replacement ratio of 295% at a finding and development cost of approximately \$5.25 per barrel of oil equivalent.

SUSTAINABILITY

As we continue to execute our strategy, our company will be guided by our longstanding commitment to sustainability. Our strategy aligns with the world's growing need for affordable, reliable and cleaner energy that is necessary for human prosperity and global economic development. We recognize that climate change is the greatest scientific challenge of the 21st century and support the aim of the Paris Agreement and a global ambition to achieve net zero emissions by 2050. Our business planning includes actions we will undertake to continue reducing our carbon footprint.

Our Board of Directors is climate change literate and actively engaged in overseeing Hess' sustainability practices. We are committed to transparency – our strategy and reporting are closely aligned with the recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures.

Our Board and senior leadership have set aggressive targets for greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduction. After our company significantly outperformed our five year emissions reduction targets for 2020, we announced new five year GHG reduction targets for 2025, which are to reduce operated Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions intensity and methane emissions intensity by approximately 50% from 2017 and to eliminate routine flaring from our operations by the end of 2025. In addition, we are contributing to groundbreaking work by the Salk Institute to develop plants with larger root systems that are capable of absorbing and storing potentially billions of tons of carbon per year from the atmosphere.

We have tested the resilience of Hess' portfolio under the supply and demand scenarios from the International Energy Agency (IEA). In the IEA's Sustainable Development Scenario, which assumes all the pledges of the Paris Agreement are met, oil and gas will still be 40% of the global energy mix in 2040. In the IEA's newest Net Zero Scenario, oil and gas will still be 29% of the energy mix in 2040. In either scenario, oil and gas will be needed for decades to come. Hess' strategic priorities – to grow our resource base, have a low cost of supply and sustain cash flow growth – are aligned with the energy transition needed to achieve the IEA's scenarios and position us well for the coming decades.


We are honored to have been recognized throughout 2021 as an industry leader in our environmental, social and governance performance and disclosure. In keeping with our Hess Values, we are committed to diversity, equity and inclusion, which we believe creates value for all of our stakeholders, and to the safety of our workforce and the communities where we operate. A multidisciplinary team continues to update our COVID-19 prevention protocols based on current conditions and guidance from public health authorities and our medical advisors.

COMMITMENT TO SHAREHOLDERS

We are proud of our company's 2021 performance and grateful for the counsel of our Directors and the dedication of our employees. Thank you, our shareholders, for your continued support and interest in our company.



James H. Quigley
Chairman of the Board



John B. Hess
Chief Executive Officer

April 2022



Global Operations

PRODUCTION

In 2021, net production averaged 315,000 barrels of oil equivalent per day, including Libya, compared with 331,000 barrels of oil equivalent per day in 2020. The year over year decrease was primarily the result of lower Bakken production and the sale of our interests in the Shenzi Field in the Gulf of Mexico in 2020 and the South Arne Field in Denmark in 2021, which was partially offset by higher production from the Liza Phase 1 development in Guyana and more stable operations in Libya.

In the Bakken, Hess' operated rig count averaged 2.3 in 2021, compared with 2.6 in 2020. As West Texas Intermediate oil prices moved above \$50 per barrel, the company added a second operated rig in February 2021 and a third operated rig in September 2021. We brought 51 new wells on production in 2021, compared with 111 wells in 2020. Net production from the Bakken averaged 156,000 barrels of oil equivalent per day in 2021, compared with 193,000 barrels of oil equivalent per day in 2020. The decrease was due to a lower average rig count, higher prices for natural gas liquids that increased the company's earnings and cash flow but lowered production entitlements under the company's percentage of proceeds contracts, the planned turnaround of the Tioga Gas Plant, and the sale of the company's Little Knife and Murphy Creek nonstrategic acreage interests.

We reduced our average drilling and completion costs in the Bakken to \$5.8 million per well in 2021, down from \$6.2 million in 2020. Through the continued application of technology and Lean manufacturing techniques, we expect to offset forecasted inflation in 2022 and maintain average drilling and completion costs at approximately \$5.8 million per well. We continue to optimize the development of our acreage through a combination of well spacing and well completion design. The overall productivity of wells drilled in 2022 is forecast to be in line with the 2021 program, with average 180 day initial production rates of approximately 120,000 barrels of oil. Our estimate of net ultimate recovery from our Bakken acreage is approximately 2.2 billion barrels of oil equivalent, with approximately 1.8 billion barrels yet to be produced.

Offshore Guyana, production from the Liza Phase I development on the Stabroek Block (30% interest) averaged 117,000 gross barrels of oil per day for the full year, compared with 74,000 gross barrels of oil per day for the full year in 2020. Net production averaged 30,000 barrels of oil per day in 2021, compared with 20,000 barrels of oil per day in 2020.

In the deepwater Gulf of Mexico, net production averaged 45,000 barrels of oil equivalent per day in 2021, compared with 56,000 barrels of oil equivalent per day in 2020. This decrease was primarily due to the sale of our interests in the Shenzi Field in the fourth quarter of 2020 and natural well declines.



Production Operations | North Dakota



Offshore Operations | Gulf of Mexico

In Southeast Asia, net production in 2021 from the Hess operated North Malay Basin development project (50% working interest) and the Carigali Hess operated Malaysia/Thailand Joint Development Area (50% working interest) averaged 61,000 barrels of oil equivalent per day, compared with 52,000 barrels of oil equivalent per day in 2020. Production at both assets increased as demand recovered from the impacts of COVID-19.

In the Danish North Sea, net production from the South Arne Field (61.5% working interest, operator) averaged 3,000 barrels of oil equivalent per day in 2021, compared with 6,000 barrels of oil equivalent per day in 2020. In the first quarter of 2021, we announced the sale of our interests in Denmark and the transaction closed in August 2021.

Net production from Libya averaged 20,000 barrels of oil equivalent per day in 2021, compared with 4,000 barrels of oil equivalent in 2020 when production operations were largely shut in due to civil unrest.

DEVELOPMENTS

Offshore Guyana, Hess holds a 30% interest in the 6.6 million acre Stabroek Block. Esso Exploration and Production Guyana Limited, a subsidiary of ExxonMobil, is operator and holds a 45% interest. CNOOC Petroleum Guyana Limited, a wholly owned subsidiary of CNOOC Limited, holds the remaining 25% interest.

First oil from the Liza Phase 2 development was achieved in February 2022, utilizing the Liza Unity floating production, storage and offloading vessel (FPSO) with production capacity of approximately 220,000 gross barrels of oil per day. A third development at the Payara Field, also with production capacity of 220,000 gross barrels of oil per day, was sanctioned in September 2020 with first oil expected in 2024. Our three sanctioned oil developments on the block have a Brent breakeven oil price of between \$25 and \$35 per barrel.

A fourth development at the Yellowtail Field will be the largest to date on the Stabroek Block, developing nearly 1 billion barrels of oil, with a production capacity of approximately 250,000 gross barrels of oil per day. The Yellowtail development is on track to achieve first oil in 2025.

We continue to see the potential for at least six FPSOs on the Stabroek Block in 2027 with a production capacity of more than 1 million gross barrels of oil per day and up to 10 FPSOs to develop the discovered resources on the block.

EXPLORATION

At the Stabroek Block, offshore Guyana, Hess has participated in 21 major discoveries through the end of 2021, and gross discovered recoverable resources are currently estimated to be more than 10 billion barrels of oil equivalent.

In April 2021, Hess announced an oil discovery at the Uaru-2 well. The Uaru-2 well encountered approximately 120 feet of high quality, oil bearing sandstone reservoirs, including newly identified intervals below the original Uaru-1 discovery. The well was drilled in 5,659 feet of water and is located approximately 6.8 miles south of the Uaru-1 well.

Another discovery at the Longtail-3 well was announced in June 2021. Drilling at Longtail-3 encountered 230 feet of net pay, including a newly identified high quality, hydrocarbon bearing reservoir below the original Longtail-1 discovery intervals. The well was drilled in more than 6,100 feet of water and is located approximately 2 miles south of the Longtail-1 well.

The Whiptail-1 discovery well encountered 246 feet of net pay in a high quality, oil bearing sandstone reservoir. A second Whiptail well, Whiptail-2, which is located 3 miles northeast of Whiptail-1, encountered 167 feet of net pay in a high quality, oil bearing sandstone reservoir. The Whiptail discovery is located approximately 4 miles southeast of the Uaru-1 discovery announced in January 2020 and approximately 3 miles west of the Yellowtail Field.

In September 2021, an additional discovery was made with the Pinktail-1 well. The Pinktail well encountered 220 feet of net pay in a high quality, oil bearing sandstone reservoirs. Pinktail was drilled in 5,938 feet of water and is located approximately 21.7 miles southeast of the Liza Phase 1 development and 3.7 miles southeast of Yellowtail-1.

In addition to successfully appraising the Turbot discovery, the Turbot-2 well encountered 43 feet of net pay in newly identified high quality, oil bearing sandstone reservoirs separate from the 75 feet of high quality, oil bearing sandstone reservoir pay encountered in the original Turbot-1 discovery well. The Turbot-2 discovery was drilled in 5,790 feet of water and is located approximately 37 miles southeast of the Liza Phase 1 development and 2.5 miles from Turbot-1.

Another significant discovery was made on the Stabroek Block at the Cataback-1 well in October 2021. The well encountered 243 feet of net pay in a high quality, hydrocarbon bearing sandstone reservoir of which 102 feet is oil bearing. Cataback was drilled in 5,928 feet of water and is located approximately 3.7 miles east of Turbot-1.

An active exploration and appraisal program is planned in Guyana in 2022 that will include drilling approximately 12 wells. Other 2022 exploration drilling will include the Zanderij-1 exploration well on Block 42 in Suriname and the Huron-1 exploration well on Green Canyon Block 69 in the deepwater Gulf of Mexico.



Liza Unity FPSO | Guyana



789
9.35
8.355
7.352
63.5
6.52
55
57

Sustainability

Our company's purpose is to be the world's most trusted energy partner. This year we will publish our 25th annual sustainability report, demonstrating our longstanding commitment to sustainability and the value we believe it creates for all of our stakeholders.

Sustainability starts at the top of our company and is reinforced at every level. Our Board of Directors is climate change literate and actively engaged in overseeing Hess' environment, health, safety and social responsibility (EHS & SR) practices, working alongside senior management.

ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE

Climate change is one of the greatest scientific challenges of the 21st century. We believe climate risks can and should be addressed while at the same time meeting the growing demand for safe, affordable and reliable energy, which is necessary to ensure a just and secure energy transition in the context of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. Governments, businesses and civil society must work together on cost effective policies to meet this dual challenge, and we support transparent carbon pricing as an economically efficient method for managing carbon emissions.

We support the aim of the Paris Agreement and a global ambition to achieve net zero emissions by 2050. Our climate strategy is closely aligned with the recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) established by the G20 Financial Stability Board, and its implementation is led by senior members of our leadership team with oversight by our Board. As part of our commitment to implement a substantive climate strategy, an executive led taskforce is currently developing a plan for our company to achieve net zero Scope 1 and 2 emissions.

Our Board and senior leadership have set aggressive targets for greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduction. After our company significantly outperformed our five year emissions reduction targets for 2020, we set new five year GHG reduction targets for 2025, which are to reduce operated Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions intensity by approximately 50% from 2017 to 17 kg/BOE and methane emissions intensity by approximately 50% from 2017 to an intensity of 0.19%. We also endorsed the World Bank's "Zero Routine Flaring by 2030"

initiative with a commitment to achieve zero routine flaring from our operations by the end of 2025. These targets are designed to exceed the carbon intensity reductions by 2030 in the International Energy Agency's (IEA) Sustainable Development and Net Zero Scenarios, which are consistent with the Paris Agreement's aim to limit the global average temperature rise to well below 2°C. Our progress against these new targets will be shared in our sustainability report.

Continued flaring reduction, particularly from our Bakken operations, is a key driver for reducing our GHG emissions intensity. For that reason, Bakken flaring reduction was one of the performance metrics in our company's 2021 annual incentive plan with a target to achieve a 7% flaring rate from our operated Bakken wells and pads as reported to the North Dakota Industrial Commission. We surpassed this target, ultimately reducing our flaring rate to approximately 4% by the end of 2021.

As part of our sustainability commitment, we seek to fund innovation to mitigate societal emissions, including the Salk Institute's Harnessing Plants Initiative, which aims to develop plants with larger root systems that are capable of absorbing and storing potentially billions of tons of carbon per year from the atmosphere. In 2020, we announced a \$12.5 million gift to the Salk Institute, and in 2021, we announced another \$3 million gift. We also address 100% of the indirect emissions from our purchased electricity through a combination of renewable energy generated from the grid and the purchase of renewable energy certificates.

We account for the cost of carbon in capital investment decisions. We conduct scenario planning that includes the Sustainable Development and Net Zero Scenarios developed by the IEA to test the resilience of Hess' portfolio against a range of environmental policies and market conditions in a lower carbon economy. According to the IEA's *World Energy Outlook 2021*, oil and gas are essential to meet the world's growing demand for safe, affordable and reliable energy and will be part of the energy mix through 2050. Hess' strategic priorities – to grow our resource base, have a low cost of supply and sustain cash flow growth – are aligned with the energy transition needed to achieve the IEA's scenarios and position us well for the coming decades. We also consider



physical risks associated with climate change, such as increased severity of storms, drought and flooding, for new projects and existing operations.

We regularly bring in subject matter experts to advise our Board on climate and other sustainability issues to be considered in the development of company strategies and policies. The EHS Committee of our Board provides oversight and makes recommendations to the full Board with respect to Hess' policies, positions and systems for EHS & SR, compliance and risk management. The Board's Compensation and Management Development Committee has tied executive compensation to advancing the company's EHS and climate change goals.

SAFETY AND HEALTH

Hess' safety programs and practices aim to maintain a culture in which employees and contractors keep each other safe on the job and work together to continuously improve our performance. In 2021, our multidisciplinary emergency response team continued to oversee our pandemic response, which was highly effective in reducing the risks of COVID-19 in our workplace and ensuring business continuity.

In 2021, we achieved a 9% reduction in our workforce total recordable incident rate (TRIR) from 2020, driven by a year over year decrease in our employee TRIR. We reached a six year low in our severe and significant safety incident rate, achieving a 14% reduction from 2020. We continue to improve our process safety performance and between 2016 and 2021 have reduced the number of Tier 1 process safety incidents by 60% based on our current portfolio of operated assets.

The safety performance of our contractors, who represent approximately 70% of our total workforce hours, is critical to achieving our safety goals. In 2021, we successfully completed a number of operational milestones in which contractor engagement was vital to our strong safety performance. These included onboarding two drilling rigs in the Bakken and one in North Malay Basin and completion of the Tioga Gas Plant turnaround – all with zero recordable safety incidents.

SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

As part of our company's commitment to social responsibility we participate in multistakeholder initiatives designed to advance transparency, environmental protection, human rights and good governance. For example, we are a member of IPIECA,

the global oil and gas industry organization for environmental and social issues, as well as the U.N. Global Compact and the Global Compact U.S. Network, which share best practices in sustainable business conduct across the private sector.

In keeping with our company values and purpose, we have a longstanding commitment to diversity, equity and inclusion in our workplace and through social investment programs that make a positive and lasting impact on the communities where we operate. In 2021, we announced a \$1.4 million grant to the Jackie Robinson Foundation to provide four year scholarships and internship opportunities to underrepresented college students and to support the new Jackie Robinson Museum that will serve as a venue for educational programming.

In 2021, Hess announced a \$9 million financial commitment over three years as part of our Learning for Life Partnership to fund educational programs and support services in three economically disadvantaged Houston communities. Our Learning for Life Partnership will benefit 22 schools and more than 13,000 children from prekindergarten through high school. The company also donated \$1 million to the Houston Harris County 2021 Winter Storm Relief Fund and \$1 million to the Houston Food Bank following the severe winter storm that significantly impacted local communities already suffering from the economic effects of the COVID-19 pandemic.

In North Dakota, we provided Hess toy trucks to every elementary school in the state for the fourth consecutive year with a related curriculum designed by Baylor College of Medicine's Center for Educational Outreach. In 2021, Hess also supported grant requests from the Minot Public School Foundation to assist teachers in providing school supplies and basic resources to students impacted financially by the pandemic.

In Louisiana, Hess partnered with the Gulf of Mexico Alliance (GOMA) to support a microgrant program for Hurricane Ida relief that reached multiple communities and nontraditional partners that might not have qualified for larger assistance programs. These projects addressed needs that aligned with GOMA priorities such as water quality, wildlife, fisheries, natural habitats and community resilience.

In Malaysia, Hess supports the My Kasih Foundation "Love My School" Student Bursary Program, which provides financial assistance to underprivileged children. In 2021,

we contributed to its food aid program for families in need and to the Fulbright English Teaching Assistant Program, which places recent graduates from U.S. universities into public secondary schools in the Kelantan region to teach English. In 2021, Hess also provided support for disaster relief and pandemic response.

In Guyana, Hess and our two Stabroek Block coventurers launched the Greater Guyana Initiative (GGI), a 10 year commitment of \$100 million to support capacity building with a focus on education and training, sustainable economic development and health. The GGI expands our current support for the Centre for Local Business Development and will deliver additional programs with the University of Guyana and other institutions.

ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL AND GOVERNANCE (ESG) DISCLOSURE

We see transparency in reporting as an important part of being a trusted energy partner. Our reporting aligns with a number of frameworks, including oil and gas industry metrics from the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board and TCFD recommendations. Our sustainability report is prepared in accordance with the Core option of the Global Reporting Initiative Standards. Details of our EHS & SR strategy and performance, including how our plans and actions support the U.N. Sustainable Development Goals, are discussed in our sustainability report, available at www.hess.com/sustainability.

In 2021, we continued to be recognized for the quality of our ESG performance and disclosure. For example, we achieved leadership status in the CDP's annual Global Climate Analysis for the 13th consecutive year and earned a place on the Dow Jones Sustainability Index for North America for the 12th consecutive year. After receiving an MSCI ESG rating of AA for 10 consecutive years, Hess' rating was upgraded to AAA in 2021 to signify our industry leadership. The Transition Pathway Initiative, which independently assesses companies on their efforts to support the transition to a low carbon economy and mitigate climate change in line with TCFD recommendations, rated Hess as top Level 4 status in its November 2021 report.

Hess Corporation

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

James H. Quigley^{(1) (2) (3)}
Chairman of the Board;
Former Chief Executive Officer,
Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited

John B. Hess⁽¹⁾
Chief Executive Officer

Terrence J. Checki^{(1) (2) (3) (4)}
Former Executive
Vice President and Head,
Emerging Markets and
International Affairs,
Federal Reserve Bank
of New York

Leonard S. Coleman, Jr.^{(4) (5)}
Former President, National League
of Major League Baseball;
Former Commissioner,
New Jersey Department of Energy

Edith E. Holiday^{(1) (4)}
Former Assistant to the
President of the United States
and Secretary of the Cabinet;
Former General Counsel,
United States Department
of the Treasury

Marc S. Lipschultz^{(1) (3)}
Co-President and Director,
Blue Owl Capital Inc.

Raymond J. McGuire
Former Vice Chairman
of Citigroup

David McManus^{(3) (5)}
Former Executive Vice
President, Pioneer Natural
Resources

Dr. Kevin O. Meyers^{(1) (2) (5)}
Former Senior Vice President of E&P
for the Americas, ConocoPhillips

Karyn F. Ovelmen⁽²⁾
Former Gas and Power Transformation
Leader, General Electric Company;
Former Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer, Flowserve
Corporation

William G. Schrader^{(2) (5)}
Former Chief Operating Officer,
TNK-BP Russia

(1) Member of Executive Committee

(2) Member of Audit Committee

(3) Member of Compensation and
Management Development Committee

(4) Member of Corporate Governance
and Nominating Committee

(5) Member of Environmental, Health and
Safety Committee

CORPORATE OFFICERS

John B. Hess
Chief Executive Officer

Gregory P. Hill
Chief Operating Officer
and President,
Exploration & Production

Timothy B. Goodell
General Counsel, Corporate Secretary
and Chief Compliance Officer

John P. Rielly
Chief Financial Officer

Senior Vice Presidents

Barbara Lowery-Yilmaz

Richard Lynch

Gerbert Schoonman

Andrew Slentz

Jonathan C. Stein

Vice Presidents

Eric Fishman
Treasurer

Lorrie Hecker

Alex Mistri

Alex Sagebien

David Shan

Kevin B. Wilcox
Controller

Jay R. Wilson

Annual Report

FORM 10-K

THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2021

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File Number 1-1204

Hess Corporation

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

**1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS,
NEW YORK, NY**

(Address of principal executive offices)

13-4921002

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

10036

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (212) 997-8500

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<i>Title of Each Class</i>	<i>Trading Symbol(s)</i>	<i>Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered</i>
Common Stock (par value \$1.00)	HES	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company" and "emerging growth company" - in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input type="checkbox"/>
Emerging Growth Company	<input type="checkbox"/>		

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the Registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed a report on and attestation to its management's assessment of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting under Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (15 U.S.C. 7262(b)) by the registered public accounting firm that prepared or issued its audit report. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant amounted to \$24,239,000,000, computed using the outstanding Common Stock and closing market price on June 30, 2021, the last business day of the Registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter.

At January 31, 2022, there were 309,745,523 shares of Common Stock outstanding.

Part III is incorporated by reference from the Proxy Statement for the 2022 annual meeting of stockholders.

HESS CORPORATION
Form 10-K
TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Item No.</u>		<u>Page</u>
PART I		
1 and 2.	Business and Properties	6
	Information about our Executive Officers	17
1A.	Risk Factors	19
1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	23
3.	Legal Proceedings	24
4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	25
PART II		
5.	Market for the Registrant’s Common Stock, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	26
6.	[Reserved]	27
7.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	28
7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	48
8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	49
9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	99
9A.	Controls and Procedures	99
9B.	Other Information	99
9C.	Disclosure Regarding Foreign Jurisdictions that Prevent Inspections	99
PART III		
10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	99
11.	Executive Compensation	99
12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	99
13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	99
14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	99
PART IV		
15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	100
	Signatures	103

Unless the context indicates otherwise, references to “Hess”, the “Corporation”, “Registrant”, “we”, “us”, “our” and “its” refer to the consolidated business operations of Hess Corporation and its subsidiaries.

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, including information incorporated by reference herein, contains “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Words such as “anticipate,” “estimate,” “expect,” “forecast,” “guidance,” “could,” “may,” “should,” “would,” “believe,” “intend,” “project,” “plan,” “predict,” “will,” “target” and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements, which are not historical in nature. Our forward-looking statements may include, without limitation: our future financial and operational results; our business strategy; estimates of our crude oil and natural gas reserves and levels of production; benchmark prices of crude oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas and our associated realized price differentials; our projected budget and capital and exploratory expenditures; expected timing and completion of our development projects; and future economic and market conditions in the oil and gas industry.

Forward-looking statements are based on our current understanding, assessments, estimates and projections of relevant factors and reasonable assumptions about the future. Forward-looking statements are subject to certain known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from our historical experience and our current projections or expectations of future results expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. The following important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those in our forward-looking statements:

- fluctuations in market prices of crude oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas and competition in the oil and gas exploration and production industry, including as a result of the global COVID-19 pandemic (COVID-19);
- reduced demand for our products, including due to COVID-19, perceptions regarding the oil and gas industry, competing or alternative energy products and political conditions and events;
- potential failures or delays in increasing oil and gas reserves, including as a result of unsuccessful exploration activity, drilling risks and unforeseen reservoir conditions, and in achieving expected production levels;
- changes in tax, property, contract and other laws, regulations and governmental actions applicable to our business, including legislative and regulatory initiatives regarding environmental concerns, such as measures to limit greenhouse gas emissions and flaring, fracking bans as well as restrictions on oil and gas leases;
- operational changes and expenditures due to climate change and sustainability related initiatives;
- disruption or interruption of our operations due to catastrophic events, such as accidents, severe weather, geological events, shortages of skilled labor, cyber-attacks, health measures related to COVID-19, or climate change;
- the ability of our contractual counterparties to satisfy their obligations to us, including the operation of joint ventures under which we may not control and exposure to decommissioning liabilities for divested assets in the event the current or future owners are unable to perform;
- unexpected changes in technical requirements for constructing, modifying or operating exploration and production facilities and/or the inability to timely obtain or maintain necessary permits;
- availability and costs of employees and other personnel, drilling rigs, equipment, supplies and other required services;
- any limitations on our access to capital or increase in our cost of capital, including as a result of limitations on investment in oil and gas activities or negative outcomes within commodity and financial markets;
- liability resulting from environmental obligations and litigation, including heightened risks associated with being a general partner of Hess Midstream LP; and
- other factors described in Item 1A—Risk Factors in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and any additional risks described in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

As and when made, we believe that our forward-looking statements are reasonable. However, given these risks and uncertainties, caution should be taken not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements since such statements speak only as of the date when made and there can be no assurance that such forward-looking statements will occur and actual results may differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement we make. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether because of new information, future events or otherwise.

Glossary

Throughout this report, the following company or industry specific terms and abbreviations are used:

API – American Petroleum Institute.

Appraisal well – An exploration well drilled to confirm the results of a discovery well, or a well that is used to determine the boundaries of a productive formation.

Bbl – One stock tank barrel, which is 42 United States gallons liquid volume.

Barrel of oil equivalent or Boe – This reflects natural gas reserves converted on the basis of relative energy content of six mcf equals one barrel of oil equivalent (one mcf represents one thousand cubic feet). Barrel of oil equivalence does not necessarily result in price equivalence, as the equivalent price of natural gas on a barrel of oil equivalent basis has been substantially lower than the corresponding price for crude oil over the recent past.

Boepd – Barrels of oil equivalent per day.

Bopd – Barrels of oil per day.

BSEE – Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement.

CGA – Clean Gulf Associates.

Condensate – A mixture of hydrocarbons that exists in the gaseous phase at original reservoir temperature and pressure, but that when produced, is in the liquid phase at surface pressure and temperature.

DD&A – Depreciation, depletion and amortization.

DEI – Diversity, Equity and Inclusion.

Development well – A well drilled within the proved area of an oil and/or natural gas reservoir with the intent of producing oil and/or natural gas from that area of the reservoir.

Dry hole – An exploratory or development well that does not find oil or natural gas in commercial quantities.

EPA – Environmental Protection Agency.

EHS & SR – Environment, health, safety and social responsibility.

Exploratory well – A well drilled to find oil or natural gas in an unproved area or find a new reservoir in a field previously found to be productive by another reservoir.

E&P – Exploration and production.

Field – An area consisting of a single reservoir or multiple reservoirs all grouped or related to the same individual geological structural feature and/or stratigraphic condition.

FPSO – Floating production, storage, and offloading vessel.

Fractionation – A process by which the mixture of natural gas liquids that results from natural gas processing is separated into the NGL components, such as ethane, propane, butane, isobutane, and natural gasoline, prior to their sale to various petrochemical and industrial end users. Fractionation is accomplished by controlling the temperature of the stream of mixed liquids in order to take advantage of the difference in boiling points of separate products.

GHG – Greenhouse gas.

Gross acres – Acreage in which a working interest is held by the Corporation.

Gross well – A well in which a working interest is held by the Corporation.

ICE – Integrity critical equipment.

IEA – International Energy Agency.

JOA – Joint operating agreement.

LIBOR – The London Interbank Offered Rate.

Mcf – One thousand cubic feet of natural gas.

Mmcfd – One thousand mcf of natural gas per day.

MSRC – Marine Spill Response Corporation.

MTBE – Methyl tertiary butyl ether.

MWCC – Marine Well Containment Company.

Net acreage or Net wells – The sum of the fractional working interests owned by the Corporation in gross acres or gross wells.

NGL or Natural gas liquids – Naturally occurring hydrocarbon substances that are separated and produced by fractionating natural gas, including ethane, butane, isobutane, propane and natural gasoline. NGL do not sell at prices equivalent to crude oil.

NJDEP – New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection.

Non-operated – Projects in which the Corporation has a working interest but does not perform the role of Operator.

OPEC – Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries.

Operator – The entity responsible for conducting and managing exploration, development, and/or production operations for an oil or gas project.

OSHA – Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

OSRL – Oil Spill Response Limited.

Participating interest – Reflects the proportion of exploration and production costs each party will bear as set out in an operating agreement.

Plug and perf completion – A well completion technique which involves creating perforations in the well casing that penetrate the hydrocarbon reservoir section between set plugs.

Production sharing contract – An agreement between a host government and the owners (or co-owners) of a well or field regarding the percentage of production each party will receive after the parties have recovered a specified amount of capital and operational expenses.

Productive well – A well that is capable of producing hydrocarbons in sufficient quantities to justify commercial exploitation.

Proved properties – Properties with proved reserves.

Proved reserves – In accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commission regulations and practices recognized in the publication of the Society of Petroleum Engineers entitled, “*Standards Pertaining to the Estimating and Auditing of Oil and Gas Reserves Information*,” those quantities of crude oil and condensate, NGL and natural gas, which, by analysis of geoscience and engineering data, can be estimated with reasonable certainty to be economically producible from a given date forward, from known reservoirs, and under existing economic conditions, operating methods, and government regulations prior to the time at which contracts providing the right to operate expire, unless evidence indicates that renewal is reasonably certain, regardless of whether deterministic or probabilistic methods are used for the estimation. The project to extract the hydrocarbons must have commenced or the operator must be reasonably certain that it will commence the project within a reasonable time.

Proved developed reserves – Proved reserves that can be expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods or for which the cost of the required equipment is relatively minor compared to the cost of a new well.

Proved undeveloped reserves – Proved reserves that are expected to be recovered from new wells on undrilled acreage, or from existing wells where a relatively major expenditure is required for recompletion. Reserves on undrilled acreage shall be limited to those directly offsetting development spacing areas that are reasonably certain of production when drilled, unless evidence using reliable technology exists that establishes reasonable certainty of economic producibility at greater distances.

ROD – Record of Decision.

SOFR – Secured Overnight Financing Rate.

Unproved properties – Properties with no proved reserves.

VLCC – Very large crude carrier.

Working interest – An interest in an oil and gas property that provides the owner of the interest the right to participate in the drilling for and production of oil and gas on the relevant acreage and requires the owner to pay a share of the costs of drilling and production operations.

WWCC – Wild Well Control Company.

PART I

Items 1 and 2. Business and Properties

Hess Corporation, incorporated in the State of Delaware in 1920, is a global E&P company engaged in exploration, development, production, transportation, purchase and sale of crude oil, natural gas liquids, and natural gas with production operations located primarily in the United States (U.S.), Guyana, the Malaysia/Thailand Joint Development Area (JDA), and Malaysia. We conduct exploration activities primarily offshore Guyana, in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico, and offshore Suriname and Canada. At the Stabroek Block (Hess 30%), offshore Guyana, we and our partners have discovered a significant resource base and are executing a multi-phased development of the Block. The Liza Phase 1 development achieved first production in December 2019, and has a nameplate production capacity of approximately 120,000 gross bopd. The Liza Phase 2 development achieved first production in February 2022, and is expected to reach its production capacity of approximately 220,000 gross bopd later in 2022 as operations are safely brought online. A third development, Payara, was sanctioned in the third quarter of 2020 and is expected to achieve first production in 2024, with production capacity of approximately 220,000 gross bopd. A fourth development, Yellowtail, was submitted to the government of Guyana for approval in the fourth quarter of 2021. Pending government approval and project sanctioning, the project is expected to have a capacity of approximately 250,000 gross bopd with first production anticipated in 2025. We currently plan to have six FPSOs with an aggregate expected production capacity of more than 1 million gross bopd on the Stabroek Block in 2027, and the potential for up to ten FPSOs to develop the current discovered recoverable resource base.

Our Midstream operating segment, which is comprised of Hess Corporation's approximate 43.5% consolidated ownership interest in Hess Midstream LP at December 31, 2021, provides fee-based services, including gathering, compressing and processing natural gas and fractionating NGL; gathering, terminaling, loading and transporting crude oil and NGL; storing and terminaling propane, and water handling services primarily in the Bakken shale play in the Williston Basin area of North Dakota. See *Midstream* on page 12.

Exploration and Production

Proved Reserves

Proved reserves are calculated using the average price during the twelve-month period ending December 31 determined as an unweighted arithmetic average of the price on the first day of each month within the year, unless prices are defined by contractual agreements, and exclude escalations based on future conditions. Crude oil prices used in the determination of proved reserves at December 31, 2021 were \$66.34 per barrel for West Texas Intermediate (WTI) (2020: \$39.77) and \$68.92 per barrel for Brent (2020: \$43.43). Our total proved developed and undeveloped reserves at December 31 were as follows:

	Crude Oil & Condensate		Natural Gas Liquids		Natural Gas		Total Barrels of Oil Equivalent (BOE)	
	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020
	(Millions of bbls)		(Millions of bbls)		(Millions of mcf)		(Millions of bbls)	
Developed								
United States	283	282	138	120	568	490	516	484
Guyana (a)	65	72	—	—	17	36	68	78
Malaysia and JDA	3	4	—	—	394	543	69	94
Other (b)	100	134	—	—	98	165	116	162
	451	492	138	120	1,077	1,234	769	818
Undeveloped								
United States	215	119	95	42	367	163	371	188
Guyana (a)	140	132	—	—	31	47	145	140
Malaysia and JDA	2	2	—	—	131	132	24	24
	357	253	95	42	529	342	540	352
Total								
United States	498	401	233	162	935	653	887	672
Guyana (a)	205	204	—	—	48	83	213	218
Malaysia and JDA	5	6	—	—	525	675	93	118
Other (b)	100	134	—	—	98	165	116	162
	808	745	233	162	1,606	1,576	1,309	1,170

(a) Guyana natural gas reserves will be consumed for fuel.

(b) Other includes our interests in Denmark, which were sold in August 2021, and Libya. At December 31, 2020, total proved reserves for Denmark were 40 million boe.

Proved undeveloped reserves were 41% of our total proved reserves at December 31, 2021 on a boe basis (2020: 30%). Proved reserves held under production sharing contracts totaled 26% of our crude oil reserves and 36% of our natural gas reserves at December 31, 2021 (2020: 28% and 48%, respectively).

For additional information regarding our proved oil and gas reserves, see the *Supplementary Oil and Gas Data* to the *Consolidated Financial Statements* presented on pages 89 through 98.

Production

Worldwide crude oil, NGL, and natural gas net production was as follows:

	2021	2020	2019
Crude oil – Thousands of barrels			
United States			
North Dakota	29,176	39,047	34,299
Offshore (a)	10,451	13,961	16,628
Total United States	39,627	53,008	50,927
Guyana	10,920	7,457	67
Malaysia and JDA	1,264	1,287	1,479
Other (b)	7,791	3,358	9,161
Total	59,602	65,110	61,634
Natural gas liquids – Thousands of barrels			
United States			
North Dakota	17,889	20,514	15,150
Offshore (a)	1,517	1,878	1,942
Total United States	19,406	22,392	17,092
Natural gas – Thousands of mcf			
United States			
North Dakota	59,013	65,786	40,222
Offshore (a)	26,276	27,985	33,212
Total United States	85,289	93,771	73,434
Malaysia and JDA	126,743	106,618	128,071
Other (b)	3,557	2,540	7,144
Total	215,589	202,929	208,649
Total Barrels of Oil Equivalent (in millions) (a) (b)	114.9	121.3	113.5

(a) In November 2020, we sold our working interest in the Shenzi Field in the deepwater Gulf of Mexico. Shenzi net production was 3.3 million boe in 2020 (2019: 4.5 million boe).

(b) Other includes our interests in Denmark, which were sold in August 2021, and Libya. Net production from Denmark was 1.2 million boe for 2021 (2020: 2.2 million boe; 2019: 2.6 million boe). Net production from Libya was 7.2 million boe for 2021 (2020: 1.6 million boe; 2019: 7.8 million boe).

E&P Operations

At December 31, 2021, our significant E&P assets included the following:

United States

Our production in the U.S. was from the Bakken shale play in the Williston Basin of North Dakota (Bakken) and from offshore properties in the Gulf of Mexico.

North Dakota:

Bakken: At December 31, 2021, we held approximately 462,000 net acres in the Bakken with varying working interests. Net production averaged 156,000 boepd in 2021. Prior to COVID-19, we were operating six rigs in the Bakken, but reduced the rig count to one in May 2020 in response to the sharp decline in crude oil prices. We added a second operated rig in the Bakken in February 2021 and a third operated rig in September 2021. We drilled 63 wells and brought 51 wells on production in 2021, bringing the total operated production wells to 1,599 at December 31, 2021. During 2022, we plan to operate three rigs, drill approximately 90 wells and bring approximately 85 wells on production.

Offshore:

Gulf of Mexico: At December 31, 2021, we held approximately 61,000 net developed acres, with our production operations principally at the Baldpate (Hess 50%), Conger (Hess 38%), Llano (Hess 50%), Penn State (Hess 50%), Stampede (Hess 25%) and Tubular Bells (Hess 57%) fields. At December 31, 2021, we held approximately 267,000 net undeveloped acres, of which leases covering approximately 105,000 acres are due to expire in the next three years. In February 2022, we commenced drilling at the Huron exploration prospect (Hess 40%) located on Green Canyon Block 69.

Guyana

Stabroek Block: The Stabroek Block (Hess 30%), offshore Guyana, covers approximately 6.6 million acres. The operator, Esso Exploration and Production Guyana Limited, has made numerous discoveries since 2015, with the discovered resources to date on the block expected to underpin the potential for up to ten FPSOs. The first six FPSOs are expected to have an aggregate production capacity of more than 1 million gross bopd in 2027.

The Liza Phase 1 development, which was sanctioned in 2017, began producing oil in December 2019 utilizing the Liza Destiny FPSO, has a nameplate production capacity of approximately 120,000 gross bopd and in 2022 its production capacity is expected to increase to more than 140,000 gross bopd following production optimization work. The Liza Phase 2 development, which was sanctioned in 2019, began producing oil in February 2022 from the Liza Unity FPSO. The Liza Unity is expected to reach its production capacity of approximately 220,000 gross bopd later in 2022 as operations are safely brought online.

The Payara Field development was sanctioned in 2020 and will utilize the Prosperity FPSO, which will have the capacity to produce up to 220,000 gross bopd, with first production expected in 2024. Ten drill centers with a total of 41 wells are planned, including 20 production wells and 21 injection wells.

A fourth development, Yellowtail, was submitted to the government of Guyana for approval in the fourth quarter of 2021. Pending government approval and project sanctioning, the project is expected to have a capacity of 250,000 gross bopd with first production anticipated in 2025.

The operator is currently utilizing six drillships for exploration, appraisal and development drilling activities. In 2021, the following exploration and appraisal wells were drilled on the Stabroek Block (in chronological order):

Hassa: The Hassa-1 well encountered approximately 50 feet of oil bearing reservoir in deeper geologic intervals, although the well did not encounter oil in the primary target areas.

Koebi: The operator completed drilling of the Koebi-1 well which did not encounter commercial quantities of hydrocarbons.

Uaru: The Uaru-2 well encountered 120 feet of high quality oil bearing sandstone reservoir, including newly identified intervals below the original Uaru-1 discovery. The well was drilled in 5,659 feet of water and is located approximately 6.8 miles south of the Uaru-1 well.

Longtail: The Longtail-2 well commenced drilling in March 2021 and drill stem testing was completed in the fourth quarter of 2021. In the second quarter of 2021, the Longtail-3 well encountered 230 feet of net pay, including newly identified, high quality hydrocarbon bearing reservoirs below the original Longtail-1 discovery intervals. The well was drilled in more than 6,100 feet of water and is located approximately 2 miles south of the Longtail-1 well.

Mako: The Mako-2 well confirmed the quality, thickness and areal extent of the reservoir. When integrated with the results at Uaru-2, the combined discovered resource at Mako and Uaru is expected to support a fifth FPSO on the Stabroek Block.

Whiptail: The Whiptail-1 well encountered 246 feet of net pay in high quality oil bearing sandstone reservoirs and was drilled in 5,889 feet of water. The Whiptail-2 well encountered 167 feet of net pay in high quality oil bearing sandstone reservoirs and was drilled in 6,217 feet of water. The Whiptail discovery is located approximately 4 miles southeast of the Uaru-1 discovery and approximately 3 miles west of the Yellowtail field.

Pinktail: The Pinktail-1 well encountered 220 feet of net pay in high quality oil bearing sandstone reservoirs. The well was drilled in 5,938 feet of water and is located approximately 21.7 miles southeast of the Liza Phase 1 development and approximately 3.7 miles southeast of the Yellowtail-1 well.

Turbot: The Turbot-2 well encountered 43 feet of net pay in a newly identified, high quality oil bearing sandstone reservoir separate from the 75 feet of high quality, oil bearing sandstone reservoir pay encountered in the original Turbot-1 discovery well. The well was drilled in 5,790 feet of water and is located approximately 37 miles to the southeast of the Liza Phase 1 development and 2.5 miles from the Turbot-1 well.

Cataback: The Cataback-1 well encountered 243 feet of net pay in high quality hydrocarbon bearing sandstone reservoirs of which 102 feet is oil bearing. The well was drilled in 5,928 feet of water and is located approximately 3.7 miles east of the Turbot-1 well.

Tripletail: The Tripletail-2 well was completed in the fourth quarter and was temporarily abandoned following completion of logging operations.

In the first quarter of 2022, the following exploration wells were completed on the Stabroek Block:

Fangtooth: The Fangtooth-1 well encountered 164 feet of net pay in high quality oil bearing sandstone reservoirs, and confirms the deeper exploration potential of the Stabroek Block. The well was drilled in 6,030 feet of water and is located approximately 11 miles northwest of the Liza Field.

Lau Lau: The Lau Lau-1 well encountered 315 feet of net pay in high quality hydrocarbon bearing sandstone reservoirs. The well was drilled in 4,793 feet of water and is located approximately 42 miles southeast of the Liza Field.

The operator plans to drill approximately 12 exploration and appraisal wells in 2022 that will target a variety of prospects and play types. These will include both lower risk wells near existing discoveries and higher risk step-out wells, and several penetrations that will test deeper Lower Campanian and Santonian intervals.

Kaieteur Block: In 2021, we acquired an additional 5% participating interest in the Kaieteur Block, which is adjacent to the Stabroek Block, increasing our total participating interest to 20%. Seismic evaluation and planning for the next exploration well are ongoing.

Malaysia and JDA

Malaysia/Thailand Joint Development Area (JDA): Production comes from the Carigali Hess operated Block A-18 in the Malaysia/Thailand joint development area in the Gulf of Thailand (Hess 50%). In 2022, the operator plans to drill approximately four development wells.

Malaysia: Our production in Malaysia comes from our interest in Block PM302 (Hess 50%) located in the North Malay Basin (NMB), offshore Peninsular Malaysia and Block PM301 (Hess 50%), which is adjacent to and is unitized with Block A-18 of the JDA. In 2022, we plan to continue drilling and development activities at NMB. In 2022, we plan to drill approximately five development wells.

Other

Libya: At the onshore Waha concession in Libya, which includes the Defa, Faregh, Gialo, North Gialo and Belhedan fields (Hess 8%), net production averaged 20,000 boepd in 2021, 4,000 boepd in 2020 and 21,000 boepd in 2019. Production was shut-in by the operator between January 2020 and October 2020 due to force majeure caused by civil unrest.

Suriname: We hold a 33% non-operated participating interest in Block 42, offshore Suriname. The operator, a subsidiary of Royal Dutch Shell plc, plans to drill one exploration well in 2022 and one exploration well in 2023. We also hold a 33% non-operated participating interest in Block 59, offshore Suriname, where the operator, ExxonMobil Exploration and Production Suriname B.V., is interpreting recently acquired 2D seismic and has completed the acquisition of a 3D seismic survey.

Canada: We hold a 25% non-operated participating interest in three exploration licenses offshore Newfoundland. In 2023, the operator, BP Canada, plans to drill one exploration well.

Sales Commitments

We have certain long-term contracts with fixed minimum sales volume commitments for natural gas and NGL production. At the JDA in the Gulf of Thailand, we have annual minimum net sales commitments of approximately 70 billion cubic feet of natural gas per year through 2025 and approximately 30 billion cubic feet per year in 2026 and 2027. At the North Malay Basin development project offshore Peninsular Malaysia, we have annual net sales commitments of approximately 55 billion cubic feet per year through 2024. Our estimated total volume of production subject to these sales commitments is approximately 520 billion cubic feet of natural gas. We also have multiple minimum delivery commitments in the Bakken for natural gas and NGL with various end dates up through 2032, with total commitments of approximately 90 million boe over the remaining life of the contracts.

We have not experienced any significant constraints in satisfying the committed quantities required by our sales commitments, and we anticipate being able to meet future requirements from available proved and probable reserves, as well as projected third-party supply in the case of NGL.

Selling Prices and Production Costs

The following table presents our average selling prices and average production costs:

	2021		2020		2019
Average Selling Prices (a)					
Crude Oil - Per Barrel (Including Hedging)					
United States					
North Dakota	\$ 55.57	\$	42.63	\$	53.19
Offshore	60.09		45.92		59.18
Total United States	56.64		43.56		55.15
Guyana	68.57		46.41		—
Malaysia and JDA	71.00		37.91		61.81
Other (b)	66.39		51.37		65.22
Worldwide	60.08		44.28		56.77
Crude Oil - Per Barrel (Excluding Hedging)					
United States					
North Dakota	\$ 59.90	\$	33.87	\$	53.18
Offshore	64.77		36.55		59.17
Total United States	61.05		34.63		55.14
Guyana	71.07		37.40		—
Malaysia and JDA	71.00		37.91		61.81
Other (b)	69.25		43.42		65.22
Worldwide	63.90		35.52		56.76
Natural Gas Liquids - Per Barrel					
United States					
North Dakota	\$ 30.74	\$	11.29	\$	13.20
Offshore	26.40		8.94		13.31
Worldwide	30.40		11.10		13.21
Natural Gas - Per Mcf					
United States					
North Dakota	\$ 4.08	\$	1.27	\$	1.59
Offshore	3.25		1.23		2.12
Total United States	3.82		1.26		1.83
Malaysia and JDA	5.15		4.47		5.04
Other (b)	3.40		3.41		4.63
Worldwide	4.60		2.98		3.90
Average production (lifting) costs per barrel of oil equivalent produced (c)					
United States					
North Dakota (d)	\$ 25.87	\$	17.67	\$	19.68
Offshore	12.88		11.27		11.27
Total United States	23.27		16.59		17.66
Guyana (e)	17.93		18.25		—
Malaysia and JDA	4.72		5.77		6.07
Other (b)	6.34		22.78		8.87
Worldwide	17.91		15.19		14.93

(a) Includes intercompany transfers valued at approximate market prices, primarily onshore U.S., which include certain processing and distribution fees.

(b) Other includes our interests in Denmark, which were sold in August 2021, and Libya.

(c) Production (lifting) costs consist of amounts incurred to operate and maintain our producing oil and gas wells, related equipment and facilities and transportation costs, including Midstream tariff expense. Lifting costs do not include costs of finding and developing proved oil and gas reserves, production and severance taxes, or the costs of related general and administrative expenses, interest expense and income taxes.

(d) Includes Midstream tariff expense of \$19.23 per boe in 2021 (2020: \$13.42 per boe; 2019: \$12.89 per boe).

(e) Includes pre-development costs from the operator for future phases of development and Hess internal costs totaling \$5.76 per boe in 2021 (2020: \$5.11 per boe).

Gross and Net Undeveloped Acreage

At December 31, 2021, gross and net undeveloped acreage amounted to:

	Undeveloped Acreage (a)	
	Gross	Net
	(In thousands)	
United States	333	279
Guyana	9,873	2,628
Malaysia and JDA	197	98
Libya	3,334	272
Canada	3,405	1,283
Suriname	4,363	1,454
Total (b)	21,505	6,014

(a) Includes acreage held under production sharing contracts.

(b) At December 31, 2021, 65% of our net undeveloped acreage, primarily in Suriname, Canada, and Guyana, is scheduled to expire during the next three years pending results of exploration activities.

Gross and Net Developed Acreage, and Productive Wells

At December 31, 2021 gross and net developed acreage and productive wells amounted to:

	Developed Acreage Applicable to Productive Wells		Productive Wells (a)			
	Gross	Net	Oil		Gas	
			Gross	Net	Gross	Net
	(In thousands)					
United States	839	512	2,887	1,358	11	5
Guyana	95	29	6	2	—	—
Malaysia and JDA	491	245	—	—	121	58
Libya	9,564	782	1,134	93	10	1
Total	10,989	1,568	4,027	1,453	142	64

(a) Includes multiple completion wells (wells producing from different formations in the same bore hole) totaling 33 gross wells and 29 net wells.

Exploratory and Development Wells

Net exploratory and net development wells completed during the years ended December 31 were:

	Net Exploratory Wells			Net Development Wells		
	2021	2020	2019	2021	2020	2019
Productive wells						
United States	—	—	—	48	98	140
Guyana	3	1	2	3	—	2
Malaysia and JDA	—	—	—	2	3	3
Libya	—	—	—	1	—	2
	3	1	2	54	101	147
Dry holes						
United States	—	1	—	—	—	—
Guyana (a)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Denmark	—	—	1	—	—	—
	—	1	1	—	—	—
Total	3	2	3	54	101	147

(a) Includes the Koebi-1 well at the Stabroek Block, offshore Guyana, in 2021 and the Tanager-1 well at the Kaieteur Block, offshore Guyana, in 2020.

Number of Wells in the Process of Being Drilled

At December 31, 2021, the number of wells in the process of drilling amounted to:

	Gross Wells	Net Wells
United States	115	20
Guyana (a)	15	5
Malaysia and JDA	6	3
Total	136	28

(a) Includes five gross (and two net) water injection and gas injection wells in process at December 31, 2021.

Midstream

Prior to December 16, 2019, the Midstream segment was primarily comprised of Hess Infrastructure Partners LP (HIP), a 50/50 joint venture between Hess Corporation and Global Infrastructure Partners (GIP), formed to own, operate, develop and acquire a diverse set of midstream assets to provide fee-based services to Hess and third-party customers. HIP was initially formed on May 21, 2015, with Hess selling 50% of HIP to GIP for approximately \$2.6 billion on July 1, 2015.

On April 10, 2017, HIP completed an initial public offering (IPO) of 16,997,000 common units, representing 30.5% limited partnership interests in its subsidiary Hess Midstream Partners LP (Hess Midstream Partners), for net proceeds of approximately \$365.5 million. In connection with the IPO, HIP contributed a 20% controlling economic interest in each of Hess North Dakota Pipeline Operations LP, Hess TGP Operations LP, and Hess North Dakota Export Logistics Operations LP, and a 100% economic interest in Hess Mentor Storage Holdings LLC (collectively the “Contributed Businesses”). In exchange for the contributed businesses, Hess and GIP each received common and subordinated units representing a direct 33.75% limited partner interest in Hess Midstream Partners and a 50% indirect ownership interest through HIP in Hess Midstream Partners’ general partner, which had a 2% economic interest in Hess Midstream Partners plus incentive distribution rights.

On December 16, 2019, Hess Midstream Partners acquired HIP, including HIP’s 80% interest in Hess Midstream Partners’ oil and gas midstream assets, HIP’s water services business and the outstanding economic general partner interest and incentive distribution rights in Hess Midstream Partners LP. In addition, Hess Midstream Partners’ organizational structure converted from a master limited partnership into an “Up-C” structure in which Hess Midstream Partners’ public unitholders received newly issued Class A shares in a new public entity named Hess Midstream LP (Hess Midstream), which is taxed as a corporation for U.S. federal and state income tax purposes. Hess Midstream Partners changed its name to “Hess Midstream Operations LP” (HESM Opco) and became a consolidated subsidiary of Hess Midstream, the new publicly listed entity. As consideration for the acquisition, Hess received a cash payment of \$301 million and approximately 115 million newly issued HESM Opco Class B units. After giving effect to the acquisition and related transactions, public shareholders of Class A shares in Hess Midstream owned 6% of the consolidated entity on an as-exchanged basis and Hess and GIP each owned 47% of the consolidated entity on an as-exchanged basis, primarily through the sponsors’ ownership of Class B units in HESM Opco that are exchangeable into Class A shares of Hess Midstream on a one-for-one basis.

In March 2021, Hess Midstream completed an underwritten public equity offering of 6.9 million Class A shares held by Hess and GIP. These Class A shares of Hess Midstream were obtained by Hess and GIP through the exchange of 6.9 million of their Class B units of HESM Opco. In August 2021, HESM Opco repurchased 31.25 million Class B units held by Hess and GIP for \$750 million. Hess received net proceeds of \$375 million. HESM Opco issued \$750 million in aggregate principal amount of 4.250% fixed-rate senior unsecured notes due 2030 in a private offering to finance the repurchase. In October 2021, Hess Midstream completed an underwritten public equity offering of approximately 8.6 million Class A Shares held by Hess and GIP. These Class A shares of Hess Midstream were obtained by Hess and GIP through the exchange of approximately 8.6 million of their Class B units of HESM Opco. After giving effect to the above transactions in 2021, public shareholders of Class A shares of Hess Midstream own approximately 13%, and Hess and GIP each own approximately 43.5%, of the consolidated entity on an as-exchanged basis at December 31, 2021.

At December 31, 2021, Midstream assets included the following:

- *Natural Gas Gathering and Compression:* A natural gas gathering and compression system located primarily in McKenzie, Williams and Mountrail Counties, North Dakota connecting Hess and third-party owned or operated wells to the Tioga Gas Plant, Little Missouri 4 Gas Plant, and third-party pipeline facilities. This gathering system consists of approximately 1,350 miles of high and low pressure natural gas and NGL gathering pipelines with a current capacity of up to approximately 450 mmcf/d, including an aggregate compression capacity of approximately 325 mmcf/d.
- *Crude Oil Gathering:* A crude oil gathering system located primarily in McKenzie, Williams and Mountrail Counties, North Dakota, connecting Hess and third-party owned or operated wells to the Ramberg Terminal Facility, the Tioga Rail Terminal and the Johnson’s Corner Header System. The crude oil gathering system consists of approximately 550 miles of crude oil gathering pipelines with a current capacity of up to approximately 240,000 bopd.

- *Tioga Gas Plant*: A natural gas processing and fractionation plant located in Tioga, North Dakota, with a current processing capacity of approximately 400 mmcf/d, and cryogenic and fractionation capacity of approximately 80,000 boepd. In 2020, facility construction for an expansion of the plant to 400 mmcf/d from 250 mmcf/d was completed. The incremental gas processing capacity was placed in service in the fourth quarter of 2021 following completion of a planned maintenance turnaround which included connecting the expansion and residue NGL takeaway pipelines to the plant. The total processing capacity of 400 mmcf/d became available in February 2022.
- *Little Missouri 4*: A natural gas processing plant in McKenzie County, North Dakota, with processing capacity of approximately 200 mmcf/d, which was placed in service during 2019 and is operated by Targa Resources Corp. Hess Midstream LP owns a 50% interest in Little Missouri 4 through a joint venture with Targa Resources Corp. and is entitled to half of the plant's processing capacity.
- *Mentor Storage Terminal*: A propane storage cavern and rail and truck loading and unloading facility located in Mentor, Minnesota, with approximately 330,000 boe of working storage capacity.
- *Ramberg Terminal Facility*: A crude oil pipeline and truck receipt terminal located in Williams County, North Dakota with a delivery capacity of up to approximately 285,000 bopd of crude oil into an interconnecting pipeline for transportation to the Tioga Rail Terminal and to multiple third-party pipelines and storage facilities.
- *Tioga Rail Terminal*: A 140,000 bopd crude oil and 30,000 boepd NGL rail loading terminal in Tioga, North Dakota that is connected to the Tioga Gas Plant, the Ramberg Terminal Facility and our crude oil gathering system.
- *Crude Oil Rail Cars*: A total of 550 crude oil rail cars, which are operated as unit trains consisting of approximately 100 to 110 crude oil rail cars. These crude oil rail cars have been constructed to DOT-117 standards.
- *Johnson's Corner Header System*: A crude oil pipeline header system located in McKenzie County, North Dakota that receives crude oil by pipeline from Hess and third parties and delivers crude oil to third-party interstate pipeline systems. The facility has a delivery capacity of approximately 100,000 bopd of crude oil.
- *Produced Water Gathering and Disposal*: A produced water gathering system located primarily in Williams and Mountrail Counties, North Dakota, that transports produced water from the wellsite by approximately 270 miles of pipeline in gathering systems or by third-party trucking to water handling facilities for disposal.

Hess Midstream has multiple long-term, fee-based commercial agreements effective January 1, 2014 with certain subsidiaries of Hess for gas gathering, crude oil gathering, gas processing and fractionation, storage services, and terminal and export services, each generally with an initial ten-year term that can be extended for an additional ten-year term at the unilateral right of Hess Midstream. These contracts have minimum volumes that the Hess subsidiaries are obligated to provide each calendar quarter. The minimum volume commitments are subject to fluctuation based on nominations covering substantially all of our E&P segment's production and projected third-party volumes that will be purchased in the Bakken. On December 30, 2020, Hess Midstream exercised its renewal options to extend the terms of certain gas gathering, crude oil gathering, gas processing and fractionation, storage, and terminal and export commercial agreements for the secondary term through December 31, 2033. There were no changes to any provisions of the existing commercial agreements as a result of the exercise of the renewal options. Hess Midstream also has long-term, fee based commercial agreements for water handling services effective January 1, 2019 with a subsidiary of Hess, with an initial 14 year term that can be extended for an additional ten-year term at the unilateral right of Hess Midstream. Water handling services are provided for an agreed-upon fee per barrel or the reimbursement of third-party fees.

Competition and Market Conditions

See *Item 1A. Risk Factors* for a discussion of competition and market conditions.

Emergency Preparedness and Response Plans and Procedures

We have in place a series of business and asset-specific emergency preparedness, response and business continuity plans that detail procedures for rapid and effective emergency response and environmental mitigation activities. These plans are maintained, reviewed and updated as necessary to confirm their accuracy and suitability. Where applicable, they are also reviewed and approved by the relevant host government authorities.

Responder training and drills are routinely held worldwide to assess and continually improve the effectiveness of our plans. Our contractors, service providers, representatives from government agencies and, where applicable, joint venture partners participate in the drills to help ensure that emergency procedures are comprehensive and can be effectively implemented.

To complement internal capabilities and to help ensure coverage for our global operations, we maintain membership contracts with a network of local, regional and global oil spill response and emergency response organizations. At the regional and global level, these organizations include CGA, MSRC, MWCC, WWCC and OSRL. CGA and MSRC are domestic spill response organizations

and MWCC provides the equipment and personnel to contain underwater well control incidents in the Gulf of Mexico. WWCC provides firefighting, well control and engineering services globally. OSRL is a global response organization and is available, when needed, to assist us with any of our assets. In addition to owning response assets in their own right, the organization maintains business relationships that provide immediate access to additional critical response support services if required. OSRL's response assets include nearly 300 recovery and storage vessels and barges, more than 250 skimmers, over 600,000 feet of boom, nine capping stacks and significant quantities of dispersants and other ancillary equipment, including aircraft. In addition to external well control and oil spill response support, we have contracts with wildlife, environmental, meteorology, incident management, medical and security resources. If we were to engage these organizations to obtain additional critical response support services, we would fund such services and, where appropriate, seek reimbursement under our insurance coverage, as described below. In certain circumstances, we pursue and enter into mutual aid agreements with other companies and government cooperatives to receive and provide oil spill response equipment and personnel support. We maintain close associations with emergency response organizations through our representation on the Executive Committee and Response Network Committee of MWCC, Technical Operations Committee of CGA and Oil Spill and Emergency Response Committee of API. We also maintain regular voting membership in CGA, MSRC and OSRL.

We continue to participate in several industry-wide task forces that are studying better ways to assess the risk of and prevent onshore and offshore incidents, access and control blowouts in subsea environments, and improve containment and recovery methods. The task forces are working closely with the oil and gas industry and international government agencies to implement improvements and increase the effectiveness of oil spill prevention, preparedness, response and recovery processes.

Insurance Coverage and Indemnification

We maintain insurance coverage that includes coverage for physical damage to our property, third-party liability, workers' compensation and employers' liability, general liability, sudden and accidental pollution and other coverage. This insurance coverage is subject to deductibles, exclusions and limitations and there is no assurance that such coverage will adequately protect us against liability from all potential consequences and damages.

The amount of insurance covering physical damage to our property and liability related to negative environmental effects resulting from a sudden and accidental pollution event, excluding windstorm coverage for which we are self-insured, varies by asset, based on the asset's estimated replacement value or the estimated maximum loss. In the case of a catastrophic event, first party coverage consists of two tiers of insurance. The first \$400 million of coverage is provided through an industry mutual insurance group. Above this \$400 million threshold, insurance is carried which ranges in value up to \$535 million in total, depending on the asset coverage level, as described above. The decrease in the total value of insurance above the \$400 million threshold from December 31, 2020 is primarily driven by the sale of our interests in Denmark in August 2021. The insurance programs covering physical damage to our property exclude business interruption protection for our E&P operations. Additionally, we carry insurance that provides third-party coverage for general liability, and sudden and accidental pollution, up to \$850 million, which coverage under a standard joint operating arrangement would be reduced to our participating interest. Our insurance policies renew at various dates each year. Future insurance coverage could increase in cost and may include higher deductibles or retentions, or additional exclusions or limitations. In addition, some forms of insurance may become unavailable in the future or unavailable on terms that are deemed economically acceptable.

Generally, our drilling contracts (and most of our other offshore services contracts) provide for a mutual hold harmless indemnity structure whereby each party to the contract (the Corporation and Contractor) indemnifies the other party for injuries or damages to their personnel and property (and, often, those of its contractors/subcontractors) regardless of fault. Variations may include indemnity exclusions to the extent a claim is attributable to the gross negligence and/or willful misconduct of a party. Third-party claims, on the other hand, are generally allocated on a fault basis.

We are customarily responsible for, and indemnify the Contractor against, all claims including those from third parties, to the extent attributable to pollution or contamination by substances originating from our reservoirs or other property and the Contractor is responsible for and indemnifies us for all claims attributable to pollution emanating from the Contractor's property. Variations may include indemnity exclusions to the extent a claim is attributable to the gross negligence and/or willful misconduct of a party. Additionally, we are generally liable for all of our own losses and most third-party claims associated with catastrophic losses such as damage to reservoirs, blowouts, cratering and loss of hole, regardless of cause, although exceptions for losses attributable to gross negligence and/or willful misconduct do exist. Lastly, some offshore services contracts include overall limitations of the Contractor's liability equal to a fixed negotiated amount. Variations may include exclusions of all contractual indemnities from the liability cap.

Under a standard JOA, each party is liable for all claims arising under the JOA, to the extent of its participating interest (operator or non-operator). Variations include indemnity exclusions when the claim is based upon the gross negligence and/or willful misconduct of the operator, in which case the operator is solely liable. The parties to the JOA may continue to be jointly and severally liable for claims made by third parties in some jurisdictions. Further, under some production sharing contracts between a governmental entity and commercial parties, liability of the commercial parties to the government entity is joint and several.

Government Regulations

The crude oil and natural gas industry is regulated at federal, state, local and foreign government levels. Regulations affecting elements of the energy sector are under continuous review for amendment or expansion over time, which may result in incremental costs of doing business and affect our profitability. See *Regulatory, Legal and Environmental Risks* in *Item 1A. Risk Factors*. Compliance with various existing environmental, health and safety regulations is not expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. However, increasingly stringent environmental regulations have resulted and will likely continue to result in higher capital expenditures and operating expenses for us and the oil and gas industry in general and may reduce demand for our products. We spent approximately \$16 million in 2021 for environmental remediation. Additionally, we may be exposed to decommissioning liabilities, including for divested assets. For example, in June 2021, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court approved the bankruptcy plan for Fieldwood Energy LLC (Fieldwood) which includes transferring abandonment obligations of Fieldwood to predecessors in title of certain of its assets, including Hess, who are jointly and severally liable for the obligations. As a result, we recognized a charge of \$147 million (\$147 million after income taxes) in connection with total estimated abandonment obligations for seven leases in the West Delta Field in the Gulf of Mexico, which we sold to a Fieldwood predecessor in 2004. See *Item 3. Legal Proceedings* and *Note 8, Asset Retirement Obligations* in the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*. The level of other expenditures to comply with federal, state, local and foreign country regulations is difficult to quantify as such costs are captured as mostly indistinguishable components of our capital expenditures and operating expenses. For further discussion of environmental, health and safety regulations affecting our business, see Environment, Health and Safety in *Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*.

Human Capital Management

Corporate Culture and Overview

Our human capital strategy aims to attract, engage and retain our talent by investing in their professional development and providing them with challenging and rewarding opportunities for personal growth. Our workplace culture is guided by our Corporation's values and reinforced by developing quality leadership, fostering DEI, emphasizing continuous learning, creating opportunities for engagement, driving innovation and embracing Lean processes. We are pursuing a Life at Hess initiative to optimize the work experience for our multigenerational workforce and unlock the discretionary effort that is required to perform at a high level on a sustained basis. The Life at Hess framework encompasses programs, policies and practices, and a listening system that draws on in-person dialogues, pulse polls and data analytics to help leaders understand employees' experiences and perspectives to inform their decision making.

As of December 31, 2021, we had 1,545 employees globally, as detailed below.

	<u>United States</u>	<u>Guyana</u>	<u>Malaysia and JDA</u>	<u>Libya</u>	<u>Total</u>
Job Category					
Executives and Senior Officers	31	—	1	—	32
First and Mid-Level Managers	327	—	60	1	388
Professionals	699	—	78	2	779
Other	343	—	3	—	346
Total	<u>1,400</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>142</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1,545</u>

Life at Hess

We prioritize the safety of our workforce. Our safety programs and practices are designed to help ensure that everyone, everywhere gets home safe every day. Our continued response to COVID-19 reflects this commitment. A multidisciplinary Hess emergency response team has been overseeing plans and precautions to reduce the risks of COVID-19 in the work environment while maintaining business continuity based on the most current recommendations by government and public health agencies. The Corporation has continued to utilize a variety of health and safety measures including enhanced cleaning procedures and modified work practices such as travel restrictions, health screenings, reduced personnel at offshore platforms and onshore work sites wherever this can be done safely, and remote working arrangements for office workers. We continue to adapt our work policies and benefits to prioritize emotional, mental and physical health and well-being. We are taking a deliberate and measured approach to returning to the physical work environment in each of our office locations.

During 2021, we evolved our Life at Hess initiative for managing culture in periods of change. Particularly in a hybrid remote working environment, the work experience has changed and continues to evolve through:

- Virtual learning opportunities and training,

- Support for remote working effectiveness,
- Mental well-being support, and
- Leadership training and development to help leaders navigate the complex environment of remote working, societal changes, COVID-19 and market dynamics.

Diversity, Equity and Inclusion

In keeping with our values and purpose, we have a longstanding commitment to DEI and taking action to foster a sustainable culture of inclusion for everyone. DEI is a business imperative for improved performance and the innovation needed to accomplish our business goals now and in the future. Additionally, Hess is committed to providing a global workplace free from discrimination and harassment, where everyone can achieve their full potential. We provide equal employment opportunities for all employees and job candidates regardless of race, color, religion, gender, age, sexual orientation, gender identity, creed, national origin, genetic information, disability, veteran status or any other protected status.

Hess' DEI Council provides executive leadership guidance to embed DEI into our culture and operations to drive progress throughout the organization. Our expectations for an inclusive and diverse workplace and our culture of mutual respect and trust are spelled out in our Code of Conduct and Ethics and related policies and reinforced regularly with employees at every level of our Corporation through regular communication and ongoing training. Additional information regarding our policies and practices, including training, employee engagement initiatives and workforce data, is included in our annual Sustainability Report and annual U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity reporting, which is available on our website at www.hess.com.

During 2021, Hess maintained or improved diversity across levels of our workforce. As detailed below, representation of women and minorities among all employees improved from 2020 to 2021. Overall, women increased by 1% and minorities increased by 2%, with notable improvements at the Executive and Professional levels. Our increased strategic focus on DEI including our talent practices and diversity outreach programs contributed to this improvement. In August 2021, we hired a DEI leader to develop a tailored, long-term strategy that defines our objectives and strategies to advance DEI now and in the future. Additionally, workforce activity and trends such as employee turnover, promotions, DEI and development metrics, along with qualitative information such as program development and progress, are shared with our Board of Directors annually, with more detailed reviews by the Compensation and Management Development Committee throughout the year.

Job Category	Women (U.S. and International)			Minorities (a) (U.S. Based Employees)		
	2021	2020	2019	2021	2020	2019
Executives and Senior Officers	16 %	13 %	16 %	19 %	13 %	13 %
First and Mid-Level Managers	23 %	23 %	22 %	20 %	20 %	19 %
Professionals	34 %	32 %	31 %	30 %	27 %	26 %
Other	19 %	17 %	18 %	16 %	16 %	17 %
Total	27 %	26 %	26 %	24 %	22 %	22 %

(a) As defined by the U.S. Department of Labor.

Compensation and Benefits Programs

Our compensation and benefits programs are focused on attracting and retaining a highly skilled workforce in a rapidly changing industry. We benchmark our compensation programs annually through industry specific surveys and conduct an annual review to identify and address compensation inequities. Our Corporation maintains an annual incentive plan that applies to all employees, including executive officers, that shares the same enterprise performance metrics for all participants. In addition, we provide a comprehensive wellness program that addresses physical wellness and focuses on the financial, social and emotional well-being of our employees.

Information about our Executive Officers

The following table presents information as of March 1, 2022 regarding executive officers of the Corporation:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Office Held* and Business Experience</u>	<u>Year Individual Became an Executive Officer</u>
<i>John B. Hess</i>	67	<i>Chief Executive Officer and Director</i> Mr. Hess has been Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation since 1995 and employed by the Corporation since 1977. He has over 40 years of experience in the oil and gas industry.	1983
<i>Gregory P. Hill</i>	60	<i>President and Chief Operating Officer</i> Mr. Hill has been Chief Operating Officer since 2014 and President of the Corporation's worldwide Exploration and Production business since joining the Corporation in January 2009. Prior to joining the Corporation, Mr. Hill spent 25 years at Royal Dutch Shell and its affiliates in a variety of operations, engineering, technical and managerial roles in Asia-Pacific, Europe and the United States.	2009
<i>Timothy B. Goodell</i>	64	<i>Executive Vice President, General Counsel, Corporate Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer</i> Mr. Goodell has been General Counsel of the Corporation since 2009, Corporate Secretary since 2016, Chief Compliance Officer since 2017 and Executive Vice President since 2020. Prior to joining the Corporation in 2009, he was a partner at the law firm of White & Case, LLP where he spent 25 years.	2009
<i>John P. Rielly</i>	59	<i>Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer</i> Mr. Rielly has been Chief Financial Officer of the Corporation since 2004 and Executive Vice President since 2020. Mr. Rielly previously served as Vice President and Controller of the Corporation from 2001 to 2004. Prior to joining the Corporation in 2001, he was a Partner at Ernst & Young, LLP where he was employed for 17 years.	2002
<i>Richard Lynch</i>	64	<i>Senior Vice President, Technology and Services</i> Mr. Lynch has been Senior Vice President, Technology and Services of the Corporation since 2018. Mr. Lynch previously was Senior Vice President Global Developments, Drilling and Completions from 2014. Prior to joining the Corporation in 2014, Mr. Lynch spent over 30 years in well delivery and operations, as well as project and asset management, with BP plc and ARCO.	2018
<i>Gerbert Schoonman</i>	56	<i>Senior Vice President, Global Production</i> Mr. Schoonman has been Senior Vice President, Global Production of the Corporation since January 2020. Since joining the Company in 2011, he served in various operational leadership roles, including as Vice President, Production – Asia Pacific, from January 2011 through August 2012; Vice President, Onshore – Bakken from September 2012 through December 2016; and most recently, as Vice President, Offshore since January 2017. Prior to joining the Corporation, he spent 20 years with Royal Dutch Shell where he served in operational and leadership roles.	2020
<i>Andrew Slentz</i>	60	<i>Senior Vice President, Human Resources and Office Management</i> Mr. Slentz has been Senior Vice President, Human Resources of the Corporation since April 2016 and responsible for Office Management since 2018. Prior to joining the Corporation in 2016, Mr. Slentz served as Executive Vice President of Administration and Human Resources at Peabody Energy since 2010. Mr. Slentz has over 25 years in human resources experience at large international public companies.	2016
<i>Barbara Lowery-Yilmaz</i>	65	<i>Senior Vice President and Chief Exploration Officer</i> Ms. Lowery-Yilmaz has been the Senior Vice President, Exploration of the Corporation since August 2014. Ms. Lowery-Yilmaz has over 30 years of oil and gas industry experience in exploration and technology with BP plc and its affiliates including senior leadership roles.	2014

* All officers referred to herein hold office in accordance with the By-laws until the first meeting of directors in connection with the annual meeting of stockholders of the Registrant and until their successors shall have been duly chosen and qualified. Each of said officers was elected to the office opposite their name on June 1, 2021.

Each of the above officers has been employed by the Corporation or its affiliates in various managerial and executive capacities for more than five years.

Access to Our Reports

We make available free of charge through our website, www.hess.com, our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information on our website is not incorporated by reference in this report. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Corporate Governance Guidelines, and the charters for the Audit Committee, Compensation and Management Development Committee, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and Environmental, Health and Safety Committee of the Board of Directors are available on our website and are also available free of charge upon request to Investor Relations at our principal executive office. We also file with the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) an annual certification that our Chief Executive Officer is unaware of any violation of the NYSE's corporate governance standards.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our business activities and the value of our securities are subject to significant risks, including the risk factors described below. These risk factors could negatively affect our operations, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations, and as a result, holders and purchasers of our securities could lose part or all of their investments. It is possible that additional risks relating to our securities may be described in a prospectus supplement if we issue securities in the future.

Market and Third-Party Risks

Our business and operating results are highly dependent on the market prices of crude oil, NGL and natural gas, which can be very volatile. Our estimated proved reserves, revenue, operating cash flows, operating margins, liquidity, financial condition and future earnings are highly dependent on the benchmark market prices of crude oil, NGL and natural gas, and our associated realized price differentials, which are volatile and influenced by numerous factors beyond our control. The major foreign oil producing countries, including members of OPEC, may exert considerable influence over the supply and price of crude oil and refined petroleum products. Their ability to agree on a common policy on rates of production and other matters may have a significant impact on the oil markets. Other factors include, but are not limited to: worldwide and domestic supplies of and demand for crude oil, NGL and natural gas, political conditions and events (including weather, instability, changes in governments, armed conflict, economic sanctions and outbreaks of infectious diseases, such as COVID-19) around the world and in particular in crude oil or natural gas producing regions, the cost of exploring for, developing and producing crude oil, NGL and natural gas, the price and availability of alternative fuels or other forms of energy, the effect of energy conservation and environmental protection efforts and overall economic conditions globally. The sentiment of commodities trading markets as well as other supply and demand factors, including COVID-19, may also influence the selling prices of crude oil, NGL and natural gas. Average benchmark prices for 2021 were \$68.08 per barrel for WTI (2020: \$39.34; 2019: \$57.04) and \$70.95 per barrel for Brent (2020: \$43.21; 2019: \$64.16). In order to manage the potential volatility of cash flows and credit requirements, we maintain significant bank credit facilities. An inability to access, renew or replace such credit facilities or access other sources of funding as they mature would negatively impact our liquidity. Furthermore, from time to time we have entered into, and may in the future enter into or modify, commodity price hedging arrangements to manage commodity price volatility. These arrangements may limit potential upside from commodity price increases, or expose us to additional risks, such as counterparty credit risk, which could adversely impact our cash flow, liquidity or financial condition.

Our business and operations have been and may continue to be adversely affected by COVID-19 or other similar public health developments and related changes to demand for oil and natural gas. Since 2020, COVID-19 and related actions taken by governments and businesses, including voluntary and mandatory quarantines and travel and other restrictions, have significantly impacted economic activity. As a result of COVID-19, our operations, and those of our business partners, service companies and suppliers, have experienced and may continue to experience further adverse effects, including but not limited to: disruptions, delays or temporary suspensions of operations, including shut-ins of production; temporary inaccessibility or closures of facilities; supply chain issues; and workforce impacts from illness, school closures and other community response measures. We have implemented a variety of health and safety measures, including enhanced cleaning procedures and modified work practices, such as travel restrictions, health screenings, vaccination policies, reduced personnel at offshore platforms and onshore work sites, wherever such reduction can be done safely, and remote working arrangements for office workers. There is no certainty that these or any other future measures will be sufficient to mitigate the risks posed by the virus and its variants, including the risk of infection of key employees, and our ability to perform certain functions could be impaired by these new business practices. For example, our reliance on technology has necessarily increased due to our use of remote communications and other work-from-home practices, which could make us more vulnerable to cyber-attacks. To the extent we or our business partners, service companies or suppliers continue to experience restrictions or other effects, our financial condition, results of operations and future expansion projects may be adversely affected.

In addition to the global health concerns, COVID-19 negatively affected the U.S. and global economy and the demand for oil and natural gas. The prolonged continuation or amplification of the outbreak of COVID-19 could result in further economic downturn that may affect our operating results in the long-term. Furthermore, the effects of COVID-19 and concerns regarding the global spread of its variants negatively impacted the domestic and international demand for crude oil and natural gas, which has contributed to price volatility and adversely affected the demand for and marketability of crude oil, natural gas and NGL. Containment measures implemented to mitigate the spread of COVID-19 and its variants could continue to be widespread and lead to sustained adoption of certain behavioral changes, such as reduced travel and enhanced work-from-home policies, which could result in further reductions in demand for and consumption of energy commodities. A reduction in consumer demand for crude oil, natural gas and NGL could require further curtailments and shut-ins of production by the industry and further increase the costs of commercial storage and midstream contracts.

The timeline and potential magnitude of COVID-19 remains unknown and will depend on future developments, including, among others, the global availability of vaccines, the efficacy of vaccines against variants and the extent to which normal economic and operating conditions resume. In the event one or more of our business partners is adversely affected by COVID-19 or the current market environment, that may impact our costs and ability to conduct business with them. In addition, we may face an increased risk of changes in the regulation related to our business resulting from COVID-19, such as the imposition of limitations on our workforce's ability to access our facilities. We also are subject to litigation risk and possible loss contingencies related to COVID-19, including with respect to commercial contracts, employee matters and insurance arrangements. We may experience decreases in production and

proved reserves, additional asset impairments, and other accounting charges if demand for crude oil, natural gas and NGL decreases. A sustained extension of the current market environment may make it more difficult to comply with covenants and other restrictions in agreements governing our debt, and a lack of confidence in our industry on the part of the financial markets may result in a lack of access to capital, any of which could lead to reduced liquidity.

As the impact from COVID-19 remains difficult to predict, the extent to which it may negatively affect our operating results is uncertain. Any impact will depend on future developments and new information that may emerge regarding the severity and duration of COVID-19 and the actions taken by authorities to contain it or treat its impact, all of which are beyond our control.

We do not always control decisions made under joint operating agreements and the parties under such agreements may fail to meet their obligations. We conduct many of our E&P operations through joint operating agreements with other parties under which we may not control decisions, either because we do not have a controlling interest or are not operator under the agreement. There is risk that these parties may at any time have economic, business, or legal interests or goals that are inconsistent with ours, and therefore decisions may be made which are not what we believe is in our best interest. Moreover, parties to these agreements may be unable to meet their economic or other obligations and we may be required to fulfill those obligations alone. For example, in June 2021, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court approved the bankruptcy plan for Fieldwood which includes transferring abandonment obligations of Fieldwood to us and other predecessors in title of certain of its assets, who are jointly and severally liable for the obligations. See *Note 8, Asset Retirement Obligations* in the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*. As a result, actions of our contractual counterparties may adversely affect the value of our investments and result in increased costs or liabilities.

Our industry is highly competitive and many of our competitors are larger and have greater resources and more diverse portfolios than we have. The petroleum industry is highly competitive and very capital intensive. We encounter competition from numerous companies, including acquiring rights to explore for crude oil and natural gas. To a lesser extent, we are also in competition with producers of alternative fuels or other forms of energy, including wind, solar and electric power, and in the future, could face increasing competition due to the development and adoption of new technologies. Many competitors, including national oil companies, are larger and have substantially greater resources to acquire and develop oil and gas assets. In addition, competition for drilling services, technical expertise and equipment may affect the availability of technical personnel and drilling rigs, resulting in increased capital and operating costs. Many of our competitors have a more diverse portfolio of assets, which may minimize the impact of adverse events occurring at any one location.

Operational and Strategic Risks

If we fail to successfully increase our reserves, our future crude oil and natural gas production will be adversely impacted. We own or have access to a finite amount of oil and gas reserves, which will be depleted over time. Replacement of oil and gas production and reserves, including proved undeveloped reserves, is subject to successful exploration drilling, development activities, and enhanced recovery programs. Therefore, future oil and gas production is dependent on technical success in finding and developing additional hydrocarbon reserves. Exploration activity involves the interpretation of seismic and other geological and geophysical data, which does not always successfully predict the presence of commercial quantities of hydrocarbons. Drilling risks include unexpected adverse conditions, irregularities in pressure or formations, equipment failure, blowouts and weather interruptions. Future developments may be affected by unforeseen reservoir conditions, which negatively affect recovery factors or flow rates. Similar risks may be encountered in the production of oil and gas on properties acquired from others. In addition, replacing reserves and developing future production are also influenced by the price of crude oil and natural gas and costs of drilling and development activities. Lower crude oil and natural gas prices may reduce capital available for our exploration and development activities, render certain development projects uneconomic or delay their completion, and result in negative revisions to existing reserves while increasing drilling and development costs could negatively affect expected economic returns.

There are inherent uncertainties in estimating quantities of proved reserves and discounted future net cash flows, and actual quantities may be lower than estimated. Numerous uncertainties exist in estimating quantities of proved reserves and future net revenues from those reserves. Actual future production, oil and gas prices, revenues, taxes, capital expenditures, operating expenses, and quantities of recoverable oil and gas reserves may vary substantially from those assumed in the estimates and could materially affect the estimated quantities of our proved reserves and the related future net revenues. In addition, reserve estimates may be subject to downward or upward changes based on production performance, purchases or sales of properties, results of future development, prevailing oil and gas prices, production sharing contracts, which may decrease reserves as crude oil and natural gas prices increase, and other factors. Crude oil prices declined in 2020 and 2019, relative to comparative periods, resulting in reductions to our reported proved reserves. In contrast, crude oil prices improved in 2021, relative to the preceding year, resulting in increases to our proved reserves. If crude oil prices in 2022 average below prices used to determine proved reserves at December 31, 2021, it could have an adverse effect on our estimates of proved reserve volumes and on the value of our business. See *Crude Oil and Natural Gas Reserves in Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates* in *Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*.

Catastrophic and other events, whether naturally occurring or man-made, may materially affect our operations and financial condition. Our oil and gas operations are subject to numerous risks and hazards inherent to operating in the crude oil and natural gas industry, including catastrophic events, which may damage or destroy assets, interrupt operations, result in personal injury

and have other significant adverse effects. These events include unexpected drilling conditions, pressure conditions or irregularities in reservoir formations, equipment malfunctions or failures, derailments, fires, explosions, blowouts, cratering, pipeline interruptions and ruptures, hurricanes, severe weather, geological events, shortages in availability of skilled labor, cyber-attacks or health measures related to COVID-19. We maintain insurance coverage against many, but not all, potential losses and liabilities in amounts we deem prudent, including for property and casualty losses. There can be no assurance that such insurance will adequately protect us against liability from all potential consequences and damages. For example, we are self-insured against physical damage to property and liability related to windstorms. In 2021 and 2020, hurricane-related downtime reduced net production by 4,000 boepd and 8,000 boepd, respectively, and hurricane related maintenance and repair costs were approximately \$7 million in both 2021 and 2020. Moreover, some forms of insurance may be unavailable in the future or be available only on terms that are deemed economically unacceptable. In addition, the frequency and severity of weather conditions which impact our business activities may also be impacted by the effects of climate change. Energy needs could increase or decrease as a result of extreme weather conditions depending on the duration and magnitude of any such climate change. Increased energy use due to weather changes may require us to invest in order to serve increased demand. A decrease in energy use due to weather changes may affect our financial condition through decreased revenues. To the extent the frequency of extreme weather events increases, this could adversely impact our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Significant time delays between the estimated and actual occurrence of critical events associated with development projects may result in material negative economic consequences. As part of our business, we are involved in large development projects, the completion of which may be delayed beyond what was originally planned. Such examples include, but are not limited to, delays in receiving necessary approvals from project members or regulatory or other government agencies, timely access to necessary equipment, availability of necessary personnel, construction delays, unfavorable weather conditions, equipment failures, and outbreaks of infectious diseases, such as COVID-19. These delays could impact our future results of operations and cash flows.

An inability to secure personnel, drilling rigs, equipment, supplies and other required services or to retain key employees may result in material negative economic consequences. We are dependent on oilfield service companies for items including drilling rigs, equipment, supplies and skilled labor. The availability and cost of drilling rigs, equipment, supplies and skilled labor will fluctuate over time given the cyclical nature of the E&P industry. As a result, we may encounter difficulties in obtaining required services or could face an increase in cost, including as a result of changes to our industry due to COVID-19, which may impact our ability to run our operations and deliver projects on time with the potential for material negative economic consequences. In addition, difficulty in recruiting and retaining adequate numbers of experienced technical personnel could negatively impact our ability to deliver on our strategic goals. Our future success also depends upon the continued service of key members of our senior management team, who play an important role in developing and implementing our strategy. An inability to recruit and retain adequate numbers of experienced technical and professional personnel in the necessary locations or the loss or departure of key members of senior management may prevent us from executing our strategy in full or, in part, which could negatively impact our business.

Disruption, failure or cyber security breaches affecting or targeting computer, telecommunications systems, and infrastructure used by the Corporation or our business partners may materially impact our business and operations. Computers and telecommunication systems are an integral part of our exploration, development and production activities and the activities of our business partners. We use these systems to analyze and store financial and operating data and to communicate within our corporation and with outside business partners. Our reliance on technology has increased due to the increased use of remote communications and other work-from-home practices in response to COVID-19. Technical system flaws, power loss, cyber security risks, including cyber or phishing-attacks, unauthorized access, malicious software, data privacy breaches by employees or others with authorized access, ransomware, and other cyber security issues could compromise our computer and telecommunications systems or those of our business partners and result in disruptions to our business operations or the access, disclosure or loss of our data and proprietary information. In addition, computers control oil and gas production, processing equipment, and distribution systems globally and are necessary to deliver our production to market. A disruption, failure or a cyber breach of these operating systems, or of the networks and infrastructure on which they rely, could damage critical production, distribution and/or storage assets, delay or prevent delivery to markets, and make it difficult or impossible to accurately account for production and settle transactions. As a result, any such disruption, failure or cyber breach and any resulting investigation or remediation costs, litigation or regulatory action could have a material adverse impact on our cash flows and results of operations, reputation and competitiveness. We routinely experience attempts by external parties to penetrate and attack our networks and systems. Although such attempts to date have not resulted in any material breaches, disruptions, financial loss, or loss of business-critical information, our systems and procedures for protecting against such attacks and mitigating such risks may prove to be insufficient in the future and such attacks could have an adverse impact on our business and operations, including damage to our reputation and competitiveness, remediation costs, litigation or regulatory actions. In addition, as technologies evolve and these cyber security attacks become more sophisticated, we may incur significant costs to upgrade or enhance our security measures to protect against such attacks and we may face difficulties in fully anticipating or implementing adequate preventive measures or mitigating potential harm.

Financial Risks

We have substantial capital requirements, and we may not be able to obtain needed financing on satisfactory terms. The exploration, development and production of crude oil and natural gas involve substantial costs, which may not be fully funded from operations. Two of the three major credit rating agencies that rate our debt have assigned an investment grade rating. Although currently we do not have any borrowings under our long-term credit facility, a ratings downgrade, continued weakness in the oil and gas industry or negative outcomes within commodity and financial markets could adversely impact our access to capital markets by increasing the costs of financing, or by impacting our ability to obtain financing on satisfactory terms. In addition, a ratings downgrade may require that we issue letters of credit or provide other forms of collateral under certain contractual requirements. Environmental concerns and other factors have led to lower oil and gas representation in certain key equity market indices and may increase our costs to access the equity capital markets. Any inability to access capital markets could adversely impact our financial adaptability and our ability to execute our strategy.

We engage in risk management transactions designed to mitigate commodity price volatility and other risks that may impede our ability to benefit from commodity price increases and can expose us to similar potential counterparty credit risk as amounts due from the sale of hydrocarbons. We may enter into commodity price hedging arrangements to protect us from commodity price declines. These arrangements may, depending on the instruments used and the level of additional hedges involved, limit any potential upside from commodity price increases. As with accounts receivable from the sale of hydrocarbons, we may be exposed to potential economic loss should a counterparty be unable or unwilling to perform their obligations under the terms of a hedging agreement. In addition, we are exposed to risks related to changes in interest rates and foreign currency values, and may engage in hedging activities to mitigate related volatility.

The alteration or discontinuation of LIBOR may adversely affect our borrowing costs. Certain borrowings on our credit facilities may use LIBOR as a benchmark for establishing the rate. LIBOR is the subject of recent national, international and other regulatory guidance and proposals for reform. These reforms and other pressures are expected to cause LIBOR to be discontinued after June 30, 2023 or to perform differently than in the past. In the U.S., the Alternative Reference Rates Committee, which was convened by the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, has proposed SOFR as an alternative to LIBOR. At this time, the consequences of these developments cannot be entirely predicted, but could include fluctuations in interest rates or an increase in the cost of our credit facility borrowings.

Regulatory, Legal and Environmental Risks

Our oil and gas operations are subject to environmental risks and environmental, health and safety laws and regulations that can result in significant costs and liabilities. Our oil and gas operations are subject to environmental risks such as oil spills, produced water spills, gas leaks and ruptures and discharges of substances or gases that could expose us to substantial liability for pollution or other environmental damage. Our operations are also subject to numerous U.S. federal, state, local and foreign environmental, health and safety laws and regulations. Non-compliance with these laws and regulations may subject us to administrative, civil or criminal penalties, remedial clean-ups, natural resource damages and other liabilities. In addition, increasingly stringent environmental regulations have resulted and will likely continue to result in higher capital expenditures and operating expenses for us. Similarly, we have material legal obligations to dismantle, remove and abandon production facilities and wells that will occur many years in the future, in most cases. These estimates may be impacted by future changes in regulations, solvency of subsequent owners and partners and other uncertainties.

Concerns have been raised in certain jurisdictions where we have operations concerning the safety and environmental impact of the drilling and development of shale oil and gas resources, particularly hydraulic fracturing, water usage, flaring of associated natural gas and air emissions. While we believe that these operations can be conducted safely and with minimal impact on the environment, regulatory bodies are responding to these concerns and may impose moratoriums and new regulations on such drilling operations that would likely have the effect of prohibiting or delaying such operations and increasing their cost.

Climate change and sustainability initiatives may result in significant operational changes and expenditures, reduced demand for our products and adversely affect our business. We recognize that climate change and sustainability is a growing global environmental concern. Continuing political and social attention to the issue of climate change and sustainability has resulted in both existing and pending international agreements and national, regional or local legislation and regulatory measures to limit GHG emissions. These agreements and measures may require, or could result in future legislation and regulatory measures that require, significant equipment modifications, operational changes, taxes, or purchase of emission credits to reduce emission of GHGs from our operations, which may result in substantial capital expenditures and compliance, operating, maintenance and remediation costs. In addition, our production is sold to third parties that produce petroleum fuels, which through normal end user consumption result in the emission of GHGs.

We are prioritizing sustainable energy practices to further reduce our carbon footprint while at the same time remaining a successful operating public company. However, various key stakeholders, including our stockholders, employees, suppliers, customers, local communities and others, may have differing approaches to climate change initiatives. If we do not successfully manage expectations across these varied stakeholder interests, it could erode our stakeholders' trust and thereby affect our reputation. As a result of heightened public awareness and attention to climate change and sustainability as well as continued regulatory initiatives

to reduce the use of petroleum fuels, demand for crude oil and other hydrocarbons may be reduced, which may have an adverse effect on our sales volumes, revenues and margins. The imposition and enforcement of stringent GHG emissions reduction requirements could severely and adversely impact the oil and gas industry and therefore significantly reduce the value of our business. Shareholder activism has been recently increasing in our industry, and stockholders may attempt to effect changes to our business or governance, whether by shareholder proposals, public campaigns, proxy solicitations or otherwise. In addition, certain financial institutions, institutional investors and other sources of capital have begun to limit or eliminate their investment in oil and gas activities due to concerns about climate change, which could make it more difficult to finance our business. Furthermore, increasing attention to climate change risks and sustainability has resulted in governmental investigations, and public and private litigation, which could increase our costs or otherwise adversely affect our business. For example, beginning in 2017, certain states, municipalities and private associations in California, Delaware, Maryland, Rhode Island and South Carolina separately filed lawsuits against oil, gas and coal producers, including us, for alleged damages purportedly caused by climate change. Such actions could adversely impact our business by distracting management and other personnel from their primary responsibilities, require us to incur increased costs, and/or result in reputational harm.

We are subject to changing laws and regulations and other governmental actions that can significantly and adversely affect our business. Political or regulatory developments and governmental actions, including federal, state, local, territorial and foreign laws and regulations may adversely affect our operations and those of our counterparties with whom we have contracted, which may affect our financial results. These actions could result in tax increases retroactively through tax claims or prospectively through changes to applicable statutory tax rates, modification of the tax base, or imposition of new tax types. Additionally, governmental actions could include post-production deductions from royalty payments; limitations or prohibitions on the sales of new oil and gas leases or extensions on existing oil and gas leases; adverse court decisions with respect to the sale of new and existing oil and gas leases; expropriation or nationalization of property; mandatory government participation, cancellation or amendment of contract rights; imposition of capital controls or blocking of funds; changes in import and export regulations; the imposition of tariffs; and anti-bribery or anti-corruption laws. In recent years, proposals for limitations on access to oil and gas exploration and development opportunities and related litigation have grown in certain areas and may include efforts to reduce access to public and private lands; restriction of exploration and production activities within government-owned and other lands; delaying or canceling permits for drilling or pipeline construction; restrictions or changes to existing pipeline easements; limiting or banning industry techniques such as hydraulic fracturing and/or adding restrictions on the use of water and associated disposal; imposition of set-backs on oil and gas sites; reduction of sulfur content in bunker fuel; delaying or denying air-quality or siting permits; advocating for increased regulations, punitive taxation, or citizen ballot initiatives or moratoriums on industry activity; and the use of social media channels to cause reputational harm. Costs associated with responding to these anti-development efforts or complying with any new legal or regulatory requirements could significantly and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Political instability in areas where we operate can adversely affect our business. Some of the international areas in which we operate are politically less stable than other areas and may be subject to civil unrest, conflict, insurgency, corruption, security risks and labor unrest. Political instability and civil unrest in North Africa, South America and the Middle East has affected and may continue to affect our interests in these areas as well as oil and gas markets generally. In addition, geographic territorial border disputes may affect our business in certain areas, such as the border dispute between Guyana and Venezuela over a portion of the Stabroek Block. Political instability exposes our operations to increased risks, including increased difficulty in obtaining required permits and government approvals, enforcing our agreements in those jurisdictions and potential adverse actions by local government authorities. The threat of terrorism around the world also poses additional risks to our operations and the operations of the oil and gas industry in general.

One of our subsidiaries is the general partner of a publicly traded limited partnership, Hess Midstream LP. The responsibilities associated with being a general partner expose us to a broader range of legal liabilities. Our control of Hess Midstream LP bestows upon us additional duties and obligations including, but not limited to, the obligations associated with managing potential conflicts of interests and additional reporting requirements from the Securities and Exchange Commission. These heightened duties expose us to additional potential for legal claims that may have a material negative economic impact on our stockholders. Moreover, these increased duties may lead to an increase in compliance costs.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are subject to loss contingencies with respect to various claims, lawsuits and other proceedings. A liability is recognized in our consolidated financial statements when it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated. If the risk of loss is probable, but the amount cannot be reasonably estimated or the risk of loss is only reasonably possible, a liability is not accrued; however, we disclose the nature of those contingencies. We cannot predict with certainty if, how or when existing claims, lawsuits and proceedings will be resolved or what the eventual relief, if any, may be, particularly for proceedings that are in their early stages of development or where plaintiffs seek indeterminate damages.

We, along with many companies that have been or continue to be engaged in refining and marketing of gasoline, have been a party to lawsuits and claims related to the use of MTBE in gasoline. A series of similar lawsuits, many involving water utilities or governmental entities, were filed in jurisdictions across the U.S. against producers of MTBE and petroleum refiners who produced gasoline containing MTBE, including us. The principal allegation in all cases was that gasoline containing MTBE was a defective product and that these producers and refiners are strictly liable in proportion to their share of the gasoline market for damage to groundwater resources and are required to take remedial action to ameliorate the alleged effects on the environment of releases of MTBE. The majority of the cases asserted against us have been settled. There are two remaining active cases, filed by Pennsylvania and Maryland. In June 2014, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania filed a lawsuit alleging that we and all major oil companies with operations in Pennsylvania, have damaged the groundwater by introducing thereto gasoline with MTBE. The Pennsylvania suit has been forwarded to the existing MTBE multidistrict litigation pending in the Southern District of New York. In December 2017, the State of Maryland filed a lawsuit alleging that we and other major oil companies damaged the groundwater in Maryland by introducing thereto gasoline with MTBE. The suit, filed in Maryland state court, was served on us in January 2018 and has been removed to federal court by the defendants.

In September 2003, we received a directive from the NJDEP to remediate contamination in the sediments of the Lower Passaic River. The NJDEP is also seeking natural resource damages. The directive, insofar as it affects us, relates to alleged releases from a petroleum bulk storage terminal in Newark, New Jersey we previously owned. We and over 70 companies entered into an Administrative Order on Consent with the EPA to study the same contamination; this work remains ongoing. We and other parties settled a cost recovery claim by the State of New Jersey and agreed with the EPA to fund remediation of a portion of the site. On March 4, 2016, the EPA issued a ROD in respect of the lower eight miles of the Lower Passaic River, selecting a remedy that includes bank-to-bank dredging at an estimated cost of \$1.38 billion. The ROD does not address the upper nine miles of the Lower Passaic River or the Newark Bay, which may require additional remedial action. In addition, the federal trustees for natural resources have begun a separate assessment of damages to natural resources in the Passaic River. Given that the EPA has not selected a final remedy for the entirety of the Lower Passaic River or the Newark Bay, total remedial costs cannot be reliably estimated at this time. Based on currently known facts and circumstances, we do not believe that this matter will result in a significant liability to us because our former terminal did not store or use contaminants which are of concern in the river sediments and could not have contributed contamination along the river's length. Further, there are numerous other parties who we expect will bear the cost of remediation and damages.

In March 2014, we received an Administrative Order from the EPA requiring us and 26 other parties to undertake the Remedial Design for the remedy selected by the EPA for the Gowanus Canal Superfund Site in Brooklyn, New York. Our alleged liability derives from our former ownership and operation of a fuel oil terminal and connected shipbuilding and repair facility adjacent to the Canal. The remedy selected by the EPA includes dredging of surface sediments and the placement of a cap over the deeper sediments throughout the Canal and in-situ stabilization of certain contaminated sediments that will remain in place below the cap. The EPA's original estimate was that this remedy would cost \$506 million; however, the ultimate costs that will be incurred in connection with the design and implementation of the remedy remain uncertain. We have complied with the EPA's March 2014 Administrative Order and contributed funding for the Remedial Design based on an allocation of costs among the parties determined by a third-party expert. In January 2020, we received an additional Administrative Order from the EPA requiring us and several other parties to begin Remedial Action along the uppermost portion of the Canal. We intend to comply with this Administrative Order. The remediation work began in the fourth quarter of 2020. Based on currently known facts and circumstances, we do not believe that this matter will result in a significant liability to us, and the costs will continue to be allocated amongst the parties, as they were for the Remedial Design.

From time to time, we are involved in other judicial and administrative proceedings relating to environmental matters. We periodically receive notices from the EPA that we are a "potential responsible party" under the Superfund legislation with respect to various waste disposal sites. Under this legislation, all potentially responsible parties may be jointly and severally liable. For any site for which we have received such a notice, the EPA's claims or assertions of liability against us relating to these sites have not been fully developed, or the EPA's claims have been settled or a settlement is under consideration, in all cases for amounts that are not material. Beginning in 2017, certain states, municipalities and private associations in California, Delaware, Maryland, Rhode Island and South Carolina separately filed lawsuits against oil, gas and coal producers, including us, for alleged damages purportedly caused by climate change. These proceedings include claims for monetary damages and injunctive relief. Beginning in 2013, various parishes in Louisiana filed suit against approximately 100 oil and gas companies, including us, alleging that the companies' operations and activities in certain fields violated the State and Local Coastal Resource Management Act of 1978, as amended, and caused

contamination, subsidence and other environmental damages to land and water bodies located in the coastal zone of Louisiana. The plaintiffs seek, among other things, the payment of the costs necessary to clear, re-vegetate and otherwise restore the allegedly impacted areas. The ultimate impact of such climate and other aforementioned environmental proceedings, and of any related proceedings by private parties, on our business or accounts cannot be predicted at this time due to the large number of other potentially responsible parties and the speculative nature of clean-up cost estimates.

In August 2020, Fieldwood and related entities filed for bankruptcy relief under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. Fieldwood's Bankruptcy Plan, which was approved by the U.S. Bankruptcy Court in June 2021, includes the abandonment of certain assets, including seven offshore Gulf of Mexico leases and related facilities in the West Delta Field that were formerly owned by us and sold to a Fieldwood predecessor in 2004, and the discharge of Fieldwood's obligation to decommission these facilities. As a result, in October 2021 and February 2022, we received decommissioning orders from the BSEE requiring us to decommission certain wells and related facilities located on six of the seven West Delta leases. We expect to receive additional orders covering the remainder of the West Delta decommissioning obligations in the near future and are actively engaged with the BSEE to agree on the scope and timing of decommissioning activities. Our decommissioning obligation derives from our former ownership of the facilities. We are seeking contribution from other parties that owned an interest in the facilities. As of December 31, 2021, we have a liability of \$147 million representing total estimated abandonment obligations in the West Delta Field. Potential recoveries from other parties that previously owned an interest in the West Delta Field have not been recognized as of December 31, 2021.

We are also involved in other judicial and administrative proceedings from time to time in addition to the matters described above, including claims related to post-production deductions from royalty payments. We may also be exposed to future decommissioning liabilities for divested assets in the event the current or future owners of facilities previously owned by us are determined to be unable to perform such actions, whether due to bankruptcy or otherwise. We cannot predict with certainty if, how or when such proceedings will be resolved or what the eventual relief, if any, may be, particularly for proceedings that are in their early stages of development or where plaintiffs seek indeterminate damages. Numerous issues may need to be resolved, including through potentially lengthy discovery and determination of important factual matters before a loss or range of loss can be reasonably estimated for any proceeding.

Subject to the foregoing, in management's opinion, based upon currently known facts and circumstances, the outcome of lawsuits, claims and proceedings, including the matters disclosed above, is not expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. However, we could incur judgments, enter into settlements, or revise our opinion regarding the outcome of certain matters, and such developments could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations in the period in which the amounts are accrued and our cash flows in the period in which the amounts are paid.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

PART II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant’s Common Stock, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Stock Market Information, Holders and Dividends


Our common stock is traded principally on the New York Stock Exchange (ticker symbol: HES). At January 31, 2022, there were 2,725 stockholders (based on the number of holders of record) who owned a total of 309,745,523 shares of common stock. In 2021, 2020 and 2019, cash dividends on common stock totaled \$1.00 per share per year (\$0.25 per quarter).

Performance Graph

Set forth below is a line graph comparing the five-year shareholder returns on a \$100 investment in our common stock assuming reinvestment of dividends, against the cumulative total returns for the following:

- Standard & Poor’s (S&P) 500 Stock Index, which includes us.
- 2021 Proxy Peer Group comprising 12 oil and gas peer companies, including us, as disclosed in our 2021 Proxy Statement. In 2021, Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation merged with Cimarex Energy Company to form Coterra Energy, Inc.

Comparison of Five-Year Shareholder Returns
Years Ended December 31,



	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
—●— Hess Corporation	\$100.00	\$77.93	\$67.69	\$113.54	\$91.75	\$130.39
—■— S&P 500	\$100.00	\$121.82	\$116.47	\$153.13	\$181.29	\$233.28
••▲•• Proxy Peer Group	\$100.00	\$101.02	\$88.84	\$92.59	\$60.57	\$113.53

Share Repurchase Activities

Our Board of Directors have authorized common stock repurchases of up to \$7.5 billion under our stock repurchase plan. There were no share repurchases for the year ended December 31, 2021. Since initiation of the buyback program in August 2013, total shares repurchased through December 31, 2021 amounted to 91.9 million at a total cost of \$6.85 billion including transaction fees.

Equity Compensation Plans

Following is information related to our equity compensation plans at December 31, 2021.

<u>Plan Category</u>	<u>Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights *</u>	<u>Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights</u>	<u>Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column*)</u>
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders.....	2,086,722 (a)	\$ 61.15	23,601,465 (b)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	—	—	—

(a) This amount includes 2,086,722 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of outstanding stock options. This amount excludes 733,586 performance share units (PSUs) for which the number of shares of common stock to be issued may range from 0% to 200% based on our total shareholder return (TSR) relative to the TSR of a predetermined group of peer companies over a three-year performance period ending December 31 of the year prior to settlement of the grant. Beginning with the PSUs granted in 2020, the Corporation's TSR is compared to the TSR of a predetermined group of peer companies and the S&P 500 index over the three-year performance period. In addition, this amount also excludes 1,616,316 shares of common stock issued as restricted stock pursuant to our equity compensation plans.

(b) These securities may be awarded as stock options, restricted stock, PSUs or other awards permitted under our equity compensation plan.

See Note 14, *Share-based Compensation* in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of our equity compensation plans.

Item 6. [Reserved]

Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read together with the *Consolidated Financial Statements* and the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*, which are included in this Form 10-K in Item 8, and the information set forth in *Risk Factors* under Item 1A.

The following Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations omits certain discussions of our financial condition and results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2020 compared with the year ended December 31, 2019, which can be found in *Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* in our 2020 Annual Report on Form 10-K, which was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 1, 2021, and such comparisons are incorporated herein by reference.

Index

Overview

Consolidated Results of Operations

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Overview

Hess Corporation is a global E&P company engaged in exploration, development, production, transportation, purchase and sale of crude oil, natural gas liquids, and natural gas with production operations located primarily in the United States (U.S.), Guyana, the Malaysia/Thailand Joint Development Area (JDA), and Malaysia. We conduct exploration activities primarily offshore Guyana, in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico, and offshore Suriname and Canada. At the Stabroek Block (Hess 30%), offshore Guyana, we and our partners have discovered a significant resource base and are executing a multi-phased development of the Block. The Liza Phase 1 development achieved first production in December 2019, and has a nameplate production capacity of approximately 120,000 gross bopd. The Liza Phase 2 development achieved first production in February 2022, and is expected to reach its production capacity of approximately 220,000 gross bopd later in 2022 as operations are safely brought online. A third development, Payara, was sanctioned in the third quarter of 2020 and is expected to achieve first production in 2024, with production capacity of approximately 220,000 gross bopd. A fourth development, Yellowtail, was submitted to the government of Guyana for approval in the fourth quarter of 2021. Pending government approval and project sanctioning, the project is expected to have a capacity of approximately 250,000 gross bopd with first production anticipated in 2025. We currently plan to have six FPSOs with an aggregate expected production capacity of more than 1 million gross bopd on the Stabroek Block in 2027, and the potential for up to ten FPSOs to develop the current discovered recoverable resource base.

Our Midstream operating segment, which is comprised of Hess Corporation’s approximate 43.5% consolidated ownership interest in Hess Midstream LP at December 31, 2021, provides fee-based services, including gathering, compressing and processing natural gas and fractionating NGL; gathering, terminaling, loading and transporting crude oil and NGL; storing and terminaling propane, and water handling services primarily in the Bakken shale play in the Williston Basin area of North Dakota.

Climate Change, Energy Transition and Our Strategy

We believe climate risks can and should be addressed while at the same time providing safe, affordable and reliable energy necessary to ensure human welfare and global economic development in the context of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. The IEA’s 2021 World Energy Outlook provides four scenarios of global energy demand in 2040 based on varying levels of global response to climate change. Under all of the IEA scenarios, oil and natural gas are expected to be needed for decades to come and we expect that significant investment will be required to meet the world’s projected growing energy needs, both in renewable energy sources and in oil and gas.

Our strategy is to grow our resource base, have a low cost of supply and sustain cash flow growth. Our strategy aligns with the energy transition needed to achieve the IEA’s Sustainable Development Scenario, which reflects the major changes that would be required to reach the energy-related Sustainable Development Goals of the United Nations.

Our commitment to sustainability starts with our Board of Directors and senior management and is reinforced throughout our organization. Our Board of Directors, led by its Environmental, Health and Safety Committee, is actively engaged in overseeing Hess’ sustainability practices so that sustainability risks and opportunities are taken into account when making strategic decisions. Our Board’s Compensation and Management Development Committee has tied executive compensation to advancing our environmental, health and safety goals. We also have an executive led task force to consider our medium and longer term climate strategy.

In 2021, we announced our new five year GHG reduction targets for 2025, which are to reduce operated Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions intensity by approximately 44% and methane emissions intensity by approximately 52% from 2017. In January 2022, we announced our plan to reduce routine flaring at Hess operated assets to zero by the end of 2025. Our business planning includes actions we expect to undertake to continue reducing our carbon footprint consistent with our targets. We also conduct annual scenario planning as a methodology to assess our portfolio's resilience to differing scenarios of energy supply and demand over the longer term, and to inform our understanding of future risks and opportunities in relation to the potential evolution of energy demand, energy mix, the emergence of new technologies, and possible changes by policymakers with respect to greenhouse gas emissions and climate change.

2022 Outlook

Following the startup of the Liza Phase 2 project in February 2022, we repaid the remaining \$500 million outstanding under our \$1 billion term loan and we announced a 50 percent increase in our quarterly dividend on common stock. Our E&P capital and exploratory expenditures are projected to be approximately \$2.6 billion in 2022. Capital investment for our Midstream operations is expected to be approximately \$235 million. Oil and gas net production in 2022 is forecast to be in the range of 325,000 boepd to 330,000 boepd excluding Libya. For 2022, we have hedged 90,000 bopd with WTI collars with an average monthly floor price of \$60 per barrel and an average monthly ceiling price of \$100 per barrel, and 60,000 bopd with Brent collars with an average monthly floor price of \$65 per barrel and an average monthly ceiling price of \$105 per barrel.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$2,890 million in 2021, compared with \$1,333 million in 2020, while net cash provided by operating activities before changes in operating assets and liabilities was \$2,991 million in 2021 and \$1,803 million in 2020. In 2022, based on current forward strip crude oil prices, we expect cash flow from operating activities and cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2021 will be sufficient to fund our capital investment program, dividends, and the recent repayment of the remaining \$500 million outstanding under our \$1 billion term loan. Depending on market conditions, we may take any of the following steps, or a combination thereof, to improve our liquidity and financial position: reduce the planned capital program and other cash outlays, including dividends, pursue asset sales, borrow against our committed revolving credit facility, or issue debt or equity securities.

Consolidated Results

Net income attributable to Hess Corporation was \$559 million in 2021 compared with a net loss of \$3,093 million in 2020. Excluding items affecting comparability of earnings between periods summarized on page 32, adjusted net income was \$677 million in 2021 compared with an adjusted net loss of \$894 million in 2020. Annual net production averaged 315,000 boepd and 331,000 boepd in 2021 and 2020, respectively. Total proved reserves were 1,309 million boe and 1,170 million boe at December 31, 2021 and December 31, 2020, respectively.

Significant 2021 Activities

The following is an update of significant E&P activities during 2021:

E&P assets:

- In North Dakota, net production from the Bakken shale play averaged 156,000 boepd in 2021 (2020: 193,000 boepd), primarily due to the impact of lower drilling activity caused by a reduction in rig count from six to one during the first half of 2020, lower NGL and natural gas volumes received under percentage of proceeds contracts, curtailed production related to the planned Tioga Gas Plant maintenance turnaround completed in the third quarter of 2021, and the sale of our Little Knife and Murphy Creek nonstrategic acreage interests in the second quarter of 2021, which contributed net production of 2,000 boepd in 2021 (2020: 6,000 boepd). Net oil production was 80,000 bopd in 2021 compared with 107,000 bopd in 2020. NGL and natural gas volumes received under percentage of proceeds contracts were 11,000 boepd in 2021 compared with 21,000 boepd in 2020 as higher realized NGL prices in 2021 reduced the volumes received as consideration for gas processing fees.

Prior to COVID-19, we were operating six rigs in the Bakken, but reduced the rig count to one in May 2020 in response to the sharp decline in crude oil prices. We added a second operated rig in the Bakken in February 2021 and a third operated rig in September 2021. We drilled 63 wells and brought 51 wells on production in 2021, bringing the total operated production wells to 1,599 at December 31, 2021. We forecast net production from the Bakken to be in the range of 160,000 boepd to 165,000 boepd in 2022.

- In the Gulf of Mexico, net production averaged 45,000 boepd in 2021 (2020: 56,000 boepd) primarily due to the sale of the Shenzi Field in November 2020. Net production from the Shenzi Field was 9,000 boepd in 2020.
- At the Stabroek Block (Hess 30%), offshore Guyana, net production from the Liza Phase 1 development averaged 30,000 bopd in 2021 (2020: 20,000 bopd) following first production in December 2019 from the Liza Destiny FPSO. The Liza

Destiny has a nameplate production capacity of approximately 120,000 gross bopd and in 2022 its production capacity is expected to increase to more than 140,000 gross bopd following production optimization work.

The Liza Phase 2 development, which was sanctioned in 2019, began producing oil in February 2022 from the Liza Unity FPSO. The Liza Unity is expected to reach its production capacity of approximately 220,000 gross bopd later in 2022 as operations are safely brought online.

For 2022, net production from Guyana is expected to be in the range of 65,000 bopd to 70,000 bopd, reflecting the ramp in production during the year from Liza Phase 2.

The Payara Field development was sanctioned in 2020 and will utilize the Prosperity FPSO, which will have the capacity to produce up to 220,000 gross bopd, with first production expected in 2024. Ten drill centers with a total of 41 wells are planned, including 20 production wells and 21 injection wells.

A fourth development, Yellowtail, was submitted to the government of Guyana for approval in the fourth quarter of 2021. Pending government approval and project sanctioning, the project is expected to have a capacity of 250,000 gross bopd with first production anticipated in 2025.

In addition to the first four developments, planning is underway for additional FPSOs. The ultimate sizing and order of these potential developments will be a function of further exploration and appraisal drilling.

In 2021, four successful exploration wells and seven successful appraisal wells were drilled on the Stabroek Block. For 2022, the operator plans to operate six drillships and drill approximately 12 exploration and appraisal wells during the year.

- In the Gulf of Thailand, net production from Block A-18 of the JDA averaged 36,000 boepd in 2021 (2020: 29,000 boepd), including contribution from unitized acreage in Malaysia, while net production from North Malay Basin averaged 25,000 boepd in 2021 (2020: 23,000 boepd). During 2021, we continued the drilling program at North Malay Basin, and we commenced a multi-year drilling program at JDA in the first half of the year.
- We completed the sale of our interests in Denmark in August for net cash consideration of approximately \$130 million, after normal closing adjustments. Net production from Denmark was 3,000 boepd in 2021.

The following is an update of significant Midstream activities during 2021:

- In March 2021, Hess Midstream completed an underwritten public offering of 6.9 million Class A shares held by Hess and GIP. As a result of this transaction, Hess received net proceeds of \$70 million.
- In August 2021, HESM Opco repurchased 31.25 million Class B units held by Hess and GIP for \$750 million, with Hess receiving net proceeds of \$375 million. HESM Opco issued \$750 million in aggregate principal amount of 4.250% fixed-rate senior unsecured notes due 2030 in a private offering to finance the repurchase.
- In October 2021, Hess Midstream completed an underwritten public offering of approximately 8.6 million Class A shares held by Hess and GIP. As a result of this transaction, Hess received net proceeds of \$108 million.
- Facility construction for an expansion of the Tioga Gas Plant to 400 mmcf/d from 250 mmcf/d was completed in 2020. The incremental gas processing capacity was placed in service in the fourth quarter of 2021 following completion of a planned maintenance turnaround which included connecting the expansion and residue NGL takeaway pipelines to the plant. The total processing capacity of 400 mmcf/d became available in February 2022.

Liquidity and Capital and Exploratory Expenditures

At December 31, 2021, cash and cash equivalents were \$2,713 million (2020: \$1,739 million), consolidated debt was \$8,458 million (2020: \$8,296 million), and our debt to capitalization ratio (as defined in the credit agreement for our revolving credit facility and the term loan agreement) was 42.3% (2020: 47.5%). Hess Midstream debt, which is nonrecourse to Hess Corporation, was \$2,564 million at December 31, 2021 (2020: \$1,910 million).

Capital and exploratory expenditures were as follows (in millions):

	2021	2020	2019
E&P Capital and Exploratory Expenditures:			
United States			
North Dakota	\$ 522	\$ 661	\$ 1,312
Offshore and other	103	258	471
Total United States	625	919	1,783
Guyana	1,016	743	783
Malaysia and JDA	154	99	109
Other (a)	34	25	68
E&P Capital and Exploratory Expenditures	<u>\$ 1,829</u>	<u>\$ 1,786</u>	<u>\$ 2,743</u>
Exploration Expenses Charged to Income Included Above:			
United States	\$ 90	\$ 91	\$ 105
International	41	17	62
Total Exploration Expenses Charged to Income included above	<u>\$ 131</u>	<u>\$ 108</u>	<u>\$ 167</u>
Midstream Capital Expenditures:			
Midstream Capital Expenditures (b)	<u>\$ 183</u>	<u>\$ 253</u>	<u>\$ 416</u>

(a) Other includes our interests in Denmark (which were sold in August 2021), Libya and certain non-producing countries.

(b) Excludes equity investments of \$33 million in 2019.

In 2022, we project our E&P capital and exploratory expenditures will be approximately \$2.6 billion and Midstream capital expenditures to be approximately \$235 million.

Consolidated Results of Operations

Results by Segment:

The after-tax income (loss) by major operating activity is summarized below:

	2021	2020	2019
(In millions, except per share amounts)			
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Hess Corporation:			
Exploration and Production	\$ 770	\$ (2,841)	\$ 53
Midstream	286	230	144
Corporate, Interest and Other	(497)	(482)	(605)
Total	<u>\$ 559</u>	<u>\$ (3,093)</u>	<u>\$ (408)</u>
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Hess Corporation Per Common Share - Diluted (a)	<u>\$ 1.81</u>	<u>\$ (10.15)</u>	<u>\$ (1.37)</u>

(a) Calculated as net income (loss) attributable to Hess Corporation less preferred stock dividends, divided by weighted average number of diluted shares.

In the following discussion and elsewhere in this report, the financial effects of certain transactions are disclosed on an after-tax basis. Management reviews segment earnings on an after-tax basis and uses after-tax amounts in its review of variances in segment earnings. Management believes that after-tax amounts are a preferable method of explaining variances in earnings, since they show the entire effect of a transaction rather than only the pre-tax amount. After-tax amounts are determined by applying the income tax rate in each tax jurisdiction to pre-tax amounts.

Items Affecting Comparability of Earnings Between Periods:

The following table summarizes items of income (expense) that are included in net income (loss) and affect comparability of earnings between periods. The items in the table below are explained on pages 37 through 40.

	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions)		
Items Affecting Comparability of Earnings Between Periods, After Income Taxes:			
Exploration and Production	\$ (118)	\$ (2,198)	\$ 63
Midstream	—	—	(16)
Corporate, Interest and Other	—	(1)	(174)
Total	\$ (118)	\$ (2,199)	\$ (127)

The following table presents the pre-tax amount of items affecting comparability of income (expense) by financial statement line item in the *Statement of Consolidated Income* on page 55. The items in the table below are explained on pages 37 through 40.

	Before Income Taxes		
	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions)		
Gains on asset sales, net	\$ 29	\$ 79	\$ 22
Other, net	—	—	(88)
Marketing, including purchased oil and gas	—	(53)	(21)
Operating costs and expenses	—	(20)	—
Exploration expenses, including dry holes and lease impairment	—	(153)	—
General and administrative expenses	—	(6)	(30)
Impairment and other	(147)	(2,126)	—
Total Items Affecting Comparability of Earnings Between Periods, Pre-Tax	\$ (118)	\$ (2,279)	\$ (117)

Reconciliations of GAAP and Non-GAAP Measures:

The following table reconciles reported net income (loss) attributable to Hess Corporation and adjusted net income (loss) attributable to Hess Corporation:

	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions)		
Adjusted Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Hess Corporation:			
Net income (loss) attributable to Hess Corporation	\$ 559	\$ (3,093)	\$ (408)
Less: Total items affecting comparability of earnings between periods, after-tax	(118)	(2,199)	(127)
Adjusted Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Hess Corporation	\$ 677	\$ (894)	\$ (281)

The following table reconciles reported net cash provided by (used in) operating activities and net cash provided by (used in) operating activities before changes in operating assets and liabilities:

	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions)		
Net cash provided by operating activities before changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 2,890	\$ 1,333	\$ 1,642
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	101	470	595
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities before changes in operating assets and liabilities	\$ 2,991	\$ 1,803	\$ 2,237

Adjusted net income (loss) attributable to Hess Corporation is a non-GAAP financial measure, which we define as reported net income (loss) attributable to Hess Corporation excluding items identified as affecting comparability of earnings between periods, which are summarized on pages 37 through 40. Management uses adjusted net income (loss) to evaluate the Corporation's operating performance and believes that investors' understanding of our performance is enhanced by disclosing this measure, which excludes certain items that management believes are not directly related to ongoing operations and are not indicative of future business trends and operations.

Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities before changes in operating assets and liabilities presented in this report is a non-GAAP measure, which we define as reported net cash provided by (used in) operating activities excluding changes in operating assets and liabilities. Management uses net cash provided by (used in) operating activities before changes in operating assets and

liabilities to evaluate the Corporation's ability to internally fund capital expenditures, pay dividends and service debt and believes that investors' understanding of our ability to generate cash to fund these items is enhanced by disclosing this measure, which excludes working capital and other movements that may distort assessment of our performance between periods.

These measures are not, and should not be viewed as, substitutes for U.S. GAAP net income (loss) and net cash provided by (used in) operating activities.

Comparison of Results

Exploration and Production

Following is a summarized statement of income for our E&P operations:

	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions)		
Revenues and Non-Operating Income			
Sales and other operating revenues	\$ 7,473	\$ 4,667	\$ 6,495
Gains on asset sales, net	29	79	22
Other, net	64	31	51
Total revenues and non-operating income	<u>7,566</u>	<u>4,777</u>	<u>6,568</u>
Costs and Expenses			
Marketing, including purchased oil and gas	2,119	1,067	1,849
Operating costs and expenses	965	895	971
Production and severance taxes	172	124	184
Midstream tariffs	1,094	946	722
Exploration expenses, including dry holes and lease impairment	162	351	233
General and administrative expenses	191	206	204
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	1,361	1,915	1,977
Impairment and other	147	2,126	—
Total costs and expenses	<u>6,211</u>	<u>7,630</u>	<u>6,140</u>
Results of Operations Before Income Taxes	1,355	(2,853)	428
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	585	(12)	375
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Hess Corporation	<u>\$ 770</u>	<u>\$ (2,841)</u>	<u>\$ 53</u>

Excluding the E&P items affecting comparability of earnings between periods in the table on page 37, the changes in E&P results are primarily attributable to changes in selling prices, production and sales volumes, marketing expenses, cash operating costs, Midstream tariffs, DD&A expense, exploration expenses and income taxes, as discussed below.

Selling Prices: Average worldwide realized crude oil selling prices, including hedging, were 36% higher in 2021 compared with the prior year, primarily due to the increase in Brent and WTI crude oil prices. In addition, realized worldwide selling prices for NGL increased in 2021 by 174% and worldwide natural gas prices increased in 2021 by 54%, compared with the prior year. In total, higher realized selling prices improved after-tax results by approximately \$1,430 million, compared with 2020. Our average selling prices were as follows:

	2021	2020	2019
Average Selling Prices (a)			
Crude Oil - Per Barrel (Including Hedging)			
United States			
North Dakota	\$ 55.57	\$ 42.63	\$ 53.19
Offshore	60.09	45.92	59.18
Total United States	56.64	43.56	55.15
Guyana	68.57	46.41	—
Malaysia and JDA	71.00	37.91	61.81
Other (b)	66.39	51.37	65.22
Worldwide	60.08	44.28	56.77
Crude Oil - Per Barrel (Excluding Hedging)			
United States			
North Dakota	\$ 59.90	\$ 33.87	\$ 53.18
Offshore	64.77	36.55	59.17
Total United States	61.05	34.63	55.14
Guyana	71.07	37.40	—
Malaysia and JDA	71.00	37.91	61.81
Other (b)	69.25	43.42	65.22
Worldwide	63.90	35.52	56.76
Natural Gas Liquids - Per Barrel			
United States			
North Dakota	\$ 30.74	\$ 11.29	\$ 13.20
Offshore	26.40	8.94	13.31
Worldwide	30.40	11.10	13.21
Natural Gas - Per Mcf			
United States			
North Dakota	\$ 4.08	\$ 1.27	\$ 1.59
Offshore	3.25	1.23	2.12
Total United States	3.82	1.26	1.83
Malaysia and JDA	5.15	4.47	5.04
Other (b)	3.40	3.41	4.63
Worldwide	4.60	2.98	3.90

(a) Selling prices in the United States are adjusted for certain processing and distribution fees included in Marketing expenses. Excluding these fees worldwide selling prices for 2021 would be \$64.25 per barrel for crude oil (including hedging) (2020: \$47.54; 2019: \$59.95), \$68.07 per barrel for crude oil (excluding hedging) (2020: \$38.78; 2019: \$59.94), \$30.61 per barrel for NGL (2020: \$11.29; 2019: \$13.40) and \$4.71 per mcf for natural gas (2020: \$3.11; 2019: \$3.97).

(b) Other includes our interests in Denmark, which were sold in August 2021, and Libya.

Crude oil hedging activities in 2021 were a net loss of \$243 million before and after income taxes, and a net gain of \$547 million before and after income taxes in 2020. For calendar year 2022, we have WTI collars with an average monthly floor price of \$60 per barrel and an average monthly ceiling price of \$100 per barrel for 90,000 bopd, and Brent collars with an average monthly floor price of \$65 per barrel and an average monthly ceiling price of \$105 per barrel for 60,000 bopd. We expect premium amortization, which will be reflected in realized selling prices, to reduce our 2022 results by approximately \$225 million.

Production Volumes: Our daily worldwide net production was as follows:

	2021	2020	2019
	(In thousands)		
Crude Oil - Barrels			
United States			
North Dakota	80	107	94
Offshore (a)	29	38	46
Total United States	109	145	140
Guyana	30	20	—
Malaysia and JDA	3	4	4
Other (b)	21	9	25
Total	163	178	169
Natural Gas Liquids - Barrels			
United States			
North Dakota	49	56	42
Offshore (a)	4	5	5
Total United States	53	61	47
Natural Gas - Mcf			
United States			
North Dakota	162	180	110
Offshore (a)	72	76	91
Total United States	234	256	201
Malaysia and JDA	347	291	351
Other (b)	10	7	20
Total	591	554	572
Barrels of Oil Equivalent	315	331	311
Crude oil and natural gas liquids as a share of total production	69 %	72 %	69 %

(a) In November 2020, we sold our working interest in the Shenzi Field in the deepwater Gulf of Mexico. Net production from the Shenzi Field was 9,000 boepd for the year ended December 31, 2020 (2019: 12,000 boepd).

(b) Other includes our interests in Denmark, which were sold in August 2021, and Libya. Net production from Denmark was 3,000 boepd for 2021 (2020: 6,000 boepd; 2019: 7,000 boepd). Net production from Libya was 20,000 boepd for 2021 (2020: 4,000 boepd; 2019: 21,000 boepd).

In 2022, we expect net production, excluding Libya, to be in the range of 325,000 boepd to 330,000 boepd, compared with 2021 net production, excluding Libya and assets sold, of 290,000 boepd.

Net production variances related to 2021 and 2020 are summarized as follows:

United States: North Dakota net production was lower in 2021, primarily due to the impact of lower drilling activity caused by a reduction in rig count from six to one during the first half of 2020, lower NGL and natural gas volumes received under percentage of proceeds contracts due to higher commodity prices, curtailed production related to the planned Tioga Gas Plant maintenance turnaround completed in the third quarter of 2021, and the sale of our Little Knife and Murphy Creek nonstrategic acreage interests in the second quarter of 2021. Total offshore net production was lower in 2021 primarily due to the sale of the Shenzi Field in November 2020.

International: Net crude oil production from Guyana was higher in 2021, due to the production ramp up from the Liza Phase 1 development in 2020. Net oil production in Libya was higher in 2021 due to force majeure declared on production operations between January 2020 and October 2020. Net natural gas production was higher at Malaysia and JDA reflecting higher natural gas nominations due to a recovery in economic activity which had been impacted by COVID-19.

Sales Volumes: Net worldwide sales volumes from Hess net production, which excludes sales volumes of crude oil, NGLs and natural gas purchased from third parties, were as follows:

	2021	2020	2019
	(In thousands)		
Crude oil – barrels (a)	63,540	60,924	61,061
Natural gas liquids – barrels	19,406	22,397	17,067
Natural gas – mcf	215,589	202,917	208,665
Barrels of Oil Equivalent	118,878	117,141	112,906
Crude oil - barrels per day	174	167	167
Natural gas liquids - barrels per day	53	61	47
Natural gas - mcf per day	591	554	572
Barrels of Oil Equivalent Per Day	326	320	309

(a) At December 31, 2020, we had 4.2 million barrels of crude oil transported and stored on two chartered VLCCs for sale in Asian markets. The two VLCC cargos were sold in the first quarter of 2021.

Marketing, including purchased oil and gas (Marketing expense): Marketing expense is mainly comprised of costs to purchase crude oil, NGL and natural gas from our partners in Hess operated wells or other third parties, primarily in the U.S., and transportation and other distribution costs for U.S. marketing activities. Marketing expense was higher in 2021 compared to 2020 primarily due to higher third party crude oil volumes purchased and prices paid for purchased volumes. Marketing expenses in 2021 included \$173 million related to the cost of 4.2 million barrels of crude oil stored on two VLCCs in 2020 that were sold in 2021. Marketing expense in 2020 was reduced by \$164 million for the net cost of crude oil inventory that was capitalized for the barrels loaded on VLCCs.

Cash Operating Costs: Cash operating costs consist of operating costs and expenses, production and severance taxes and E&P general and administrative expenses. Excluding items affecting comparability described in *Items Affecting Comparability of Earnings Between Periods* on page 37, cash operating costs increased primarily due to higher maintenance and workover activity in 2021 compared with the prior year due to reduced activity in 2020 related to COVID-19, and higher production and severance taxes associated with higher crude oil prices in 2021. On a per-unit basis, cash operating costs were higher in 2021 due to the higher costs and the impact of lower 2021 production volumes.

Midstream Tariffs Expense: Tariffs expense increased from 2020, primarily due to higher tariff rates and minimum volume commitments in 2021. In 2022, we estimate Midstream tariffs expense to be in the range of \$1,190 million to \$1,215 million.

DD&A Expense: DD&A expense was lower in 2021 primarily due to the impact to DD&A rates resulting from year-end 2020 revisions and additions to proved reserves, lower production volumes in 2021, and the impact of impairment charges recognized in the first quarter of 2020.

Unit Costs: Unit cost per boe information is based on total E&P net production volumes and excludes items affecting comparability of earnings as disclosed on page 37. Actual and forecast unit costs are as follows:

	Actual			Forecast range (a)
	2021	2020	2019	2022
Cash operating costs (b)	\$ 11.55	\$ 9.91	\$ 11.99	\$12.50 — \$13.00
DD&A expense (c)	11.84	15.80	17.43	\$11.50 — \$12.50
Total Production Unit Costs	\$ 23.39	\$ 25.71	\$ 29.42	\$24.00 — \$25.50

(a) Forecast information excludes any contribution from Libya.

(b) Cash operating costs per boe, excluding Libya, were \$12.11 in 2021 (2020: \$9.85; 2019: \$12.54).

(c) DD&A expense per boe, excluding Libya, was \$12.43 in 2021 (2020: \$15.98; 2019: \$18.52).

Exploration Expenses: Exploration expenses, including items affecting comparability of earnings described below, were as follows:

	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions)		
Exploratory dry hole costs (a).....	\$ 11	\$ 192	\$ 49
Exploration lease and other impairment (b).....	20	51	17
Geological and geophysical expense and exploration overhead.....	131	108	167
	<u>\$ 162</u>	<u>\$ 351</u>	<u>\$ 233</u>

(a) In 2021, dry hole costs primarily related to the Koebi-1 well in the Stabroek Block, offshore Guyana. In 2020, dry hole costs primarily related to the Tanager-1 well in the Kaieteur Block, offshore Guyana, the Galapagos Deep and Oldfield-1 wells in the Gulf of Mexico and the write-off of previously capitalized exploratory wells (see Items Affecting Comparability of Earnings Between Periods below).

(b) In 2020, exploration lease and other impairment included impaired leasehold costs due to a reprioritization of the Corporation's forward capital program (see Items Affecting Comparability of Earnings Between Periods below).

In 2022, we estimate exploration expenses, excluding dry hole expense, to be in the range of \$170 million to \$180 million.

Income Taxes: In 2021, income tax expense was \$585 million compared with an income tax benefit of \$12 million in 2020, primarily due to higher pre-tax income in Libya and Guyana. Income tax expense from Libya operations was \$436 million in 2021 compared with \$38 million in 2020. We are generally not recognizing deferred tax benefit or expense in certain countries, primarily the U.S. (non-Midstream), Denmark (sold in August 2021), and Malaysia, while we maintain valuation allowances against net deferred tax assets in these jurisdictions in accordance with the requirements of U.S. accounting standards. See E&P Items Affecting Comparability of Earnings Between Periods below.

Actual effective tax rates are as follows:

	2021	2020	2019
	%	%	%
Effective income tax benefit (expense) rate.....	(43)	—	(88)
Adjusted effective income tax benefit (expense) rate (a).....	(15)	(5)	(36)

(a) Excludes any contribution from Libya and items affecting comparability of earnings.

In 2022, we estimate income tax expense, excluding Libya and items affecting comparability of earnings between periods, to be in the range of \$300 million to \$310 million.

Items Affecting Comparability of Earnings Between Periods: Reported E&P earnings include the following items affecting comparability of income (expense):

	Before Income Taxes			After Income Taxes		
	2021	2020	2019	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions)					
Impairment and other.....	\$ (147)	\$ (2,126)	\$ —	\$ (147)	\$ (2,049)	\$ —
Dry hole and lease impairment expenses.....	—	(152)	—	—	(150)	—
Crude oil inventories write-down.....	—	(53)	—	—	(52)	—
Severance costs.....	—	(26)	—	—	(26)	—
Cost recovery settlement.....	—	—	(21)	—	—	(19)
Reversal of deferred tax asset valuation allowance.....	—	—	—	—	—	60
Gains on asset sales, net.....	29	79	22	29	79	22
	<u>\$ (118)</u>	<u>\$ (2,278)</u>	<u>\$ 1</u>	<u>\$ (118)</u>	<u>\$ (2,198)</u>	<u>\$ 63</u>

The pre-tax amounts of E&P items affecting comparability of income (expense) as presented in the *Statement of Consolidated Income* are as follows:

	Before Income Taxes		
	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions)		
Gains on asset sales, net	\$ 29	\$ 79	\$ 22
Marketing, including purchased oil and gas	—	(53)	(21)
Operating costs and expenses	—	(20)	—
Exploration expenses, including dry holes and lease impairment	—	(153)	—
General and administrative expenses	—	(5)	—
Impairment and other	(147)	(2,126)	—
	<u>\$ (118)</u>	<u>\$ (2,278)</u>	<u>\$ 1</u>

2021:

- *Gains on asset sales, net:* We recognized a pre-tax gain of \$29 million (\$29 million after income taxes) associated with the sale of our interests in Denmark.
- *Impairment and other:* We recorded a charge of \$147 million (\$147 million after income taxes) for the total estimated future abandonment obligations of the West Delta Field in the Gulf of Mexico. In June 2021, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court approved Fieldwood's bankruptcy plan which included discharging decommissioning obligations, subject to conditions precedent, for certain of Fieldwood's assets. Those obligations will transfer to former owners of the properties, including us with respect to the West Delta Field, which we sold in 2004. Potential recoveries from other parties that previously owned an interest in the West Delta Field have not been recognized as of December 31, 2021. See *Note 12, Impairment and Other* in the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.

2020:

- *Impairment and other:* We recorded noncash impairment charges totaling \$2.1 billion (\$2.0 billion after income taxes) related to our oil and gas properties at North Malay Basin in Malaysia, the South Arne Field in Denmark, and the Stampede and Tubular Bells fields in the Gulf of Mexico, primarily as a result of a lower long-term crude oil price outlook. Other charges totaling \$21 million pre-tax (\$20 million after income taxes) related to drilling rig right-of-use assets in the Bakken and surplus materials and supplies. See *Note 12, Impairment and Other* in the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.
- *Dry hole and lease impairment expenses:* We incurred pre-tax charges totaling \$152 million (\$150 million after income taxes) in the first quarter to write-off previously capitalized exploratory well costs of \$125 million (\$123 million after income taxes) primarily related to the northern portion of the Shenzi Field in the Gulf of Mexico and to impair certain exploration leasehold costs by \$27 million (\$27 million after income taxes) due to a reprioritization of our capital program.
- *Crude oil inventories write-down:* We incurred a pre-tax charge of \$53 million (\$52 million after income taxes) to adjust crude oil inventories to their net realizable value at the end of the first quarter following the significant decline in crude oil prices.
- *Severance costs:* We recorded a pre-tax charge of \$26 million (\$26 million after income taxes) for employee termination benefits incurred related to cost reduction initiatives.
- *Gains on asset sales, net:* We recorded a pre-tax gain of \$79 million (\$79 million after income taxes) associated with the sale of our 28% working interest in the Shenzi Field in the deepwater Gulf of Mexico.

2019:

- *Cost recovery settlement:* We recorded a pre-tax charge of \$21 million (\$19 million after income taxes) related to a settlement on historical cost recovery balances in the JDA that was paid in cash.
- *Reversal of deferred tax asset valuation allowance:* We recorded a noncash income tax benefit of \$60 million, which resulted from the reversal of a valuation allowance against net deferred tax assets in Guyana upon achieving first production from the Liza Phase 1 development.
- *Gains on asset sales, net:* We recorded a pre-tax gain of \$22 million (\$22 million after income taxes) associated with the sale of our remaining acreage in the Utica shale play.

Midstream

Following is a summarized statement of income for our Midstream operations:

	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions)		
Revenues and Non-Operating Income			
Sales and other operating revenues	\$ 1,204	\$ 1,092	\$ 848
Other, net	10	10	4
Total revenues and non-operating income	<u>1,214</u>	<u>1,102</u>	<u>852</u>
Costs and Expenses			
Operating costs and expenses	289	338	279
General and administrative expenses	22	21	56
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	166	157	142
Interest expense	105	95	63
Total costs and expenses	<u>582</u>	<u>611</u>	<u>540</u>
Results of Operations Before Income Taxes	<u>632</u>	<u>491</u>	<u>312</u>
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	15	7	—
Net income (loss)	<u>617</u>	<u>484</u>	<u>312</u>
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	331	254	168
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Hess Corporation	<u>\$ 286</u>	<u>\$ 230</u>	<u>\$ 144</u>

Sales and other operating revenues increased from 2020 primarily due to higher tariff rates and minimum volume commitments partially offset by lower pass-through rail transportation revenue. Operating costs and expenses decreased from 2020 primarily due to lower pass-through transportation costs, which were partially offset by the costs incurred associated with the planned Tioga Gas Plant maintenance turnaround in 2021. DD&A expense increased from 2020 primarily due to additional assets placed in service. Interest expense increased from 2020 primarily due to the \$750 million of 4.250% fixed-rate senior unsecured notes due 2030 issued in August 2021.

In 2022, we estimate net income attributable to Hess Corporation from the Midstream segment to be in the range of \$275 million to \$285 million.

Items Affecting Comparability of Earnings Between Periods: In 2019, we recognized a pre-tax charge of \$30 million (\$16 million after income taxes and noncontrolling interests) in *General and Administrative Expenses* for transaction related costs for Hess Midstream Partners LP's acquisition of HIP and the associated corporate restructuring. See *Note 4, Hess Midstream LP* in the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.

Corporate, Interest and Other

The following table summarizes Corporate, Interest and Other expenses:

	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions)		
Corporate and other expenses (excluding items affecting comparability)	\$ 121	\$ 114	\$ 114
Interest expense	376	373	355
Less: Capitalized interest	—	—	(38)
Interest expense, net	<u>376</u>	<u>373</u>	<u>317</u>
Corporate, Interest and Other expenses before income taxes	<u>497</u>	<u>487</u>	<u>431</u>
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	—	(6)	—
Net Corporate, Interest and Other expenses after income taxes	<u>497</u>	<u>481</u>	<u>431</u>
Items affecting comparability of earnings between periods, after income taxes	—	1	174
Total Corporate, Interest and Other Expenses After Income Taxes	<u>\$ 497</u>	<u>\$ 482</u>	<u>\$ 605</u>

Corporate and other expenses, excluding items affecting comparability, were higher in 2021 compared to 2020 primarily due to a gain from the sale of a property related to a former downstream business in 2020. In 2022, after-tax Corporate and other expenses, excluding items affecting comparability of earnings between periods, are estimated to be in the range of \$120 million to \$130 million. Interest expense, net is estimated to be in the range of \$350 million to \$360 million in 2022.

Items Affecting Comparability of Earnings Between Periods: Corporate, Interest and Other results included the following items affecting comparability of income (expense):

2020:

- *Severance costs:* We incurred a pre-tax charge of \$1 million (\$1 million after income taxes) for employee termination benefits related to cost reduction initiatives.

2019:

- *Pension settlement:* We recorded a noncash pension settlement charge of \$88 million (\$88 million after income taxes) associated with the purchase of a single premium annuity contract by the Hess Corporation Employees' Pension Plan to settle and transfer certain of its obligations to a third party. The charge is included in *Other, net* in the *Statement of Consolidated Income*.
- *Income tax:* We recorded an allocation of noncash income tax expense of \$86 million that was previously a component of accumulated other comprehensive income related to our 2019 crude oil hedge contracts.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The following table sets forth certain relevant measures of our liquidity and capital resources at December 31:

	2021	2020
	(In millions, except ratio)	
Cash and cash equivalents (a)	\$ 2,713	\$ 1,739
Current portion of long-term debt (b)	517	10
Total debt (c)	8,458	8,296
Total equity	7,026	6,335
Debt to capitalization ratio for debt covenants (d)	42.3 %	47.5 %

(a) Includes \$2 million of cash attributable to our Midstream Segment at December 31, 2021 (2020: \$4 million) of which, \$2 million is held by Hess Midstream LP at December 31, 2021 (2020: \$3 million).

(b) Includes the remaining \$500 million outstanding under our \$1 billion term loan maturing in March 2023 that we repaid in February 2022.

(c) Includes \$2,564 million of debt outstanding from our Midstream Segment at December 31, 2021 (2020: \$1,910 million) that is non-recourse to Hess Corporation.

(d) Total Consolidated Debt of Hess Corporation (including finance leases and excluding Midstream non-recourse debt) as a percentage of Total Capitalization of Hess Corporation as defined under Hess Corporation's term loan and revolving credit facility financial covenants. Total Capitalization excludes the impact of noncash impairment charges and non-controlling interests. See Note 7, Debt in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Cash Flows

The following table sets forth a summary of our cash flows:

	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions)		
Net cash provided by (used in):			
Operating activities	\$ 2,890	\$ 1,333	\$ 1,642
Investing activities	(1,325)	(1,707)	(2,843)
Financing activities	(591)	568	52
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 974	\$ 194	\$ (1,149)

Operating Activities: Net cash provided by operating activities was \$2,890 million in 2021 (2020: \$1,333 million), while net cash provided by operating activities before changes in operating assets and liabilities was \$2,991 million in 2021 (2020: \$1,803 million). Net cash provided by operating activities before changes in operating assets and liabilities increased from 2020 primarily due to higher realized selling prices. Changes in operating assets and liabilities in 2021 reduced net cash provided by operating activities by \$101 million, primarily from higher receivables which includes premiums paid on crude oil hedge contracts, and abandonment expenditures, partially offset by accrued income taxes and royalties payable, an increase in accrued liabilities, and a decrease in crude oil inventory resulting from our VLCC transactions. Changes in operating assets and liabilities in 2020 reduced net cash provided by operating activities by \$470 million, primarily from a decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities, an increase in crude oil inventory resulting from our VLCC transactions, and abandonment expenditures, partially offset by lower receivables. At December 31, 2021, we have accrued income taxes and royalties payable of approximately \$470 million in Libya related to operations for the period December 2020 through November 2021, which we paid in January 2022.

Investing Activities: Total Additions to Property, Plant and Equipment were \$1,747 million in 2021 (2020: \$2,197 million). The decrease primarily reflects lower drilling activity. Proceeds from asset sales were \$427 million in 2021 (2020: \$493 million).

Financing Activities: In 2021, we repaid \$500 million of our \$1 billion term loan maturing in March 2023. Borrowings in 2021 related to the \$750 million of 4.250% fixed-rate senior unsecured notes due 2030 issued by our Midstream operating segment, while borrowings in 2020 related to our \$1 billion term loan. Common stock dividends paid were \$311 million in 2021 (2020: \$309 million), and payments to noncontrolling interests were \$664 million in 2021 (2020: \$261 million), which included \$375 million paid to GIP for the repurchase by HESM Opco of approximately 15.6 million GIP-owned Class B units. In 2021, we received net proceeds of \$178 million from two public offerings totaling approximately 7.8 million Hess-owned Class A shares in Hess Midstream LP.

Future Capital Requirements and Resources

At December 31, 2021, we had \$2.71 billion in cash and cash equivalents, excluding Midstream, and total liquidity, including available committed credit facilities, of approximately \$6.3 billion. Our fully undrawn \$3.5 billion committed revolving credit facility matures in May 2024. In January 2022, we paid accrued Libyan income tax and royalties of approximately \$470 million related to operations for the period December 2020 through November 2021. In February 2022, we repaid the remaining \$500 million outstanding under our \$1 billion term loan.

Net production in 2022 is forecast to be in the range of 325,000 boepd to 330,000 boepd, excluding Libya, and we expect our 2022 E&P capital and exploratory expenditures will be approximately \$2.6 billion. For calendar year 2022, we have WTI collars with an average monthly floor price of \$60 per barrel and an average monthly ceiling price of \$100 per barrel for 90,000 bopd, and Brent collars with an average monthly floor price of \$65 per barrel and an average monthly ceiling price of \$105 per barrel for 60,000 bopd.

In 2022, based on current forward strip crude oil prices, we expect cash flow from operating activities and cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2021 will be sufficient to fund our capital investment program, dividends, and the recent repayment of the remaining \$500 million outstanding under our \$1 billion term loan. Depending on market conditions, we may take any of the following steps, or a combination thereof, to improve our liquidity and financial position: reduce the planned capital program and other cash outlays, including dividends, pursue asset sales, borrow against our committed revolving credit facility, or issue debt or equity securities.

The table below summarizes the capacity, usage, and available capacity of our borrowing and letter of credit facilities at December 31, 2021:

	Expiration Date	Capacity	Borrowings	Letters of Credit Issued	Total Used	Available Capacity
(In millions)						
Hess Corporation						
Revolving credit facility	May 2024	\$ 3,500	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 3,500
Committed lines	Various (a)	100	—	29	29	71
Uncommitted lines	Various (a)	230	—	230	230	—
Total - Hess Corporation		<u>\$ 3,830</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 259</u>	<u>\$ 259</u>	<u>\$ 3,571</u>
Midstream						
Revolving credit facility (b)	December 2024	\$ 1,000	\$ 104	\$ —	\$ 104	\$ 896
Total - Midstream		<u>\$ 1,000</u>	<u>\$ 104</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 104</u>	<u>\$ 896</u>

(a) Committed and uncommitted lines have expiration dates through 2022.

(b) This credit facility may only be utilized by HESM Opco and is non-recourse to Hess Corporation.

Hess Corporation:

In April 2021, we amended the Corporation's fully undrawn \$3.5 billion revolving credit facility that had an expiration date in May 2023, by extending the facility for one year to May 2024 and incorporating customary provisions for the eventual replacement of LIBOR, among other changes as set forth in the amended credit agreement. This facility can be used for borrowings and letters of credit. Borrowings on the facility will generally bear interest at 1.40% above LIBOR, though the interest rate is subject to adjustment if the Corporation's credit rating changes. At December 31, 2021, Hess Corporation had no outstanding borrowings or letters of credit under its revolving credit facility.

In 2020, we entered into a \$1 billion three year term loan agreement with a maturity date of March 16, 2023. Borrowings under the term loan generally bear interest at LIBOR plus an initial applicable margin of 2.25%. In July 2021, we repaid \$500 million of the term loan, and in February 2022, we repaid the remaining \$500 million.

The revolving credit facility and term loan are subject to customary representations, warranties, customary events of default and covenants, including a financial covenant limiting the ratio of Total Consolidated Debt to Total Capitalization of the Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries to 65%, and a financial covenant limiting the ratio of secured debt to Consolidated Net Tangible Assets of the Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries to 15% (as these capitalized terms are defined in the credit agreement for the revolving credit facility and the term loan agreement). The indentures for the Corporation's fixed-rate public notes limit the ratio of

secured debt to Consolidated Net Tangible Assets (as that term is defined in the indentures) to 15%. As of December 31, 2021, Hess Corporation was in compliance with these financial covenants. The most restrictive of the financial covenants related to our fixed-rate public notes and our term loan and revolving credit facility would allow us to borrow up to an additional \$1,843 million of secured debt at December 31, 2021. For additional information regarding the alteration or discontinuation of LIBOR on our borrowing costs, see *Financial Risks* in *Item 1A. Risk Factors*.

We had \$259 million in letters of credit outstanding at December 31, 2021 (2020: \$269 million), which relate to our global business operations. We have a shelf registration under which we may issue additional debt securities, warrants, common stock or preferred stock.

Midstream:

At December 31, 2021, HESM Opco, a consolidated subsidiary of Hess Midstream LP, had \$1.4 billion of senior secured syndicated credit facilities maturing December 16, 2024, consisting of a \$1 billion five year revolving credit facility and a fully drawn \$400 million five year term loan A facility. The revolving credit facility can be used for borrowings and letters of credit to fund HESM Opco's operating activities, capital expenditures, distributions and for other general corporate purposes. Borrowings under the five year term loan A facility will generally bear interest at LIBOR plus an applicable margin ranging from 1.55% to 2.50%, while the applicable margin for the five year syndicated revolving credit facility ranges from 1.275% to 2.000%. Pricing levels for the facility fee and interest-rate margins are based on HESM Opco's ratio of total debt to EBITDA (as defined in the credit facilities). If HESM Opco obtains an investment grade credit rating, the pricing levels will be based on HESM Opco's credit ratings in effect from time to time. The credit facilities contain covenants that require HESM Opco to maintain a ratio of total debt to EBITDA (as defined in the credit facilities) for the prior four fiscal quarters of not greater than 5.00 to 1.00 as of the last day of each fiscal quarter (5.50 to 1.00 during the specified period following certain acquisitions) and, prior to HESM Opco obtaining an investment grade credit rating, a ratio of secured debt to EBITDA for the prior four fiscal quarters of not greater than 4.00 to 1.00 as of the last day of each fiscal quarter. HESM Opco was in compliance with these financial covenants at December 31, 2021. The credit facilities are secured by first-priority perfected liens on substantially all of the assets of HESM Opco and its direct and indirect wholly owned material domestic subsidiaries, including equity interests directly owned by such entities, subject to certain customary exclusions. At December 31, 2021, borrowings of \$104 million were drawn under HESM Opco's revolving credit facility, and borrowings of \$390 million, excluding deferred issuance costs, were drawn under HESM Opco's term loan A facility. Borrowings under these credit facilities are non-recourse to Hess Corporation.

Credit Ratings

Two of the three major credit rating agencies that rate our debt have assigned an investment grade rating. In March 2021, Standard and Poor's Ratings Services affirmed our credit rating at BBB- and revised the outlook to stable (from negative). Fitch Ratings affirmed our BBB- credit rating and revised the outlook from stable to positive in August 2021 and Moody's Investors Service affirmed our credit rating at Ba1, which is below investment grade, and revised the outlook from stable to positive in November 2021.

At December 31, 2021, HESM Opco's senior unsecured debt is rated BB+ by Standard and Poor's Ratings Services and Fitch Ratings, and Ba3 by Moody's Investors Service.

Cash Requirements:

Our cash obligations and commitments over the next twelve months include accounts payable, accrued liabilities, the current portion of long-term debt, interest, lease payments, and purchase obligations which cover a portion of our planned capital expenditure program in 2022 and include commitments for oil and gas production expenses, transportation and related contracts, seismic purchases and other normal business expenses.

Our long-term cash obligations and commitments include:

- ***Debt and interest:*** See *Note 7, Debt* in the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.
- ***Operating and finance leases:*** The Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries lease drilling rigs, equipment, logistical assets (offshore vessels, aircraft, and shorebases), and office space for varying periods. See *Note 6, Leases* in the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.
- ***Purchase obligations:*** We were contractually committed at December 31, 2021 for certain long-term capital expenditures and operating expenses. Long-term obligations for operating expenses include commitments for oil and gas production expenses, transportation and related contracts, seismic purchases and other normal business expenses. See *Note 18, Guarantees, Contingencies and Commitments* in the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.
- ***Asset retirement obligations:*** See *Note 8, Asset Retirement Obligations* in the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.

- **Post-retirement plan liabilities:** We have certain unfunded post-retirement plans, including our post-retirement medical plan. See *Note 9, Retirement Plans* in the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.
- **Uncertain income tax positions:** See *Note 15, Income Taxes* in the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At December 31, 2021, we had \$259 million in letters of credit. See also *Note 18, Guarantees, Contingencies and Commitments* in the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.

Foreign Operations

We conduct E&P activities outside the U.S., principally in Guyana, the Joint Development Area of Malaysia/Thailand, Malaysia, Libya, Suriname, and Canada. Therefore, we are subject to the risks associated with foreign operations, including political risk, tax law changes, currency risk, corruption and acts of terrorism. See *Item 1A. Risk Factors* for further details.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Accounting policies and estimates affect the recognition of assets and liabilities in the *Consolidated Balance Sheet* and revenues and expenses in the *Statement of Consolidated Income*. The accounting methods used can affect net income, equity and various financial statement ratios. However, our accounting policies generally do not change cash flows or liquidity.

Accounting for Exploration and Development Costs: E&P activities are accounted for using the successful efforts method. Costs of acquiring unproved and proved oil and gas leasehold acreage, including lease bonuses, brokers' fees and other related costs are capitalized. Annual lease rentals, exploration expenses and exploratory dry hole costs are expensed as incurred. Costs of drilling and equipping productive wells, including development dry holes, and related production facilities are capitalized.

The costs of exploratory wells that find oil and gas reserves are capitalized pending determination of whether proved reserves have been found. Exploratory drilling costs remain capitalized after drilling is completed if (1) the well has found a sufficient quantity of reserves to justify completion as a producing well and (2) sufficient progress is being made in assessing the reserves and the economic and operational viability of the project. If either of those criteria is not met, or if there is substantial doubt about the economic or operational viability of the project, the capitalized well costs are charged to expense. Indicators of sufficient progress in assessing reserves, and the economic and operating viability of a project include: commitment of project personnel, active negotiations for sales contracts with customers, negotiations with governments, operators and contractors and firm plans for additional drilling and other factors.

Crude Oil and Natural Gas Reserves: The determination of estimated proved reserves is a significant element in arriving at the results of operations of E&P activities. The estimates of proved reserves affect well capitalizations, the unit of production depreciation rates of proved properties and wells and equipment, as well as impairment testing of oil and gas assets.

For reserves to be booked as proved they must be determined with reasonable certainty to be economically producible from known reservoirs under existing economic conditions, operating methods and government regulations. In addition, government and project operator approvals must be obtained and, depending on the amount of the project cost, senior management or the Board of Directors must commit to fund the project. We maintain our own internal reserve estimates that are calculated by technical staff that work directly with the oil and gas properties. Our technical staff update reserve estimates throughout the year based on evaluations of new wells, performance reviews, new technical data and other studies. To provide consistency throughout the Corporation, standard reserve estimation guidelines, definitions, reporting reviews and approval practices are used. The internal reserve estimates are subject to internal technical audits and senior management review. We also engage an independent third-party consulting firm to audit approximately 80% of our total proved reserves each year.

Proved reserves are calculated using the average price during the twelve-month period ending December 31 determined as an unweighted arithmetic average of the price on the first day of each month within the year, unless prices are defined by contractual agreements, excluding escalations based on future conditions. As discussed in *Item 1A. Risk Factors*, crude oil prices are volatile which can have an impact on our proved reserves. Crude oil prices used in the determination of proved reserves at December 31, 2021 were \$66.34 per barrel for WTI (2020: \$39.77) and \$68.92 per barrel for Brent (2020: \$43.43). At December 31, 2021, spot prices closed at \$75.21 per barrel for WTI and \$77.02 per barrel for Brent. If crude oil prices in 2022 are at levels below that used in determining 2021 proved reserves, we may recognize negative revisions to our December 31, 2022 proved undeveloped reserves. In addition, we may recognize negative revisions to proved developed reserves, which can vary significantly by asset due to differing operating cost structures. Conversely, price increases in 2022 above those used in determining 2021 proved reserves could result in positive revisions to proved developed and proved undeveloped reserves at December 31, 2022. It is difficult to estimate the magnitude of any potential net negative or positive change in proved reserves at December 31, 2022, due to numerous currently unknown factors, including 2022 crude oil prices, the amount of any additions to proved reserves, positive or negative revisions in

proved reserves related to 2022 reservoir performance, the levels to which industry costs will change in response to 2022 crude oil prices, and management's plans as of December 31, 2022 for developing proved undeveloped reserves. A 10% change in proved developed and proved undeveloped reserves at December 31, 2021 would result in an approximate \$165 million pre-tax change in depreciation, depletion, and amortization expense for 2022 based on projected production volumes. See the *Supplementary Oil and Gas Data* on pages 89 through 98 in the accompanying financial statements for additional information on our oil and gas reserves.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets: We review long-lived assets, including oil and gas fields, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts may not be recovered. Long-lived assets are tested based on identifiable cash flows that are largely independent of the cash flows of other assets and liabilities. If the carrying amounts of the long-lived assets are not expected to be recovered by estimated undiscounted future net cash flows, the assets are impaired and an impairment loss is recorded. The amount of impairment is measured based on the estimated fair value of the assets generally determined by discounting anticipated future net cash flows, an income valuation approach, or by a market-based valuation approach, which are Level 3 fair value measurements.

In the case of oil and gas fields, the present value of future net cash flows is based on management's best estimate of future prices, which is determined with reference to recent historical prices and published forward prices, applied to projected production volumes and discounted at a risk-adjusted rate. The projected production volumes represent reserves, including probable reserves, expected to be produced based on a stipulated amount of capital expenditures. The production volumes, prices and timing of production are consistent with internal projections and other externally reported information. Oil and gas prices used for determining asset impairment will generally differ from those used in the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows, since the standardized measure requires the use of historical twelve-month average prices.

Our impairment tests of long-lived E&P producing assets are based on our best estimates of future production volumes (including recovery factors), selling prices, operating and capital costs, the timing of future production and other factors, which are updated each time an impairment test is performed. We could experience an impairment in the future if one or a combination of the following occur: the projected production volumes from oil and gas fields decrease, crude oil and natural gas selling prices decline significantly for an extended period or future estimated capital and operating costs increase significantly.

As a result of the significant decline in crude oil prices due to the economic slowdown from COVID-19, we reviewed our oil and gas fields and midstream operating segment asset groups for impairment at March 31, 2020. We impaired various oil and gas fields located in Malaysia, Denmark, and the Gulf of Mexico in the first quarter of 2020 primarily as a result of a lower long-term crude oil price outlook. See *Note 12, Impairment and Other* in the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements* for further details.

Hess Midstream LP: We consolidate the activities of our interest in Hess Midstream LP, which qualifies as a variable interest entity (VIE) under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. We have concluded that we are the primary beneficiary of the VIE, as defined in the accounting standards, since we have the power through Hess Corporation's approximate 43.5% consolidated ownership interest in Hess Midstream LP to direct those activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of Hess Midstream LP, and are obligated to absorb losses or have the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to Hess Midstream LP. This conclusion was based on a qualitative analysis that considered Hess Midstream LP's governance structure, the commercial agreements between Hess Midstream LP and us, and the voting rights established between the members, which provide us the ability to control the operations of Hess Midstream LP.

Income Taxes: Judgments are required in the determination and recognition of income tax assets and liabilities in the financial statements. These judgments include the requirement to recognize the financial statement effect of a tax position only when management believes it is more likely than not, based on the technical merits, that the position will be sustained upon examination.

We have net operating loss carryforwards or credit carryforwards in multiple jurisdictions and have recorded deferred tax assets for those losses and credits. Additionally, we have deferred tax assets due to temporary differences between the book basis and tax basis of certain assets and liabilities. Regular assessments are made as to the likelihood of those deferred tax assets being realized. If, when tested under the relevant accounting standards, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized, a valuation allowance is recorded to reduce the deferred tax assets to the amount that is expected to be realized.

The accounting standards require the evaluation of all available positive and negative evidence giving weight based on the evidence's relative objectivity. In evaluating potential sources of positive evidence, we consider the reversal of taxable temporary differences, taxable income in carryback and carryforward periods, the availability of tax planning strategies, the existence of appreciated assets, estimates of future taxable income, and other factors. Estimates of future taxable income are based on assumptions of oil and gas reserves, selling prices, and other subjective operating assumptions that are consistent with internal business forecasts. In evaluating potential sources of negative evidence, we consider a cumulative loss in recent years, any history of operating losses or tax credit carryforwards expiring unused, losses expected in early future years, unsettled circumstances that, if unfavorably resolved, would adversely affect future operations and profit levels on a continuing basis in future years, and any carryback or carryforward period so brief that a significant deductible temporary difference expected to reverse in a single year would limit realization of tax benefits. Due to a sustained low commodity price environment, we remained in a three-year cumulative loss

position, both on a consolidated basis and for certain jurisdictions, at December 31, 2021. A three-year cumulative loss constitutes objective negative evidence to which the accounting standards require we assign significant weight relative to subjective evidence such as our estimates of future taxable income. We are generally not recognizing deferred tax benefit or expense in certain countries, primarily the U.S. (non-Midstream), Denmark (sold in August 2021), and Malaysia, while we maintain valuation allowances against net deferred tax assets in these jurisdictions.

At December 31, 2021, the *Consolidated Balance Sheet* reflects a \$3,838 million valuation allowance against the net deferred tax assets for multiple jurisdictions based on the evaluation of the accounting standards described above. The amount of the deferred tax asset considered realizable, however, could be adjusted if estimates of future taxable income change or if objective negative evidence in the form of cumulative losses is no longer present and additional weight is given to subjective evidence such as expected future growth.

Asset Retirement Obligations: We have material legal obligations to remove and dismantle long-lived assets and to restore land or seabed at certain E&P locations. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, we recognize a liability for the fair value of required asset retirement obligations. In addition, the fair value of any legally required conditional asset retirement obligation is recorded if the liability can be reasonably estimated. We capitalize such costs as a component of the carrying amount of the underlying assets in the period in which the liability is incurred. In subsequent periods, the liability is accreted, and the asset is depreciated over the useful life of the related asset. We estimate the fair value of these obligations by discounting projected future payments that will be required to satisfy the obligations. In determining these estimates, we are required to make several assumptions and judgments related to the scope of dismantlement, timing of settlement, interpretation of legal requirements, inflationary factors and discount rate. In addition, there are other external factors, which could significantly affect the ultimate settlement costs or timing for these obligations including changes in environmental regulations and other statutory requirements, fluctuations in industry costs and foreign currency exchange rates and advances in technology. As a result, our estimates of asset retirement obligations are subject to revision due to the factors described above. Changes in estimates prior to settlement result in adjustments to both the liability and related asset values, unless the field has ceased production, in which case changes are recognized in our *Consolidated Statement of Income*. See Note 8, *Asset Retirement Obligations*.

Retirement Plans: We have funded non-contributory defined benefit pension plans, an unfunded supplemental pension plan and an unfunded postretirement medical plan. We recognize the net change in the funded status of the projected benefit obligation for these plans in the *Consolidated Balance Sheet*. The determination of the obligations and expenses related to these plans are based on several actuarial assumptions. These assumptions represent estimates made by us, some of which can be affected by external factors. The most significant assumptions relate to:

Discount rates used for measuring the present value of future plan obligations and net periodic benefit cost: The discount rates used to estimate our projected benefit obligations and net periodic benefit cost is based on a portfolio of high-quality, fixed income debt instruments with maturities that approximate the expected payment of plan obligations. At December 31, 2021, a 0.25% decrease in the discount rate assumptions would increase projected benefit obligations by approximately \$130 million and would increase forecasted 2022 annual net periodic benefit expense by approximately \$10 million. The increase in the projected benefit obligations would decrease the funded status of our pension plans, but any decrease in the funded status would be partially mitigated by increases in the fair value of fixed income investments in the asset portfolios.

Expected long-term rates of returns on plan assets: The expected return on plan assets is developed from the expected future returns for each asset category, weighted by the target allocation of plan assets to that asset category. The future expected return assumptions for individual asset categories are largely based on inputs from various investment experts regarding their future return expectations for particular asset categories. At December 31, 2021, a 0.25% decrease in the expected long-term rates of return on plan assets assumption would increase forecasted 2022 annual net periodic benefit expense by approximately \$10 million.

Other assumptions include the rate of future increases in compensation levels and expected participant mortality.

Derivatives: We utilize derivative instruments, including futures, forwards, options and swaps, individually or in combination to mitigate our exposure to fluctuations in the prices of crude oil and natural gas, as well as changes in interest and foreign currency exchange rates. All derivative instruments are recorded at fair value in our *Consolidated Balance Sheet*. Our policy for recognizing the changes in fair value of derivatives varies based on the designation of the derivative. The changes in fair value of derivatives that are not designated as hedges are recognized currently in earnings. Derivatives may be designated as hedges of expected future cash flows or forecasted transactions (cash flow hedges), or hedges of changes in fair value of recognized assets and liabilities or of unrecognized firm commitments (fair value hedges). Changes in fair value of derivatives that are designated as cash flow hedges are recorded as a component of other comprehensive income (loss). Amounts included in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for cash flow hedges are reclassified into earnings in the same period that the hedged item is recognized in earnings. Changes in fair value of derivatives designated as fair value hedges are recognized currently in earnings. The change in fair value of the related hedged item is recorded as an adjustment to its carrying amount and recognized currently in earnings.

Fair Value Measurements: We use various valuation approaches in determining fair value for financial instruments, including the market and income approaches. Our fair value measurements also include non-performance risk and time value of money considerations. Counterparty credit is considered for financial assets, and our credit is considered for financial liabilities.

We also record certain nonfinancial assets and liabilities at fair value when required by generally accepted accounting principles. These fair value measurements are recorded in connection with business combinations, qualifying non-monetary exchanges, the initial recognition of asset retirement obligations and any impairment of long-lived assets, equity method investments or goodwill.

We determine fair value in accordance with the fair value measurements accounting standard which established a hierarchy for the inputs used to measure fair value based on the source of the inputs, which generally range from quoted prices for identical instruments in a principal trading market (Level 1) to estimates determined using related market data (Level 3), including discounted cash flows and other unobservable data. Measurements derived indirectly from observable inputs or from quoted prices from markets that are less liquid are considered Level 2. When Level 1 inputs are available within a particular market, those inputs are selected for determination of fair value over Level 2 or 3 inputs in the same market. Multiple inputs may be used to measure fair value; however, the level assigned to a fair value measurement is based on the lowest significant input level within this fair value hierarchy.

Environment, Health and Safety

Our long-term vision and values provide a foundation for how we do business and define our commitment to meeting high standards of corporate citizenship and creating a long lasting positive impact on the communities where we do business. Our strategy is reflected in our EHS & SR policies and by a management system framework that helps protect our workforce, customers and local communities. Our management systems are intended to promote internal consistency, adherence to policy objectives and continual improvement in EHS & SR performance. Improved performance may, in the short-term, increase our operating costs and could also require increased capital expenditures to reduce potential risks to our assets, reputation and license to operate. In addition to enhanced EHS & SR performance, improved productivity and operational efficiencies may be realized from investments in EHS & SR. We have programs in place to evaluate regulatory compliance, audit facilities, train employees, prevent and manage risks and emergencies and to generally meet corporate EHS & SR goals and objectives.

Environmental Matters

We recognize that climate change is a global environmental concern. We assess, monitor and take measures to reduce our carbon footprint at existing and planned operations. The EPA has adopted a series of GHG monitoring, reporting, and emissions control rules for the oil and natural gas industry, and the U.S. Congress has, from time to time, considered adopting further legislation to reduce GHG emissions. For example, in November 2021, the EPA proposed new regulations to establish comprehensive standards of performance and emission guidelines for methane and volatile organic compound emissions from existing operations in the oil and gas sector, including the exploration and production, transmission, processing, and storage segments. The EPA is currently seeking public comments on its proposal, which the EPA hopes to finalize by the end of 2022. In addition, states have taken measures to reduce emissions of GHGs, primarily through the development of GHG emission inventories and/or regional GHG cap-and-trade programs. At the international level, the Paris Agreement on climate change aimed to enhance global response to global temperature changes and to reduce GHG emissions, among other things. We are committed to complying with all GHG emissions regulations that apply to our operations, including those related to venting or flaring of natural gas, and the responsible management of GHG emissions at our facilities. While we monitor climate-related regulatory initiatives and international public policy issues, the current state of ongoing international climate initiatives and any related domestic actions make it difficult to assess the timing or effect on our operations or to predict with certainty the future costs that we may incur in order to comply with future international treaties, legislation or new regulations. However, future restrictions on emissions of GHGs, or related measures to encourage use of low carbon energy could result in higher capital expenditures and operating expenses for us and the oil and gas industry in general and may reduce demand for our products, as described under *Regulatory, Legal and Environmental Risks in Item 1A. Risk Factors*.

We will have continuing expenditures for environmental assessment and remediation. Sites where corrective action may be necessary include E&P facilities, sites from discontinued operations where we retained liability and, although not currently significant, EPA “Superfund” sites where we have been named a potentially responsible party. We accrue for environmental assessment and remediation expenses when the future costs are probable and reasonably estimable. For additional information, see *Item 3. Legal Proceedings*. At December 31, 2021, our reserve for estimated remediation liabilities was approximately \$60 million. We expect that existing reserves for environmental liabilities will adequately cover costs to assess and remediate known sites. Our remediation spending was approximately \$16 million in 2021 (2020: \$15 million; 2019: \$20 million). The amount of other expenditures incurred to comply with federal, state, local and foreign country environmental regulations is difficult to quantify as such costs are captured as mostly indistinguishable components of our capital expenditures and operating expenses.

As an element of our EHS and SR strategy, we purchase carbon credits annually to offset 100 percent of our estimated Scope 3 business travel emissions and 100 percent of our estimated Scope 1 and Scope 3 emissions associated with operating the Corporation’s truck fleet, aviation activities (aircraft and helicopters) and personal and rental vehicle miles driven on company business. We also

offset purchased electricity used in our operations from nonrenewable sources by purchasing renewable energy credits. The cost of purchased carbon and renewable energy credits was not material to our financial results in 2021 and was included in *Operating costs and expenses* in the *Statement of Consolidated Income*.

Health and Safety Matters

The crude oil and natural gas industry is regulated at federal, state, local and foreign government levels regarding the health and safety of E&P operations. Such laws and regulations relate to, among other matters, occupational safety, the use of hydraulic fracturing to stimulate crude oil and natural gas production, well control and integrity, process safety and equipment integrity, and may include permitting and disclosure requirements, operating restrictions and other conditions on the development of crude oil and natural gas. The level of our expenditures to comply with federal, state, local and foreign country health and safety regulations is difficult to quantify as such costs are captured as mostly indistinguishable components of our capital expenditures and operating expenses. While compliance with laws and regulations relating to health and safety matters increases the overall cost of business for us and the oil and gas industry in general, it has not had, to date, a material adverse effect on our operations, financial condition or results of operations.

Occupational Safety: We are subject to the requirements set forth under federal workplace standards by the OSHA and comparable state statutes that regulate the protection of the health and safety of workers. Under OSHA and other federal and state occupational safety and health laws and laws of foreign countries in which we operate, we must develop, maintain and disclose certain information about hazardous materials used, released, or produced in our operations.

Production and Well Integrity: Our U.S. onshore production facilities are subject to U.S. federal government, state and local regulations regarding the use of hydraulic fracturing and well control and integrity. Our offshore production facilities in the Gulf of Mexico are subject to the U.S. federal government's Safety and Environmental Management System regulations, which provide a systematic approach for identifying, managing and mitigating hazards. Adapting to new technical standards and procedures in production and in our well integrity management system is fundamental to our aim of protecting the environment as well as the health and safety of our workforce and the communities in which we operate, and to safeguarding our product.

Process Safety and Equipment Integrity: We are also regulated at federal, state, local and foreign government levels regarding process safety and the integrity of our equipment, including OSHA's Process Safety Management of Highly Hazardous Chemicals standard. ICE are barriers and safeguards that prevent or mitigate process safety incidents through detection, isolation, containment, control or emergency preparedness and response within our facilities. We have established ICE performance standards, which set specific requirements and criteria for inspections and tests that help to ensure ICE barriers are effective. We conduct assessments collaboratively with our operated assets, subject matter experts and technical authorities to evaluate compliance with corporate and asset environment, health and safety standards and procedures, as well as with applicable regulations. For additional information on our emergency response and incident mitigation activities, see *Emergency Preparedness and Response Plans and Procedures* in *Items 1 and 2. Business and Properties*.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

In the normal course of our business, we are exposed to commodity risks related to changes in the prices of crude oil, NGL, and natural gas as well as changes in interest rates and foreign currency values. In the disclosures that follow, financial risk management activities refer to the mitigation of these risks through hedging activities.

Controls: We maintain a control environment under the direction of our Chief Risk Officer. Controls over instruments used in financial risk management activities include volumetric and term limits. Our Treasury department is responsible for administering and monitoring foreign exchange rate and interest rate hedging programs using similar controls and processes, where applicable. Hedging strategies are reviewed annually by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

Instruments: We primarily use forward commodity contracts, foreign exchange forward contracts, futures, swaps, and options in our risk management activities. These contracts are generally widely traded instruments with standardized terms. The following describes these instruments and how we use them:

- **Swaps:** We use financially settled swap contracts with third parties as part of our financial risk management activities. Cash flows from swap contracts are determined based on underlying commodity prices or interest rates and are typically settled over the life of the contract.
- **Forward Foreign Exchange Contracts:** We enter into forward contracts, primarily for the British Pound, Canadian Dollar and Malaysian Ringgit, which commit us to buy or sell a fixed amount of those currencies at a predetermined exchange rate on a future date.
- **Exchange-traded Contracts:** We may use exchange-traded contracts, including futures, on a number of different underlying energy commodities. These contracts are settled daily with the relevant exchange and may be subject to exchange position limits.
- **Options:** Options on various underlying energy commodities include exchange-traded and third-party contracts and have various exercise periods. As a purchaser of options, we pay a premium at the outset and are exposed to the favorable consequence of collecting payment upon exercise depending upon the underlying commodity price movement. As a seller of options, we receive a premium at the outset and are exposed to the unfavorable consequence of having to make payment upon exercise depending upon the underlying commodity price movement.

Financial Risk Management Activities

We have outstanding foreign exchange contracts with notional amounts totaling \$145 million at December 31, 2021 that are used to reduce our exposure to fluctuating foreign exchange rates for various currencies. The change in fair value of foreign exchange contracts from a 10% strengthening or weakening in the U.S. Dollar exchange rate is estimated to be a gain or loss of approximately \$5 million, respectively, at December 31, 2021.

At December 31, 2021, our total long-term debt, which was substantially comprised of fixed-rate instruments, had a carrying value of \$8,458 million and a fair value of \$9,897 million. A 15% increase or decrease in interest rates would decrease or increase the fair value of debt by approximately \$390 million or \$405 million, respectively. Any changes in interest rates do not impact our cash outflows associated with fixed-rate interest payments or settlement of debt principal, unless a debt instrument is repurchased prior to maturity.

For calendar year 2022, we have WTI collars with an average monthly floor price of \$60 per barrel and an average monthly ceiling price of \$100 per barrel for 90,000 bopd, and Brent collars with an average monthly floor price of \$65 per barrel and an average monthly ceiling price of \$105 per barrel for 60,000 bopd. As of December 31, 2021, an assumed 10% increase in the forward WTI and Brent crude oil prices used in determining the fair value of our collars would reduce the fair value of these derivative instruments by approximately \$100 million, while an assumed 10% decrease in the same crude oil prices would increase the fair value of these derivative instruments by approximately \$100 million.

See Note 20, *Financial Risk Management Activities* in the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements* for further details.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

HESS CORPORATION AND CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS


	<u>Page Number</u>
Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting	50
Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (PCAOB ID 42)	51
Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2021, and 2020	54
Statement of Consolidated Income for each of the Three Years in the Period Ended December 31, 2021	55
Statement of Consolidated Comprehensive Income for each of the Three Years in the Period Ended December 31, 2021	56
Statement of Consolidated Cash Flows for each of the Three Years in the Period Ended December 31, 2021	57
Statement of Consolidated Equity for each of the Three Years in the Period Ended December 31, 2021	58
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	
Note 1 - Nature of Operations, Basis of Presentation and Summary of Accounting Policies	59
Note 2 - Inventories	64
Note 3 - Property, Plant and Equipment	64
Note 4 - Hess Midstream LP	65
Note 5 - Accrued Liabilities	67
Note 6 - Leases	67
Note 7 - Debt	69
Note 8 - Asset Retirement Obligations	71
Note 9 - Retirement Plans	72
Note 10 - Revenue	76
Note 11 - Dispositions	76
Note 12 - Impairment and Other	77
Note 13 - Severance Costs	77
Note 14 - Share-based Compensation	77
Note 15 - Income Taxes	79
Note 16 - Outstanding and Weighted Average Common Shares	82
Note 17 - Supplementary Cash Flow Information	83
Note 18 - Guarantees, Contingencies and Commitments	83
Note 19 - Segment Information	85
Note 20 - Financial Risk Management Activities	86
Note 21 - Subsequent Events	88
Supplementary Oil and Gas Data	89

Schedules have been omitted because of the absence of the conditions under which they are required or because the required information is presented in the financial statements or the notes thereto.


Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, based on the framework in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework). Based on our evaluation, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2021.

The Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, has audited the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2021, as stated in their report, which is included herein.

By 

John P. Kielly
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

By 

John B. Hess
Chief Executive Officer

March 1, 2022

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders Hess Corporation

Opinion on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We have audited Hess Corporation and consolidated subsidiaries' (the "Corporation") internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2021, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (the COSO criteria). In our opinion, Hess Corporation and consolidated subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2021, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the consolidated balance sheets of the Corporation as of December 31, 2021 and 2020, the related statements of consolidated income, comprehensive income, cash flows and equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2021, and the related notes and our report dated March 1, 2022 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Basis for Opinion

The Corporation's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Corporation in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects.

Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Definition and Limitations of Internal Control over Financial Reporting

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.



New York, New York
March 1, 2022

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders Hess Corporation

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Hess Corporation and consolidated subsidiaries (the “Corporation”) as of December 31, 2021 and 2020, the related statements of consolidated income, comprehensive income, cash flows and equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2021, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the “consolidated financial statements”). In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Corporation at December 31, 2021 and 2020, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2021, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the Corporation’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2021, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework), and our report dated March 1, 2022 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Corporation’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Corporation’s financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Corporation in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Critical Audit Matter

The critical audit matter communicated below is a matter arising from the current period audit of the financial statements that was communicated or required to be communicated to the audit committee and that: (1) relates to accounts or disclosures that are material to the financial statements and (2) involved our especially challenging, subjective or complex judgments. The communication of the critical audit matter does not alter in any way our opinion on the consolidated financial statements, taken as a whole, and we are not, by communicating the critical audit matter below, providing a separate opinion on the critical audit matter or on the accounts or disclosures to which it relates.

Depreciation, depletion and amortization of proved oil and natural gas properties

Description of the Matter

The net book value of the Corporation’s exploration and production assets was \$11,047 million at December 31, 2021, and depreciation, depletion and amortization (DD&A) expense was \$1,361 million for the year then ended. As described in Note 1 to the financial statements, the Corporation follows the successful efforts method of accounting for its oil and gas exploration and production activities. Under the successful efforts method of accounting, DD&A expense is recorded using the units-of-production method, based on proved oil and gas reserves, as estimated by petroleum engineering specialists, for property acquisition costs and proved developed oil and gas reserves, also estimated by petroleum engineering specialists, for oil and gas production facilities and wells. Proved oil and gas reserves are based on geological and engineering evaluations of estimated in-place hydrocarbon volumes using financial and non-financial inputs. Significant judgment is required by the Corporations’ internal engineering staff in evaluating the geological and engineering data used to estimate reserves. Estimating proved reserves also requires the selection of inputs, including oil and natural gas price assumptions, future operating and capital costs assumptions and tax rates by jurisdiction, among others. Management used independent petroleum engineering specialists to audit

approximately 88% of the Corporation's proved reserves at December 31, 2021 as prepared by the Corporation's internal engineering staff.

Auditing the Corporation's DD&A expense calculation is complex because of our need to assess the reasonableness of management's determination of the inputs described above used in estimating proved oil and gas reserves and to use the work of the internal engineering staff and independent petroleum engineering specialists.

How We Addressed the Matter in Our Audit

We obtained an understanding, evaluated the design and tested the operating effectiveness of internal controls that address the risks of material misstatement relating to the DD&A expense calculation. This included controls over the completeness and accuracy of the financial data used in estimating proved oil and gas reserves.

Our testing of the Corporation's DD&A expense calculation included, among other procedures, evaluating the professional qualifications and objectivity of the Corporation's internal petroleum engineering specialist responsible for overseeing the preparation of the Corporation's reserve estimates and of the independent petroleum engineering specialist used to audit the estimates. In addition, we tested the completeness and accuracy of the financial data used in the estimation of proved oil and gas reserves by agreeing significant inputs to source documentation, where available, on a sample basis and assessing the inputs for reasonableness based on review of corroborative evidence and consideration of any contrary evidence. Additionally, we performed analytic and lookback procedures on inputs into the oil and gas reserve estimate as well as on the outputs. Finally, we tested the mathematical accuracy of the DD&A expense calculations, including comparing the proved oil and gas reserves to the Corporation's reserve report.



We have served as the Corporation's auditor since 1971
New York, New York
March 1, 2022

HESS CORPORATION AND CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

	December 31,	
	2021	2020
	(In millions, except share amounts)	
Assets		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,713	\$ 1,739
Accounts receivable:		
From contracts with customers	1,062	710
Joint venture and other	149	150
Inventories	223	378
Other current assets	199	104
Total current assets	<u>4,346</u>	<u>3,081</u>
Property, plant and equipment:		
Total — at cost	31,178	30,519
Less: Reserves for depreciation, depletion, amortization and lease impairment	16,996	16,404
Property, plant and equipment — net	<u>14,182</u>	<u>14,115</u>
Operating lease right-of-use assets — net	352	426
Finance lease right-of-use assets — net	144	168
Post-retirement benefit assets	409	45
Goodwill	360	360
Deferred income taxes	71	59
Other assets	651	567
Total Assets	<u><u>\$ 20,515</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 18,821</u></u>
Liabilities		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 220	\$ 200
Accrued liabilities	1,710	1,251
Taxes payable	528	81
Current portion of long-term debt	517	10
Current portion of operating and finance lease obligations	89	81
Total current liabilities	<u>3,064</u>	<u>1,623</u>
Long-term debt	7,941	8,286
Long-term operating lease obligations	394	478
Long-term finance lease obligations	200	220
Deferred income taxes	383	342
Asset retirement obligations	1,005	894
Other liabilities and deferred credits	502	643
Total Liabilities	<u>13,489</u>	<u>12,486</u>
Equity		
Hess Corporation stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, par value \$1.00; Authorized — 600,000,000 shares:		
Issued — 309,744,953 shares (2020: 306,980,092)	310	307
Capital in excess of par value	6,017	5,684
Retained earnings	379	130
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(406)	(755)
Total Hess Corporation stockholders' equity	<u>6,300</u>	<u>5,366</u>
Noncontrolling interests	726	969
Total equity	<u>7,026</u>	<u>6,335</u>
Total Liabilities and Equity	<u><u>\$ 20,515</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 18,821</u></u>

The consolidated financial statements reflect the successful efforts method of accounting for oil and gas exploration and production activities.

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

HESS CORPORATION AND CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES
STATEMENT OF CONSOLIDATED INCOME

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions, except per share amounts)		
Revenues and Non-Operating Income			
Sales and other operating revenues	\$ 7,473	\$ 4,667	\$ 6,495
Gains on asset sales, net	29	87	22
Other, net	81	50	(7)
Total revenues and non-operating income	<u>7,583</u>	<u>4,804</u>	<u>6,510</u>
Costs and Expenses			
Marketing, including purchased oil and gas	2,034	936	1,736
Operating costs and expenses	1,229	1,218	1,237
Production and severance taxes	172	124	184
Exploration expenses, including dry holes and lease impairment	162	351	233
General and administrative expenses	340	357	397
Interest expense	481	468	380
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	1,528	2,074	2,122
Impairment and other	147	2,126	—
Total costs and expenses	<u>6,093</u>	<u>7,654</u>	<u>6,289</u>
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	<u>1,490</u>	<u>(2,850)</u>	<u>221</u>
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	600	(11)	461
Net Income (Loss)	<u>890</u>	<u>(2,839)</u>	<u>(240)</u>
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	331	254	168
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Hess Corporation	<u>559</u>	<u>(3,093)</u>	<u>(408)</u>
Less: Preferred stock dividends	—	—	4
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Hess Corporation Common Stockholders	<u>\$ 559</u>	<u>\$ (3,093)</u>	<u>\$ (412)</u>
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Hess Corporation Per Common Share:			
Basic	\$ 1.82	\$ (10.15)	\$ (1.37)
Diluted	\$ 1.81	\$ (10.15)	\$ (1.37)
Weighted Average Number of Common Shares Outstanding:			
Basic	307.4	304.8	301.2
Diluted	309.3	304.8	301.2
Common Stock Dividends Per Share	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.00

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

HESS CORPORATION AND CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES
STATEMENT OF CONSOLIDATED COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions)		
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 890	\$ (2,839)	\$ (240)
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss):			
Derivatives designated as cash flow hedges			
Effect of hedge (gains) losses reclassified to income	243	(547)	(1)
Income taxes on effect of hedge (gains) losses reclassified to income	—	—	—
Net effect of hedge (gains) losses reclassified to income	<u>243</u>	<u>(547)</u>	<u>(1)</u>
Change in fair value of cash flow hedges	(315)	649	(462)
Income taxes on change in fair value of cash flow hedges	—	—	86
Net change in fair value of cash flow hedges	<u>(315)</u>	<u>649</u>	<u>(376)</u>
Change in derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, after taxes	(72)	102	(377)
Pension and other postretirement plans			
(Increase) reduction in unrecognized actuarial losses	355	(205)	(160)
Income taxes on actuarial changes in plan liabilities	—	—	—
(Increase) reduction in unrecognized actuarial losses, net	<u>355</u>	<u>(205)</u>	<u>(160)</u>
Amortization of net actuarial losses	66	47	144
Income taxes on amortization of net actuarial losses	—	—	—
Net effect of amortization of net actuarial losses	<u>66</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>144</u>
Change in pension and other postretirement plans, after taxes	421	(158)	(16)
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	349	(56)	(393)
Comprehensive Income (Loss)	1,239	(2,895)	(633)
Less: Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	331	254	168
Comprehensive Income (Loss) Attributable to Hess Corporation	\$ 908	\$ (3,149)	\$ (801)

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

HESS CORPORATION AND CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES
STATEMENT OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions)		
Cash Flows From Operating Activities			
Net income (loss)	\$ 890	\$ (2,839)	\$ (240)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
(Gains) on asset sales, net	(29)	(87)	(22)
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	1,528	2,074	2,122
Impairment and other	147	2,126	—
Exploratory dry hole costs	11	192	49
Exploration lease and other impairment	20	51	17
Pension settlement loss	9	—	93
Stock compensation expense	77	79	85
Noncash (gains) losses on commodity derivatives, net	216	260	116
Provision (benefit) for deferred income taxes and other tax accruals	122	(53)	17
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable	(748)	267	(383)
(Increase) decrease in inventories	135	(117)	(16)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	241	(533)	4
Increase (decrease) in taxes payable	447	(16)	16
Changes in other operating assets and liabilities	(176)	(71)	(216)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	<u>2,890</u>	<u>1,333</u>	<u>1,642</u>
Cash Flows From Investing Activities			
Additions to property, plant and equipment - E&P	(1,584)	(1,896)	(2,433)
Additions to property, plant and equipment - Midstream	(163)	(301)	(396)
Payments for Midstream equity investments	—	—	(33)
Proceeds from asset sales, net of cash sold	427	493	22
Other, net	(5)	(3)	(3)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	<u>(1,325)</u>	<u>(1,707)</u>	<u>(2,843)</u>
Cash Flows From Financing Activities			
Net borrowings (repayments) of debt with maturities of 90 days or less	(80)	152	32
Debt with maturities of greater than 90 days:			
Borrowings	750	1,000	760
Repayments	(510)	—	(8)
Proceeds from sale of Class A shares of Hess Midstream LP	178	—	—
Employee stock options exercised	77	15	40
Cash dividends paid	(311)	(309)	(316)
Payments on finance lease obligations	(10)	(7)	(49)
Common stock acquired and retired	—	—	(25)
Noncontrolling interests, net	(664)	(261)	(353)
Other, net	(21)	(22)	(29)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>(591)</u>	<u>568</u>	<u>52</u>
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	974	194	(1,149)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	1,739	1,545	2,694
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year	<u>\$ 2,713</u>	<u>\$ 1,739</u>	<u>\$ 1,545</u>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

HESS CORPORATION AND CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES
STATEMENT OF CONSOLIDATED EQUITY

	Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Capital in Excess of Par	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Hess Stockholders' Equity	Noncontrolling Interests	Total Equity
(In millions)								
Balance at December 31, 2018	\$ 1	\$ 291	\$ 5,386	\$ 4,257	\$ (306)	\$ 9,629	\$ 1,259	\$ 10,888
Net income (loss)	—	—	—	(408)	—	(408)	168	(240)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	—	—	—	—	(393)	(393)	—	(393)
Preferred stock conversion	(1)	12	(11)	—	—	—	—	—
Share-based compensation	—	2	123	—	—	125	—	125
Dividends on preferred stock	—	—	—	(4)	—	(4)	—	(4)
Dividends on common stock	—	—	—	(310)	—	(310)	—	(310)
Conversion of Midstream structure	—	—	15	—	—	15	(22)	(7)
Sale of water business to Hess Infrastructure Partners	—	—	78	—	—	78	(78)	—
Noncontrolling interests, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	(353)	(353)
Balance at December 31, 2019	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 305</u>	<u>\$ 5,591</u>	<u>\$ 3,535</u>	<u>\$ (699)</u>	<u>\$ 8,732</u>	<u>\$ 974</u>	<u>\$ 9,706</u>
Net income (loss)	—	—	—	(3,093)	—	(3,093)	254	(2,839)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	—	—	—	—	(56)	(56)	—	(56)
Share-based compensation	—	2	93	(5)	—	90	—	90
Dividends on common stock	—	—	—	(307)	—	(307)	—	(307)
Noncontrolling interests, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	(259)	(259)
Balance at December 31, 2020	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 307</u>	<u>\$ 5,684</u>	<u>\$ 130</u>	<u>\$ (755)</u>	<u>\$ 5,366</u>	<u>\$ 969</u>	<u>\$ 6,335</u>
Net income (loss)	—	—	—	559	—	559	331	890
Other comprehensive income (loss)	—	—	—	—	349	349	—	349
Share-based compensation	—	3	153	—	—	156	—	156
Dividends on common stock	—	—	—	(310)	—	(310)	—	(310)
Sale of Class A shares of Hess Midstream LP	—	—	152	—	—	152	103	255
Repurchase of Class B units of Hess Midstream Operations LP	—	—	28	—	—	28	(390)	(362)
Noncontrolling interests, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	(287)	(287)
Balance at December 31, 2021	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 310</u>	<u>\$ 6,017</u>	<u>\$ 379</u>	<u>\$ (406)</u>	<u>\$ 6,300</u>	<u>\$ 726</u>	<u>\$ 7,026</u>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

1. Nature of Operations, Basis of Presentation and Summary of Accounting Policies

Unless the context indicates otherwise, references to “Hess”, “the Corporation”, “Registrant”, “we”, “us” and “our” refer to the consolidated business operations of Hess Corporation and its affiliates.

Nature of Business: Hess Corporation, incorporated in the State of Delaware in 1920, is a global E&P company engaged in exploration, development, production, transportation, purchase and sale of crude oil, natural gas liquids, and natural gas with production operations located primarily in the United States (U.S.), Guyana, the Malaysia/Thailand Joint Development Area (JDA), and Malaysia. We conduct exploration activities primarily offshore Guyana, the U.S. Gulf of Mexico, and offshore Suriname and Canada.

Our Midstream operating segment, which is comprised of Hess Corporation’s approximate 43.5% consolidated ownership interest in Hess Midstream LP at December 31, 2021 (see *Note 4, Hess Midstream LP*) provides fee-based services, including gathering, compressing and processing natural gas and fractionating NGL; gathering, terminaling, loading and transporting crude oil and NGL; storing and terminaling propane, and water handling services primarily in the Bakken shale play in the Williston Basin area of North Dakota.

Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation: The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Hess Corporation and entities in which we own more than a 50% voting interest. Commencing December 16, 2019, we consolidate Hess Midstream LP, a variable interest entity that acquired Hess Infrastructure Partners LP (HIP), based on our conclusion that we have the power through Hess Corporation’s approximate 43.5% consolidated ownership interest in Hess Midstream LP to direct those activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of Hess Midstream LP, and are obligated to absorb losses or have the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to Hess Midstream LP. Prior to December 16, 2019, we consolidated HIP, also a variable interest entity based on the conclusion that we had the power to direct the activities that most significantly impacted the economic performance of HIP, and were obligated to absorb losses or had the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to HIP. This conclusion was based on a qualitative analysis that considered Hess Midstream LP’s governance structure, the commercial agreements between Hess Midstream LP and us, and the voting rights established between the members, which provide us the ability to control the operations of Hess Midstream LP.

Our undivided interests in unincorporated oil and gas E&P ventures are proportionately consolidated. Investments in affiliated companies, 20% to 50% owned and where we have the ability to influence the operating or financial decisions of the affiliate, are accounted for using the equity method.

Estimates and Assumptions: In preparing financial statements in conformity with GAAP, management makes estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities in the *Consolidated Balance Sheet* and revenues and expenses in the *Statement of Consolidated Income*. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Estimates made by management include oil and gas reserves, asset and other valuations, depreciable lives, pension liabilities, legal and environmental obligations, asset retirement obligations and income taxes.

Revenue Recognition:

Exploration and Production

The E&P segment recognizes revenue from the sale of crude oil, NGL, and natural gas as performance obligations under contracts with customers are satisfied. Our responsibilities to deliver each unit of quantity of crude oil, NGL, and natural gas under these contracts represent separate, distinct performance obligations. These performance obligations are satisfied at the point in time control of each unit of quantity transfers to the customer. Generally, the control of each unit of quantity transfers to the customer upon the transfer of legal title at the point of physical delivery. Pricing is variable and is determined with reference to a particular market or pricing index, plus or minus adjustments reflecting quality or location differentials.

For long-term international natural gas contracts with ship-or-pay provisions, our obligation to stand-ready to provide a minimum volume over each commitment period represents separate, distinct performance obligations. Penalties owed against future deliveries of natural gas due to delivery of volumes below minimum delivery commitments are recognized as reductions to revenue in the commitment period when the shortfall occurs. Long-term international natural gas contracts may also contain take-or-pay provisions whereby the customer is required to pay for volumes not taken that are below minimum volume commitments but the customer has certain make-up rights to receive shortfall volumes in subsequent periods. Shortfall payments received from customers when volumes purchased are below the minimum volume commitment are deferred upon receipt as a contract liability. Revenue is recognized at the earlier of when we deliver the make-up volumes in subsequent periods or when it becomes remote that the customer will exercise their make-up rights.

Certain crude oil, NGL, and natural gas volumes are purchased by Hess from third parties, including working interest partners and royalty owners in certain Hess-operated properties, before they are sold to customers. Where control over the crude oil, NGL, or natural gas transfers to Hess before the volumes are transferred to the customer, revenue and the associated cost of purchased volumes are presented on a gross basis in the *Statement of Consolidated Income* within *Sales and other operating revenues* and *Marketing, including purchased oil and gas*, respectively. Where control of crude oil, NGL, or natural gas is not transferred to Hess, revenue is presented net of the associated cost of purchased volumes within *Sales and other operating revenues* in the *Statement of Consolidated Income*.

Contract Duration and Pricing:

Contracts with customers for the sale of U.S. crude oil, NGL, and natural gas primarily include those contracts that involve the short-term sale of volumes during a specified period, and those contracts that automatically renew on a periodic basis until either party cancels. We have certain long-term contracts with customers for the sale of U.S. natural gas and NGL that have remaining durations ranging from one to eleven years.

Contracts with customers for the sale of international crude oil involve the short-term sale of volumes during a specified period. Pricing is determined with reference to a particular market or pricing index, plus or minus adjustments reflecting quality or location differentials, shortly after control of the volumes transfers to the customer. International contracts with customers for the sale of natural gas are in the form of natural gas sales agreements with government entities that have durations that are aligned with the durations of production sharing contracts or other contractual arrangements with host governments. Pricing is determined using contractual formulas that are based on the price of alternative fuels as obtained from price indices and other factors.

Contract Balances:

Our right to receive or collect payment from the customer is aligned with the timing of revenue recognition except in situations when we receive shortfall payments under contracts with take-or-pay provisions with customer make-up rights.

Generally, we receive payments from customers on a monthly basis, shortly after the physical delivery of the crude oil, NGL, or natural gas.

Transaction Price Allocated to Remaining Performance Obligations:

The transaction price allocated to our wholly unsatisfied performance obligations on uncompleted contracts is variable. Further, many of our contracts with customers have durations of less than twelve months. Accordingly, we have elected under the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 606, *Revenues from Contracts with Customers*, the exemption from disclosure of revenue recognizable in future periods as these performance obligations are satisfied.

Sales-based Taxes:

We exclude sales-based taxes that are collected from customers from the transaction price in our contracts with customers. Accordingly, revenue from contracts with customers is net of sales-based taxes that are collected from customers and remitted to taxing authorities.

Midstream

Our Midstream segment provides gathering, compression, processing, fractionation, storage, terminaling, loading and transportation, and water handling services.

The Midstream segment has multiple long-term, fee-based commercial agreements with certain subsidiaries of Hess, each generally with an initial ten-year term that can be extended for an additional ten-year term at the unilateral right of Hess Midstream. These contracts have minimum volumes the customer is obligated to provide each calendar quarter. The minimum volume commitments are subject to fluctuation based on nominations covering substantially all of our E&P segment's production and projected third-party volumes that will be purchased in the Bakken. As the minimum volume commitments are subject to fluctuation, and as these contracts contain fee inflation escalators and fee recalculation mechanisms, substantially all of the transaction price at contract inception is variable. The midstream segment also has long-term, fee based commercial agreements for water handling services with a subsidiary of Hess with an initial 14 year term that can be extended for an additional ten-year term at the unilateral right of Hess Midstream. Water handling services are provided for an agreed-upon fee per barrel or the reimbursement of third-party fees.

The Midstream segment's responsibilities to provide each of the above services for each year under each of the commercial agreements are considered separate, distinct performance obligations. Revenue is recognized for each performance obligation under these commercial agreements over-time as services are rendered using the output method, measured using the amount of volumes serviced during the period. The Midstream segment has elected the practical expedient under the provisions of *ASC 606, Revenue*

from *Contracts with Customers* to recognize revenue in the amount it is entitled to invoice. If the commercial agreements have ship-or-pay provisions, the Midstream segment's responsibility to stand-ready to service a minimum volume over each quarterly commitment period represent separate, distinct performance obligations. Shortfall payments received under ship-or-pay provisions are recognized as revenue in the calendar quarter the shortfall occurs as the customer does not have make-up rights beyond the calendar quarter end of the quarterly commitment period. All revenues, receivables, and contract balances arising from the commercial agreements between the Midstream segment and the Hess subsidiaries that are the counterparty to the commercial agreements are eliminated upon consolidation.

On December 30, 2020, Hess Midstream exercised its renewal options to extend the terms of certain gas gathering, crude oil gathering, gas processing and fractionation, storage, and terminal and export commercial agreements for the secondary term through December 31, 2033. There were no changes to any provisions of the existing commercial agreements as a result of the exercise of the renewal options.

Exploration and Development Costs: E&P activities are accounted for using the successful efforts method. Costs of acquiring unproved and proved oil and gas leasehold acreage, including lease bonuses, brokers' fees and other related costs are capitalized. Annual lease rentals, exploration expenses and exploratory dry hole costs are expensed as incurred. Costs of drilling and equipping productive wells, including development dry holes, and related production facilities are capitalized.

The costs of exploratory wells that find oil and gas reserves are capitalized pending determination of whether proved reserves have been found. Exploratory drilling costs remain capitalized after drilling is completed if (1) the well has found a sufficient quantity of reserves to justify completion as a producing well and (2) sufficient progress is being made in assessing the reserves and the economic and operational viability of the project. If either of those criteria is not met, or if there is substantial doubt about the economic or operational viability of a project, the capitalized well costs are charged to expense. Indicators of sufficient progress in assessing reserves and the economic and operating viability of a project include commitment of project personnel, active negotiations for sales contracts with customers, negotiations with governments, operators and contractors, firm plans for additional drilling and other factors.

Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization: We record depletion expense for acquisition costs of proved properties using the units of production method over proved oil and gas reserves. Depreciation and depletion expense for oil and gas production facilities and wells is calculated using the units of production method over proved developed oil and gas reserves. Provisions for impairment of undeveloped oil and gas leases are based on periodic evaluations and other factors. Depreciation of all other plant and equipment is determined on the straight-line method based on estimated useful lives.

Capitalized Interest: Interest from external borrowings is capitalized on material projects using the weighted average cost of outstanding borrowings until the project is substantially complete and ready for its intended use, which for oil and gas assets is at first production from the field. Capitalized interest is depreciated in the same manner as the depreciation of the underlying assets.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets: We review long-lived assets, including oil and gas fields, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts may not be recovered. If the carrying amounts of the long-lived assets are not expected to be recovered by estimated undiscounted future net cash flows, the assets are impaired and an impairment loss is recorded. The amount of impairment is measured based on the estimated fair value of the assets generally determined by discounting anticipated future net cash flows, an income valuation approach, or by a market-based valuation approach, which are Level 3 fair value measurements.

In the case of oil and gas fields, the present value of future net cash flows is based on management's best estimate of future prices, which is determined with reference to recent historical prices and published forward prices, applied to projected production volumes and discounted at a risk-adjusted rate. The projected production volumes represent reserves, including probable reserves, expected to be produced based on a projected amount of capital expenditures. The production volumes, prices and timing of production are consistent with internal projections and other externally reported information. Oil and gas prices used for determining asset impairment will generally differ from those used in the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows reported in *Supplementary Oil and Gas Data*, since the standardized measure requires the use of historical twelve-month average prices.

Impairment of Goodwill: Goodwill is tested for impairment annually on October 1st or when events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the goodwill may not be recoverable. To determine whether goodwill is impaired, the fair value of a reporting unit is compared with its carrying value, including goodwill. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, goodwill is not impaired. If the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, an impairment charge would be recorded for the excess of the carrying value over fair value, limited by the amount of goodwill allocated to the reporting unit. At December 31, 2021, goodwill of \$360 million relates to the Midstream operating segment.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: Cash and cash equivalents primarily comprises cash on hand and on deposit, as well as highly liquid investments that are readily convertible into cash and have maturities of three months or less when acquired.

Inventories: Produced and unsold crude oil and NGL are valued at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Cost is determined using the average cost of production plus any transport cost incurred in bringing the volumes to their present location. Materials and supplies are valued at cost. Obsolete or surplus materials identified during periodic reviews are valued at the lower of cost or estimated net realizable value.

Leases: We determine if an arrangement is a lease at inception by evaluating whether the contract conveys the right to control an identified asset during the period of use. Right-of-use (ROU) assets represent our right to use an identified asset for the lease term and lease obligations represent our obligation to make payments as set forth in the lease arrangement. ROU assets and lease liabilities are recognized in the *Consolidated Balance Sheet* as operating leases or finance leases at the commencement date based on the present value of the minimum lease payments over the lease term. Where the implicit discount rate in a lease is not readily determinable, we use our incremental borrowing rate based on information available at the commencement date for determining the present value of the minimum lease payments. The lease term used in measurement of our lease obligations includes options to extend or terminate the lease when, in our judgment, it is reasonably certain that we will exercise that option. Variable lease payments that depend on an index or a rate are included in the measurement of lease obligations using the index or rate at the commencement date. Variable lease payments that vary because of changes in facts or circumstances after the commencement date of the lease are not included in the minimum lease payments used to measure lease obligations. We have agreements that include financial obligations for lease and nonlease components. For purposes of measuring lease obligations, we have elected not to separate nonlease components from lease components for the following classes of assets: drilling rigs, office space, offshore vessels, and aircraft. We apply a portfolio approach to account for operating lease ROU assets and liabilities for certain vehicles, railcars, field equipment and office equipment leases.

Finance lease cost is recognized as amortization of the ROU asset and interest expense on the lease liability. Operating lease cost is generally recognized on a straight-line basis. Operating lease costs for drilling rigs used to drill development wells and successful exploration wells are capitalized. Operating lease cost for other ROU assets used in oil and gas producing activities are either capitalized or expensed on a straight-line basis based on the nature of operation for which the ROU asset is utilized.

Leases with an initial term of 12 months or less are not recorded on the balance sheet as permitted under ASC 842, *Leases*. We recognize lease cost for short-term leases on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Some of our leases include one or more options to renew. The renewal option is at our sole discretion and is not included in the lease term for measurement of the lease obligation unless we are reasonably certain at the commencement date of the lease, to renew the lease.

Income Taxes: Deferred income taxes are determined using the liability method. We have net operating loss carryforwards or credit carryforwards in multiple jurisdictions and have recorded deferred tax assets for those losses and credits. Additionally, we have deferred tax assets due to temporary differences between the book basis and tax basis of certain assets and liabilities. Regular assessments are made as to the likelihood of those deferred tax assets being realized. If, when tested under the relevant accounting standards, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized, a valuation allowance is recorded to reduce the deferred tax assets to the amount that is expected to be realized. The accounting standards require the evaluation of all available positive and negative evidence giving weight based on the evidence's relative objectivity. In evaluating potential sources of positive evidence, we consider the reversal of taxable temporary differences, taxable income in carryback and carryforward periods, the availability of tax planning strategies, the existence of appreciated assets, estimates of future taxable income, and other factors. In evaluating potential sources of negative evidence, we consider a cumulative loss in recent years, any history of operating losses or tax credit carryforwards expiring unused, losses expected in early future years, unsettled circumstances that, if unfavorably resolved, would adversely affect future operations and profit levels on a continuing basis in future years, and any carryback or carryforward period so brief that a significant deductible temporary difference expected to reverse in a single year would limit realization of tax benefits. We assign cumulative historical losses significant weight in the evaluation of realizability relative to more subjective evidence such as forecasts of future income. In addition, we recognize the financial statement effect of a tax position only when management believes that it is more likely than not, that based on the technical merits, the position will be sustained upon examination. We are no longer indefinitely reinvested with respect to the book in excess of tax basis in the investment in our foreign subsidiaries. Because of U.S. tax reform we expect that the future reversal of such temporary differences will occur free of material taxation. We classify interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions as income tax expense. We account for the U.S. tax effect of global intangible low-taxed income earned by foreign subsidiaries in the period that such income is earned. We utilize the aggregate approach for releasing disproportionate income tax effects from *Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)*.

Asset Retirement Obligations: We have material legal obligations to remove and dismantle long-lived assets and to restore land or the seabed at certain E&P locations. We initially recognize a liability for the fair value of legally required asset retirement obligations in the period in which the retirement obligations are incurred and capitalize the associated asset retirement costs as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived assets. In subsequent periods, the liability is accreted, and the asset is depreciated over the useful life of the related asset. Fair value is determined by applying a credit adjusted risk-free rate to the undiscounted expected future abandonment expenditures. Changes in estimates prior to settlement result in adjustments to both the liability and related asset values, unless the field has ceased production, in which case changes are recognized in the *Statement of Consolidated Income*.

We measure asset retirement obligations based on the requirements of existing laws and regulations in accordance with ASC 410-20, *Asset Retirement Obligations*. Laws and regulations associated with the scope and timing for the abandonment of oil and gas wells, facilities and equipment could change which could increase the cost of our abandonment obligations. In addition, we may be required to assume abandonment obligations for certain divested assets in the event the current or future owners of facilities previously owned by us are unable to perform, whether due to bankruptcy or otherwise.

Retirement Plans: We recognize the funded status of defined benefit postretirement plans in the *Consolidated Balance Sheet*. The funded status is measured as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the projected benefit obligation. We recognize the net changes in the funded status of these plans as a component of *Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)* in the year in which such changes occur. Actuarial gains and losses in excess of 10% of the greater of the benefit obligation or the market value of assets are amortized over the average remaining service period of active employees or the remaining average expected life if a plan's participants are predominantly inactive.

Derivatives: We utilize derivative instruments for financial risk management activities. In these activities, we may use futures, forwards, options and swaps, individually or in combination, to mitigate our exposure to fluctuations in prices of crude oil and natural gas, as well as changes in interest and foreign currency exchange rates.

All derivative instruments are recorded at fair value in the *Consolidated Balance Sheet*. Our policy for recognizing the changes in fair value of derivatives varies based on the designation of the derivative. The changes in fair value of derivatives that are not designated as hedges are recognized currently in earnings. Derivatives may be designated as hedges of expected future cash flows or forecasted transactions (cash flow hedges), or hedges of changes in fair value of recognized assets and liabilities or of unrecognized firm commitments (fair value hedges). Changes in fair value of derivatives that are designated as cash flow hedges are recorded as a component of other comprehensive income (loss). Amounts included in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for cash flow hedges are reclassified into earnings in the same period that the hedged item is recognized in earnings. Changes in fair value of derivatives designated as fair value hedges are recognized currently in earnings. The change in fair value of the related hedged item is recorded as an adjustment to its carrying amount and recognized currently in earnings.

Fair Value Measurements: We use various valuation approaches in determining fair value for financial instruments, including the market and income approaches. Our fair value measurements also include non-performance risk and time value of money considerations. Counterparty credit is considered for financial assets, and our credit is considered for financial liabilities. We also record certain nonfinancial assets and liabilities at fair value when required by GAAP. These fair value measurements are recorded in connection with business combinations, qualifying nonmonetary exchanges, the initial recognition of asset retirement obligations and any impairment of long-lived assets, equity method investments or goodwill. We determine fair value in accordance with the fair value measurements accounting standard which established a hierarchy for the inputs used to measure fair value based on the source of the inputs, which generally range from quoted prices for identical instruments in a principal trading market (Level 1) to estimates determined using related market data (Level 3), including discounted cash flows and other unobservable data. Measurements derived indirectly from observable inputs or from quoted prices from markets that are less liquid are considered Level 2. When Level 1 inputs are available within a particular market, those inputs are selected for determination of fair value over Level 2 or 3 inputs in the same market. Multiple inputs may be used to measure fair value; however, the level assigned to a fair value measurement is based on the lowest significant input level within this fair value hierarchy.

Details on the methods and assumptions used to determine the fair values are as follows:

Fair value measurements based on Level 1 inputs: Measurements that are most observable are based on quoted prices of identical instruments obtained from the principal markets in which they are traded. Closing prices are both readily available and representative of fair value. Market transactions occur with sufficient frequency and volume to assure liquidity.

Fair value measurements based on Level 2 inputs: Measurements derived indirectly from observable inputs or from quoted prices from markets that are less liquid are considered Level 2. Measurements based on Level 2 inputs include over-the-counter derivative instruments that are priced on an exchange-traded curve but have contractual terms that are not identical to exchange-traded contracts.

Fair value measurements based on Level 3 inputs: Measurements that are least observable are estimated from related market data, determined from sources with little or no market activity for comparable contracts or are positions with longer durations. Fair values determined using discounted cash flows and other unobservable data are also classified as Level 3.

Netting of Financial Instruments: We generally enter into master netting arrangements to mitigate legal and counterparty credit risk. Master netting arrangements are generally accepted overarching master contracts that govern all individual transactions with the same counterparty entity as a single legally enforceable agreement. The U.S. Bankruptcy Code provides for the enforcement of certain termination and netting rights under certain types of contracts upon the bankruptcy filing of a counterparty, commonly known as the "safe harbor" provisions. If a master netting arrangement provides for termination and netting upon the counterparty's bankruptcy, these rights are generally enforceable with respect to "safe harbor" transactions. If these arrangements provide the right of offset and our intent and practice is to offset amounts in the case of such a termination, our policy is to record the fair value of derivative assets and liabilities on a net basis. In the normal course of business, we rely on legal and credit risk mitigation clauses

providing for adequate credit assurance as well as close-out netting, including two-party netting and single counterparty multilateral netting. As applied to us, “two-party netting” is the right to net amounts owing under safe harbor transactions between a single defaulting counterparty entity and a single Hess entity, and “single counterparty multilateral netting” is the right to net amounts owing under safe harbor transactions among a single defaulting counterparty entity and multiple Hess entities. We are reasonably assured that these netting rights would be upheld in a bankruptcy proceeding in the U.S. in which the defaulting counterparty is a debtor under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code.

Share-based Compensation: We account for share-based compensation based on the fair value of the award on the date of grant. The fair value of all share-based compensation is recognized over the requisite service period for the entire award, whether the award was granted with ratable or cliff vesting terms, net of actual forfeitures. We estimate fair value at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes valuation model for employee stock options and a Monte Carlo simulation model for performance share units (PSUs). Fair value of restricted stock is based on the market value of the underlying shares at the date of grant.

Foreign Currency Translation: The U.S. Dollar is the functional currency (primary currency in which business is conducted) for our foreign operations. Adjustments resulting from remeasuring monetary assets and liabilities that are denominated in a currency other than the functional currency are recorded in *Other, net* in the *Statement of Consolidated Income*.

Maintenance and Repairs: Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. Capital improvements are recorded as additions in Property, plant and equipment.

Environmental Expenditures: We accrue and expense the undiscounted environmental costs necessary to remediate existing conditions related to past operations when the future costs are probable and reasonably estimable. At year-end 2021, our reserve for estimated remediation liabilities was approximately \$60 million. Environmental expenditures that increase the life or efficiency of property or reduce or prevent future adverse impacts to the environment are capitalized.

2. Inventories

Inventories at December 31 were as follows:

	2021	2020
	(In millions)	
Crude oil and natural gas liquids.....	\$ 52	\$ 226
Materials and supplies.....	171	152
Total Inventories	\$ 223	\$ 378

At December 31, 2020, crude oil inventories included \$164 million associated with the cost of 4.2 million barrels of crude oil transported and stored on two chartered VLCCs for sale in Asian markets. The two VLCC cargos were sold in the first quarter of 2021.

3. Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment at December 31 were as follows:

	2021	2020
	(In millions)	
Exploration and Production		
Unproved properties.....	\$ 184	\$ 164
Proved properties.....	2,877	2,930
Wells, equipment and related facilities.....	23,745	23,224
	26,806	26,318
Midstream	4,342	4,163
Corporate and Other	30	38
Total — at cost.....	31,178	30,519
Less: Reserves for depreciation, depletion, amortization and lease impairment.....	16,996	16,404
Property, Plant and Equipment — Net	\$ 14,182	\$ 14,115

Capitalized Exploratory Well Costs: The following table discloses the amount of capitalized exploratory well costs pending determination of proved reserves at December 31 and the changes therein during the respective years:

	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions)		
Balance at January 1	\$ 459	\$ 584	\$ 418
Additions to capitalized exploratory well costs pending the determination of proved reserves.....	222	111	224
Reclassifications to wells, facilities and equipment based on the determination of proved reserves.....	—	(111)	(58)
Capitalized exploratory well costs charged to expense.....	—	(125)	—
Balance at December 31	\$ 681	\$ 459	\$ 584
Number of Wells at December 31	35	22	31

During the three years ended December 31, 2021, additions to capitalized exploratory well costs primarily related to drilling at the Stabroek Block, offshore Guyana. In 2019, other drilling activity included the Esox prospect in the Gulf of Mexico.

Reclassifications to wells, facilities and equipment based on the determination of proved reserves in 2020 resulted from sanctions of the Payara Field development, the third sanctioned project on the Stabroek Block, offshore Guyana, and an additional phase of development at the North Malay Basin, offshore Peninsular Malaysia. In 2019, reclassifications to wells, facilities and equipment resulted from sanction of the Liza Phase 2 development on the Stabroek Block and the Esox tieback well to the Tubular Bells Field in the Gulf of Mexico.

Capitalized exploratory well costs charged to expense in 2020 of \$125 million primarily related to the northern portion of the Shenzi Field (Hess 28%) in the Gulf of Mexico due to reprioritization of our forward capital program in response to the significant decline in crude oil prices. The preceding table excludes well costs incurred and expensed during 2021 of \$11 million (2020: \$67 million; 2019: \$49 million).

Exploratory well costs capitalized for greater than one year following completion of drilling were \$459 million at December 31, 2021, separated by year of completion as follows (in millions):

2020.....	\$ 117
2019.....	173
2018.....	105
2017.....	27
2016 and prior.....	37
	<u>\$ 459</u>

Guyana: Approximately 90% of the capitalized well costs in excess of one year relate to successful exploration wells where hydrocarbons were encountered on the Stabroek Block (Hess 30%). In the fourth quarter of 2021, the operator submitted a fourth development plan for the Yellowtail Field to the Government of Guyana for approval. The operator also plans further appraisal drilling and is conducting pre-development planning for additional phases of development.

JDA: Approximately 8% of the capitalized well costs in excess of one year relates to the JDA (Hess 50%) in the Gulf of Thailand, where hydrocarbons were encountered in three successful exploration wells drilled in the western part of Block A-18. The operator has submitted a development plan concept to the regulator to facilitate ongoing commercial negotiations for an extension of the existing gas sales contract to include development of the western part of the Block.

Malaysia: Approximately 2% of the capitalized well costs in excess of one year relates to North Malay Basin (Hess 50%), offshore Peninsular Malaysia, where hydrocarbons were encountered in one successful exploration well. Subsurface evaluation and pre-development studies are ongoing.

4. Hess Midstream LP

HIP was initially formed on May 21, 2015, with Hess selling 50% of HIP to GIP for approximately \$2.6 billion on July 1, 2015. On April 10, 2017, HIP completed an initial public offering (IPO) of 16,997,000 common units, representing 30.5% limited partnership interests in its subsidiary Hess Midstream Partners LP (Hess Midstream Partners), for net proceeds of approximately \$365.5 million. In connection with the IPO, HIP contributed a 20% controlling economic interest in each of Hess North Dakota Pipeline Operations LP, Hess TGP Operations LP, and Hess North Dakota Export Logistics Operations LP, and a 100% economic interest in Hess Mentor Storage Holdings LLC (collectively the “Contributed Businesses”). In exchange for the contributed businesses, Hess and GIP each received common and subordinated units representing a direct 33.75% limited partner interest in Hess

Midstream Partners and a 50% indirect ownership interest through HIP in Hess Midstream Partners' general partner, which had a 2% economic interest in Hess Midstream Partners plus incentive distribution rights.

On December 16, 2019, Hess Midstream Partners acquired HIP, including HIP's 80% interest in Hess Midstream Partners' oil and gas midstream assets, HIP's water services business and the outstanding economic general partner interest and incentive distribution rights in Hess Midstream Partners LP. In addition, Hess Midstream Partners' organizational structure converted from a master limited partnership into an "Up-C" structure in which Hess Midstream Partners' public unitholders received newly issued Class A shares in a new public entity named Hess Midstream LP (Hess Midstream), which is taxed as a corporation for U.S. federal and state income tax purposes. Hess Midstream Partners changed its name to "Hess Midstream Operations LP" (HESM Opco) and became a consolidated subsidiary of Hess Midstream, the new publicly listed entity. As consideration for the acquisition, Hess received a cash payment of \$301 million and approximately 115 million newly issued HESM Opco Class B units. After giving effect to the acquisition and related transactions, public shareholders of Class A shares in Hess Midstream owned 6% of the consolidated entity on an as-exchanged basis and Hess and GIP each owned 47% of the consolidated entity on an as-exchanged basis, primarily through the sponsors' ownership of Class B units in HESM Opco that are exchangeable into Class A shares of Hess Midstream on a one-for-one basis.

In March 2021, Hess Midstream completed an underwritten public equity offering of 6.9 million Class A shares held by Hess and GIP. These Class A shares of Hess Midstream were obtained by Hess and GIP through the exchange of 6.9 million of their Class B units of HESM Opco. As a result of this transaction, Hess received net proceeds of \$70 million and recorded an increase in additional paid-in capital and noncontrolling interests of \$56 million and \$41 million, respectively. The increase of \$41 million in noncontrolling interests is comprised of \$14 million resulting from the change in ownership and \$27 million due to the recognition of a deferred tax asset as a result of an increase in the tax basis of Hess Midstream LP's investment in HESM Opco.

In August 2021, HESM Opco repurchased 31.25 million Class B units held by Hess and GIP for \$750 million. Hess received net proceeds of \$375 million. HESM Opco issued \$750 million in aggregate principal amount of 4.250% fixed-rate senior unsecured notes due 2030 in a private offering to finance the repurchase. The transaction resulted in an increase in additional paid-in capital and a decrease in noncontrolling interests of \$28 million, and an increase in deferred tax assets and noncontrolling interests of \$15 million due to a decrease in the book basis of Hess Midstream LP's investment in HESM Opco. The \$375 million paid to GIP was recorded as a reduction to noncontrolling interests.

In October 2021, Hess Midstream completed an underwritten public equity offering of approximately 8.6 million Class A Shares held by Hess and GIP. These Class A shares of Hess Midstream were obtained by Hess and GIP through the exchange of approximately 8.6 million of their Class B units of HESM Opco. As a result of this transaction, Hess received net proceeds of \$108 million and recorded an increase in additional paid-in capital and noncontrolling interests of \$96 million and \$62 million, respectively. The increase of \$62 million in noncontrolling interests is comprised of \$12 million resulting from the change in ownership and \$50 million due to the recognition of a deferred tax asset as a result of an increase in the tax basis of Hess Midstream LP's investment in HESM Opco.

After giving effect to the above transactions in 2021, public shareholders of Class A shares of Hess Midstream own approximately 13%, and Hess and GIP each own approximately 43.5%, of the consolidated entity on an as-exchanged basis at December 31, 2021.

Little Missouri 4 (LM4) is a 200 million standard cubic feet per day gas processing plant located south of the Missouri River in McKenzie County, North Dakota, that was constructed as part of a 50/50 joint venture between Hess Midstream and Targa Resources Corp. Hess Midstream has a natural gas processing agreement with LM4 under which it pays a processing fee and reimburses LM4 for its proportionate share of electricity costs. In 2021, these processing fees were \$28 million (2020: \$26 million; 2019: \$6 million) and are included in *Operating costs and expenses* in the *Statement of Consolidated Income*.

At December 31, 2021, Hess Midstream liabilities totaling \$2,694 million (2020: \$2,026 million) are on a nonrecourse basis to Hess Corporation, while Hess Midstream assets available to settle the obligations of Hess Midstream included cash and cash equivalents totaling \$2 million (2020: \$3 million), property, plant and equipment, net totaling \$3,125 million (2020: \$3,111 million) and an equity-method investment in LM4 of \$102 million (2020: \$108 million).

5. Accrued Liabilities

The following table provides detail of our accrued liabilities at December 31:

	2021	2020
	(In millions)	
Accrued capital expenditures	\$ 479	\$ 345
Accrued operating and marketing expenditures	462	325
Accrued payments to royalty and working interest owners	253	170
Current portion of asset retirement obligations	185	105
Accrued interest on debt	138	126
Accrued compensation and benefits	124	117
Other accruals	69	63
Total Accrued Liabilities	\$ 1,710	\$ 1,251

6. Leases

Operating and finance lease obligations at December 31 included in the *Consolidated Balance Sheet* were as follows:

	Operating Leases		Finance Leases	
	2021	2020	2021	2020
	(In millions)			
Right-of-use assets — net (a)	\$ 352	\$ 426	\$ 144	\$ 168
Lease obligations:				
Current	\$ 70	\$ 63	\$ 19	\$ 18
Long-term	394	478	200	220
Total lease obligations	\$ 464	\$ 541	\$ 219	\$ 238

(a) At December 31, 2021, finance lease ROU assets had a cost of \$212 million (2020: \$212 million) and accumulated amortization of \$68 million (2020: \$44 million).

Lease obligations represent 100% of the present value of future minimum lease payments in the lease arrangement. Where we have contracted directly with a lessor in our role as operator of an unincorporated oil and gas venture, we bill our partners their proportionate share for reimbursements as payments under lease agreements become due pursuant to the terms of our joint operating and other agreements.

The nature of our leasing arrangements at December 31, 2021 was as follows:

Operating leases: In the normal course of business, we primarily lease drilling rigs, equipment, logistical assets (offshore vessels, aircraft, and shorebases), and office space.

Finance leases: In 2018, we entered into a sale and lease-back arrangement for a floating storage and offloading vessel (FSO) to handle produced condensate at North Malay Basin, offshore Peninsular Malaysia. At December 31, 2021, the remaining lease term for the FSO was 11.8 years.

Maturities of lease obligations at December 31, 2021 were as follows:

	Operating Leases	Finance Leases
	(In millions)	
2022	\$ 87	\$ 36
2023	75	36
2024	69	36
2025	64	36
2026	49	31
Remaining years	219	145
Total lease payments	563	320
Less: Imputed interest	(99)	(101)
Total lease obligations	\$ 464	\$ 219

The following information relates to the operating and finance leases at December 31:

	Operating Leases		Finance Leases	
	2021	2020	2021	2020
Weighted average remaining lease term	9.9 years	10.3 years	11.8 years	12.8 years
Range of remaining lease terms	0.1 - 14.5 years	0.1 - 15.5 years	11.8 years	12.8 years
Weighted average discount rate	4.1%	4.0%	7.9%	7.9%

The components of lease costs for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020 were as follows:

	2021		2020	
	(In millions)			
Operating lease cost	\$	88	\$	200
Finance lease cost:				
Amortization of leased assets		24		31
Interest on lease obligations		18		20
Short-term lease cost (a)		137		199
Variable lease cost (b)		21		38
Sublease income (c)		(17)		(15)
Total lease cost	\$	271	\$	473

- (a) Short-term lease cost is primarily attributable to equipment used in global exploration, development, production, and crude oil marketing activities. Future short-term lease costs will vary based on activity levels of our operated assets.
- (b) Variable lease costs for drilling rigs result from differences in the minimum rate and the actual usage of the ROU asset during the lease period. Variable lease costs for logistical assets result from differences in stated monthly rates and total charges reflecting the actual usage of the ROU asset during the lease period. Variable lease costs for our office leases represent common area maintenance charges which have not been separated from lease components.
- (c) We sublease certain of our office space to third parties under our head lease.

The above lease costs represent 100% of the lease payments due for the period, including where we as operator have contracted directly with suppliers. As the payments under lease agreements where we are operator become due, we bill our partners their proportionate share for reimbursement pursuant to the terms of our joint operating agreements. Reimbursements are not reflected in the table above. Certain lease costs above associated with exploration and development activities are included in capital expenditures.

Supplemental cash flow information related to leases for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020 were as follows:

	Operating Leases		Finance Leases	
	2021	2020	2021	2020
	(In millions)			
Cash paid for amounts included in the measurement of lease obligations:				
Operating cash flows (a)	\$	87	\$	218
Financing cash flows (a)		—		18
Noncash transactions:				
Leased assets recognized for new lease obligations incurred		12		51
Changes in leased assets and lease obligations due to lease modifications (b) ...		29		123

- (a) Amounts represent gross lease payments before any recovery from partners.
- (b) In 2020, primarily related to negotiated extensions of an office lease and offshore drilling rig leases.

7. Debt

Total debt at December 31 consisted of the following:

	2021	2020
	(In millions)	
Debt - Hess Corporation:		
Senior unsecured fixed-rate public notes:		
3.500% due 2024	\$ 299	\$ 299
4.300% due 2027	995	994
7.875% due 2029	464	464
7.300% due 2031	628	628
7.125% due 2033	537	537
6.000% due 2040	742	741
5.600% due 2041	1,236	1,236
5.800% due 2047	494	494
Total senior unsecured fixed-rate public notes	<u>5,395</u>	<u>5,393</u>
Term loan facility	497	988
Fair value adjustments - interest rate hedging	2	5
Total Debt - Hess Corporation	<u><u>\$ 5,894</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 6,386</u></u>
Debt - Midstream (Hess Midstream Operations LP):		
Senior unsecured fixed-rate public notes:		
5.625% due 2026	\$ 791	\$ 789
5.125% due 2028	543	542
4.250% due 2030	739	—
Total senior unsecured fixed-rate public notes	<u>2,073</u>	<u>1,331</u>
Term loan A facility	387	395
Revolving credit facility	104	184
Total Debt - Midstream	<u><u>\$ 2,564</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 1,910</u></u>
Total Debt:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 517	\$ 10
Long-term debt	7,941	8,286
Total Debt	<u><u>\$ 8,458</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 8,296</u></u>

At December 31, 2021, the maturity profile of total debt was as follows:

	Total	Hess Corporation	Midstream
	(In millions)		
2022	\$ 520	\$ 500	\$ 20
2023	30	—	30
2024	744	300	444
2025	—	—	—
2026	800	—	800
Thereafter	6,438	5,138	1,300
Total Borrowings	<u>8,532</u>	<u>5,938</u>	<u>2,594</u>
Less: Deferred financing costs and discounts	(74)	(44)	(30)
Total Debt (excluding interest)	<u><u>\$ 8,458</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 5,894</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 2,564</u></u>

No interest was capitalized in 2021 or 2020 (2019: \$38 million).

Debt – Hess Corporation:

Senior unsecured fixed-rate public notes:

At December 31, 2021, Hess Corporation's fixed-rate public notes had a gross principal amount of \$5,438 million (2020: \$5,438 million) and a weighted average interest rate of 5.9% (2020: 5.9%). The indentures for our fixed-rate public notes limit the ratio of secured debt to Consolidated Net Tangible Assets (as that term is defined in the indentures) to 15%. As of December 31, 2021, Hess Corporation was in compliance with this financial covenant.

Term loan and credit facility:

In March 2020, we entered into a \$1 billion three year term loan agreement with a maturity date of March 16, 2023. In July 2021, we repaid \$500 million of the \$1 billion outstanding under the term loan, and in February 2022, we repaid the remaining \$500 million. The remaining \$500 million has been classified as *Current portion of long-term debt* in our *Consolidated Balance Sheet* at December 31, 2021 as it was our intent to repay the remaining \$500 million in the first quarter of 2022.

In 2019, we entered into a \$3.5 billion revolving credit facility with a maturity date of May 15, 2023. In April 2021, we amended this credit facility by extending this facility's expiration date for one year to May 2024 and incorporating customary provisions for the eventual replacement of LIBOR among other changes as set forth in the amended credit agreement. Borrowings on the facility will generally bear interest at 1.40% above LIBOR, though the interest rate is subject to adjustment if our credit rating changes. At December 31, 2021, Hess Corporation had no outstanding borrowings or letters of credit under this facility.

The revolving credit facility and term loan are subject to customary representations, warranties, customary events of default and covenants, including a financial covenant limiting the ratio of Total Consolidated Debt to Total Capitalization of the Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries to 65%, and a financial covenant limiting the ratio of secured debt to Consolidated Net Tangible Assets of the Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries to 15% (as these capitalized terms are defined in the credit agreement for the revolving credit facility and the term loan agreement). As of December 31, 2021, Hess Corporation was in compliance with these financial covenants.

The most restrictive of the financial covenants related to our fixed-rate public notes and our term loan and revolving credit facility would allow us to borrow up to an additional \$1,843 million of secured debt at December 31, 2021.

Other outstanding letters of credit at December 31 were as follows:

	2021	2020
	(In millions)	
Committed lines (a).....	\$ 29	\$ 54
Uncommitted lines (a).....	230	215
Total	\$ 259	\$ 269

(a) At December 31, 2021, committed and uncommitted lines have expiration dates through 2022.

Debt - Midstream:

Senior unsecured fixed-rate public notes:

In November 2017, HIP issued \$800 million in aggregate principal amount of 5.625% fixed-rate senior unsecured notes due in 2026. In December 2019, in connection with the acquisition of HIP and corporate restructuring described in *Note 4, Hess Midstream LP*, HESM Opco assumed \$800 million of outstanding HIP senior unsecured notes in a par-for-par exchange. In addition, in December 2019, HESM Opco issued \$550 million in aggregate principal amount of 5.125% fixed-rate senior unsecured notes due in 2028 to finance the acquisition of HIP and repay outstanding borrowings under HIP's credit facilities. In August 2021, HESM Opco issued \$750 million in aggregate principal amount of 4.250% fixed-rate senior unsecured notes due in 2030 in a private offering to finance the repurchase of 31.25 million HESM Opco Class B units held by Hess and GIP. These senior unsecured notes are guaranteed by certain of HESM Opco's direct and indirect wholly owned material domestic subsidiaries. These senior unsecured notes are non-recourse to Hess Corporation.

Credit facilities:

At December 31, 2021, HESM Opco had \$1.4 billion of senior secured syndicated credit facilities maturing December 2024, consisting of a \$1 billion 5-year revolving credit facility and a fully drawn \$400 million 5-year term loan A facility. The revolving credit facility can be used for borrowings and letters of credit to fund HESM Opco's operating activities, capital expenditures, distributions and for other general corporate purposes. Borrowings under the 5-year term loan A facility will generally bear interest at LIBOR plus an applicable margin ranging from 1.55% to 2.50%, while the applicable margin for the 5-year syndicated revolving credit facility ranges from 1.275% to 2.000%. Pricing levels for the facility fee and interest-rate margins are based on HESM Opco's ratio of total debt to EBITDA (as defined in the credit facilities). If HESM Opco obtains an investment grade credit rating, the pricing levels will be based on HESM Opco's credit ratings in effect from time to time. The credit facilities contain covenants that require HESM Opco to maintain a ratio of total debt to EBITDA (as defined in the credit facilities) for the prior four fiscal quarters of not greater than 5.00 to 1.00 as of the last day of each fiscal quarter (5.50 to 1.00 during the specified period following certain acquisitions) and, prior to HESM Opco obtaining an investment grade credit rating, a ratio of secured debt to EBITDA for the prior four fiscal quarters of not greater than 4.00 to 1.00 as of the last day of each fiscal quarter. HESM Opco was in compliance with these financial covenants at December 31, 2021. The credit facilities are secured by first-priority perfected liens on substantially all of the assets of HESM Opco and its direct and indirect wholly owned material domestic subsidiaries, including equity interests directly owned by such entities, subject to certain customary exclusions. At December 31, 2021, borrowings of \$104 million were drawn

under HESM Opco's revolving credit facility, and borrowings of \$390 million, excluding deferred issuance costs, were drawn under HESM Opco's term loan A facility. Borrowings under these credit facilities are non-recourse to Hess Corporation.

8. Asset Retirement Obligations

The following table describes the changes in our asset retirement obligations for the years ended December 31:

	2021	2020
	(In millions)	
Balance at January 1	\$ 999	\$ 1,024
Liabilities incurred.....	229	36
Liabilities settled or disposed of.....	(207)	(161)
Accretion expense.....	44	46
Revisions of estimated liabilities.....	126	52
Foreign currency remeasurement.....	(1)	2
Balance at December 31	<u>\$ 1,190</u>	<u>\$ 999</u>
Total Asset Retirement Obligations at December 31:		
Current portion of asset retirement obligations.....	\$ 185	\$ 105
Long-term asset retirement obligations.....	1,005	894
Total at December 31	<u>\$ 1,190</u>	<u>\$ 999</u>

The liabilities incurred in 2021 on Hess owned properties primarily relate to operations in the U.S. and Guyana while liabilities incurred in 2020 primarily relate to operations in Guyana, the U.S. and Malaysia. In August 2020, Fieldwood and related entities filed for bankruptcy relief under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. Fieldwood's Bankruptcy Plan, which was approved by the U.S. Bankruptcy Court in June 2021, includes the abandonment of certain assets, including seven offshore Gulf of Mexico leases and related facilities in the West Delta Field that were formerly owned by us and sold to a Fieldwood predecessor in 2004, and the discharge of Fieldwood's obligation to decommission these facilities. As a result, in October 2021 and February 2022, we received decommissioning orders from the BSEE requiring us to decommission certain wells and related facilities located on six of the seven West Delta leases. We expect to receive additional orders covering the remainder of the West Delta decommissioning obligations in the near future and are actively engaged with the BSEE to agree on the scope and timing of decommissioning activities. Our decommissioning obligation derives from our former ownership of the facilities. We are seeking contribution from other parties that owned an interest in the facilities. Liabilities incurred in 2021 include \$147 million representing total estimated abandonment obligations in the West Delta Field. Potential recoveries from other parties that previously owned an interest in the West Delta Field have not been recognized as of December 31, 2021.

The liabilities settled or disposed of in 2021 primarily result from the sale of our interests in Denmark and abandonment activity completed in the Gulf of Mexico and the Bakken. Liabilities settled or disposed of in 2020 primarily result from an asset sale in the Gulf of Mexico and abandonment activity completed in the Gulf of Mexico, the Bakken and the U.K. North Sea. Revisions of estimated liabilities in 2021 and 2020 primarily reflect an acceleration of planned abandonment activity in the Gulf of Mexico and changes in service and equipment rates.

Sinking fund deposits that are legally restricted for purposes of settling asset retirement obligations, which are reported in non-current *Other assets* in the *Consolidated Balance Sheet*, were \$233 million at December 31, 2021 (2020: \$207 million).

9. Retirement Plans

We have funded noncontributory defined benefit pension plans for a significant portion of our employees. In addition, we have an unfunded supplemental pension plan covering certain employees, which provides incremental payments that would have been payable from our principal pension plans, were it not for limitations imposed by income tax regulations. The plans provide defined benefits based on years of service and final average salary to our U.S. employees hired prior to January 1, 2017 and to our employees in the United Kingdom (U.K.). The U.S. employees hired on or after January 1, 2017 participate under a cash accumulation formula and receive credits to a notional account based on a percentage of pensionable wages. Interest accrues on the balance in the notional account at a rate determined in accordance with plan provisions. Additionally, we maintain an unfunded postretirement medical plan that provides health benefits to certain U.S. qualified retirees from ages 55 through 65. The measurement date for all retirement plans is December 31.

The following table summarizes the benefit obligations, the fair value of plan assets, and the funded status of our pension and postretirement medical plans:

	Funded Pension Plans		Unfunded Pension Plan		Postretirement Medical Plan	
	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020
(In millions)						
Change in Benefit Obligation						
Balance at January 1,	\$ 3,085	\$ 2,667	\$ 269	\$ 242	\$ 65	\$ 75
Service cost	41	37	10	13	3	3
Interest cost	52	68	3	5	1	1
Actuarial (gains) loss (a)	(126)	385	(8)	26	(3)	(8)
Benefit payments (b)	(100)	(93)	(26)	(17)	(7)	(6)
Plan amendments	2	—	—	—	—	—
Foreign currency exchange rate changes	(6)	21	—	—	—	—
Balance at December 31, (c)	<u>2,948</u>	<u>3,085</u>	<u>248</u>	<u>269</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>65</u>
Change in Fair Value of Plan Assets						
Balance at January 1,	\$ 3,043	\$ 2,732	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Actual return on plan assets	417	378	—	—	—	—
Employer contributions	6	4	26	17	7	6
Benefit payments (b)	(100)	(93)	(26)	(17)	(7)	(6)
Foreign currency exchange rate changes	(9)	22	—	—	—	—
Balance at December 31,	<u>3,357</u>	<u>3,043</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
Funded Status (Plan assets greater (less) than benefit obligations) at December 31,	<u>\$ 409</u>	<u>\$ (42)</u>	<u>\$ (248)</u>	<u>\$ (269)</u>	<u>\$ (59)</u>	<u>\$ (65)</u>
Unrecognized Net Actuarial (Gains) Losses	<u>\$ 501</u>	<u>\$ 900</u>	<u>\$ 66</u>	<u>\$ 86</u>	<u>\$ (21)</u>	<u>\$ (19)</u>

(a) Changes in discount rates resulted in actuarial gains of \$178 million in 2021 (2020: \$387 million of actuarial losses). Changes in the inflation assumptions for our U.K. pension plan resulted in actuarial losses of \$36 million in 2021 (2020: \$24 million of actuarial losses). Changes in mortality assumptions resulted in actuarial losses of \$7 million in 2021 (2020: \$18 million of actuarial gains). Changes in all other assumptions, including demographic assumptions, resulted in actuarial gains of \$2 million in 2021 (2020: \$10 million of actuarial losses).

(b) Benefit payments include lump-sum settlement payments of \$34 million in 2021 (2020: \$23 million).

(c) At December 31, 2021, the accumulated benefit obligation for the funded and unfunded defined benefit pension plans was \$2,856 million and \$208 million, respectively (2020: \$2,993 million and \$228 million, respectively).

Amounts recognized in the *Consolidated Balance Sheet* at December 31 consisted of the following:

	Funded Pension Plans		Unfunded Pension Plan		Postretirement Medical Plan	
	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020
(In millions)						
Noncurrent assets	\$ 409	\$ 45	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Current liabilities	—	—	(34)	(49)	(6)	(7)
Noncurrent liabilities	—	(87)	(214)	(220)	(53)	(58)
Pension assets / (accrued benefit liability)	<u>\$ 409</u>	<u>\$ (42)</u>	<u>\$ (248)</u>	<u>\$ (269)</u>	<u>\$ (59)</u>	<u>\$ (65)</u>
Accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss, pre-tax (a)	\$ 501	\$ 900	\$ 66	\$ 86	\$ (21)	\$ (19)

(a) The after-tax deficit reflected in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) was \$338 million at December 31, 2021 (2020: \$759 million deficit).

The net periodic benefit cost for funded and unfunded pension plans, and the postretirement medical plan, is as follows:

	Pension Plans			Postretirement Medical Plan		
	2021	2020	2019	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions)					
Service cost	\$ 51	\$ 50	\$ 44	\$ 3	\$ 3	\$ 2
Interest cost	55	73	89	1	1	2
Expected return on plan assets	(197)	(180)	(180)	—	—	—
Amortization of unrecognized net actuarial losses (gains)	58	48	52	(1)	(1)	(1)
Settlement loss	9	—	93	—	—	—
Curtailment gain	—	—	—	—	—	—
Net Periodic Benefit Cost / (Income) (a)	\$ (24)	\$ (9)	\$ 98	\$ 3	\$ 3	\$ 3

(a) Net non-service cost, which is included in Other, net in the Statement of Consolidated Income, was income of \$75 million in 2021 (2020: \$59 million of income; 2019: \$55 million of expense).

In 2019, the trust for the Hess Corporation Employees' Pension Plan (the "Plan") purchased a single premium annuity contract at a cost of \$249 million using assets of the Plan to settle and transfer certain of its obligations to a third party. The settlement transaction resulted in a noncash charge of \$88 million to recognize unamortized pension actuarial losses that is included in *Other, net* in the *Statement of Consolidated Income*.

In 2022, we forecast service cost for our pension and postretirement medical plans to be approximately \$50 million and net non-service cost of approximately \$135 million of income, which is comprised of interest cost of approximately \$65 million, amortization of unrecognized net actuarial losses of approximately \$15 million, and estimated expected return on plan assets of approximately \$215 million.

Assumptions: The weighted average actuarial assumptions used to determine benefit obligations at December 31 and net periodic benefit cost for the three years ended December 31 for our funded and unfunded pension plans were as follows:

	2021	2020	2019
<i>Benefit Obligations:</i>			
Discount rate	2.5%	2.2%	2.9%
Rate of compensation increase	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%
<i>Net Periodic Benefit Cost:</i>			
Discount rate			
Service cost	2.6%	3.2%	3.9%
Interest cost	1.7%	2.6%	3.4%
Expected return on plan assets	6.6%	6.7%	7.1%
Rate of compensation increase	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%

The actuarial assumptions used to determine benefit obligations at December 31 for the postretirement medical plan were as follows:

	2021	2020	2019
Discount rate	2.4%	1.9%	2.8%
Initial health care trend rate	5.5%	6.0%	6.5%
Ultimate trend rate	4.0%	4.5%	4.5%
Year in which ultimate trend rate is reached	2046	2038	2038

The assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost for each year were established at the end of each previous year while the assumptions used to determine benefit obligations were established at each year-end. The net periodic benefit cost and the actuarial present value of benefit obligations are based on actuarial assumptions that are reviewed on an annual basis. The discount rate is developed based on a portfolio of high-quality, fixed income debt instruments with maturities that approximate the expected payment of plan obligations.

The overall expected return on plan assets is developed from the expected future returns for each asset category, weighted by the target allocation of pension assets to that asset category. The future expected return assumptions for individual asset categories are largely based on inputs from various investment experts regarding their future return expectations for particular asset categories.

Our investment strategy is to maximize long-term returns at an acceptable level of risk through broad diversification of plan assets in a variety of asset classes. Asset classes and target allocations are determined by our investment committee and include domestic and foreign equities, fixed income, and other investments, including hedge funds, real estate and private equity. Investment managers are prohibited from investing in securities issued by us unless indirectly held as part of an index strategy. The majority of plan assets

are highly liquid, providing ample liquidity for benefit payment requirements. The current target allocations for plan assets are 45% equity securities, 35% fixed income securities (including cash and short-term investment funds) and 20% to all other types of investments. Asset allocations are rebalanced on a periodic basis throughout the year to bring assets to within an acceptable range of target levels.

Fair value: The following tables provide the fair value of the financial assets of the funded pension plans at December 31, 2021 and 2020 in accordance with the fair value measurement hierarchy described in *Note 1, Nature of Operations, Basis of Presentation and Summary of Accounting Policies.*

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Net Asset Value (c)	Total
	(In millions)				
December 31, 2021					
Cash and Short-Term Investment Funds	\$ 19	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 19
Equities:					
U.S. equities (domestic)	601	—	—	87	688
International equities (non-U.S.)	73	56	—	375	504
Global equities (domestic and non-U.S.)	—	7	—	224	231
Fixed Income:					
Treasury and government related (a)	—	361	—	41	402
Mortgage-backed securities (b)	—	128	—	63	191
Corporate	128	452	—	55	635
Other:					
Hedge funds	—	—	—	81	81
Private equity funds	—	—	—	382	382
Real estate funds	29	—	—	195	224
Total investments	\$ 850	\$ 1,004	\$ —	\$ 1,503	\$ 3,357
December 31, 2020					
Cash and Short-Term Investment Funds	\$ 44	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 44
Equities:					
U.S. equities (domestic)	585	—	—	164	749
International equities (non-U.S.)	94	43	—	352	489
Global equities (domestic and non-U.S.)	—	8	—	217	225
Fixed Income:					
Treasury and government related (a)	—	350	—	49	399
Mortgage-backed securities (b)	—	116	—	70	186
Corporate	—	381	—	62	443
Other:					
Hedge funds	—	—	—	73	73
Private equity funds	—	—	—	251	251
Real estate funds	23	—	—	161	184
Total investments	\$ 746	\$ 898	\$ —	\$ 1,399	\$ 3,043

(a) Includes securities issued and guaranteed by U.S. and non-U.S. governments, and securities issued by governmental agencies and municipalities.

(b) Comprised of U.S. residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities.

(c) Includes certain investments that have been valued using the net asset value (NAV) practical expedient, and therefore have not been categorized in the fair value hierarchy. The inclusion of such amounts in the above table is intended to aid reconciliation of investments categorized in the fair value hierarchy to total pension plan assets.

The following describes the financial assets of the funded pension plans:

Cash and short-term investment funds - Consists of cash on hand and short-term investment funds that provide for daily investments and redemptions which are classified as Level 1.

Equities - Consists of individually held U.S. and international equity securities. This investment category also includes funds that consist primarily of U.S. and international equity securities. Equity securities, which are individually held and are traded actively on exchanges, are classified as Level 1. Certain funds, consisting primarily of equity securities, are classified as Level 2 if the NAV is determined and published daily, and is the basis for current transactions. Commingled funds, consisting primarily of equity securities, are valued using the NAV per fund share.

Fixed income investments - Consists of individually held securities issued by the U.S. government, non-U.S. governments, governmental agencies, municipalities and corporations, and agency and non-agency mortgage-backed securities. This investment category also includes funds that consist of fixed income securities. Individual fixed income securities are generally priced based on

evaluated prices from independent pricing services, which are monitored and provided by the third-party custodial firm responsible for safekeeping assets of the particular plan and are classified as Level 2. Exchange-traded funds consisting of fixed income securities are classified as Level 1. Certain funds, consisting primarily of fixed income securities, are classified as Level 2 if the NAV is determined and published daily, and is the basis for current transactions. Commingled funds, consisting primarily of fixed income securities, are valued using the NAV per fund share.

Other investments - Consists of exchange-traded real estate investment trust securities, which are classified as Level 1. Commingled funds and limited partnership investments in hedge funds, private equity and real estate funds are valued at the NAV per fund share.

Contributions and estimated future benefit payments: In 2022, we expect to contribute approximately \$45 million to our funded pension plans.

Estimated future benefit payments by the funded and unfunded pension plans, and the postretirement medical plan, which reflect expected future service, are as follows (in millions):

2022	\$	131
2023		132
2024		137
2025		125
2026		131
Years 2027 to 2031		662

We also have defined contribution plans for certain eligible employees. Employees may contribute a portion of their compensation to these plans and we match a portion of the employee contributions. We recorded expense of \$18 million in 2021 for contributions to these plans (2020: \$22 million; 2019: \$20 million).

10. Revenue

Revenue from contracts with customers on a disaggregated basis was as follows (in millions):

	Exploration and Production				E&P Total	Midstream	Eliminations	Total
	United States	Guyana	Malaysia and JDA	Other (a)				
2021								
Sales of our net production volumes:								
Crude oil revenue	\$ 2,958	\$ 765	\$ 83	\$ 519	\$ 4,325	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 4,325
Natural gas liquids revenue	594	—	—	—	594	—	—	594
Natural gas revenue	350	—	655	10	1,015	—	—	1,015
Sales of purchased oil and gas	1,638	16	—	95	1,749	—	—	1,749
Intercompany revenue	—	—	—	—	—	1,204	(1,204)	—
Total revenues from contracts with customers	5,540	781	738	624	7,683	1,204	(1,204)	7,683
Other operating revenues (b)	(162)	(27)	—	(21)	(210)	—	—	(210)
Total sales and other operating revenues	\$ 5,378	\$ 754	\$ 738	\$ 603	\$ 7,473	\$ 1,204	\$ (1,204)	\$ 7,473
2020								
Sales of our net production volumes:								
Crude oil revenue	\$ 1,898	\$ 278	\$ 34	\$ 153	\$ 2,363	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 2,363
Natural gas liquids revenue	253	—	—	—	253	—	—	253
Natural gas revenue	144	—	477	10	631	—	—	631
Sales of purchased oil and gas	831	5	—	11	847	—	—	847
Intercompany revenue	—	—	—	—	—	1,092	(1,092)	—
Total revenues from contracts with customers	3,126	283	511	174	4,094	1,092	(1,092)	4,094
Other operating revenues (b)	478	67	—	28	573	—	—	573
Total sales and other operating revenues	\$ 3,604	\$ 350	\$ 511	\$ 202	\$ 4,667	\$ 1,092	\$ (1,092)	\$ 4,667
2019								
Sales of our net production volumes:								
Crude oil revenue	\$ 2,981	\$ —	\$ 113	\$ 566	\$ 3,660	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 3,660
Natural gas liquids revenue	229	—	—	—	229	—	—	229
Natural gas revenue	150	—	646	33	829	—	—	829
Sales of purchased oil and gas	1,644	—	3	91	1,738	—	—	1,738
Intercompany revenue	—	—	—	—	—	848	(848)	—
Total revenues from contracts with customers	5,004	—	762	690	6,456	848	(848)	6,456
Other operating revenues (b)	39	—	—	—	39	—	—	39
Total sales and other operating revenues	\$ 5,043	\$ —	\$ 762	\$ 690	\$ 6,495	\$ 848	\$ (848)	\$ 6,495

(a) Other includes our interests in Denmark, which were sold in August 2021, and Libya.

(b) Includes gains (losses) on commodity derivatives of \$(243) million in 2021, \$547 million in 2020, and \$1 million in 2019.

At December 31, 2021, contract liabilities of \$24 million (2020: nil) resulted from a take-or-pay deficiency payment received in 2021 that is subject to a make-up period expiring in December 2023. At December 31, 2021 and 2020, there were no contract assets.

11. Dispositions

2021: We completed the sale of our interests in Denmark for net cash consideration of approximately \$130 million, after normal closing adjustments, and recognized a pre-tax gain of \$29 million (\$29 million after income taxes). In addition, we completed the sale of our Little Knife and Murphy Creek nonstrategic acreage interests in the Bakken for net cash consideration of \$297 million, after normal closing adjustments. The sale included approximately 78,700 net acres, which are located in the southernmost portion of the Corporation's Bakken position. The acreage constituted part of a larger amortization base and the sale was treated as a normal retirement. Accordingly, no gain or loss was recognized upon sale.

2020: We completed the sale of our 28% working interest in the Shenzi Field in the deepwater Gulf of Mexico for proceeds of \$482 million, after normal closing adjustments, and recognized a pre-tax gain of \$79 million (\$79 million after income taxes).

2019: We completed the sale of our remaining acreage in the Utica shale play in eastern Ohio for proceeds of \$22 million, after normal closing adjustments, and recognized a pre-tax gain of \$22 million (\$22 million after income taxes).

12. Impairment and Other

Oil and Gas Properties:

In June 2021, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court approved the bankruptcy plan for Fieldwood which includes transferring abandonment obligations of Fieldwood to predecessors in title of certain of its assets, including Hess, who are jointly and severally liable for the obligations. As a result, we recognized a charge of \$147 million (\$147 million after income taxes) in connection with total estimated abandonment obligations for seven leases in the West Delta Field in the Gulf of Mexico, which we sold to a Fieldwood predecessor in 2004. See Note 8, *Asset Retirement Obligations*.

As a result of the significant decline in crude oil prices due to the global economic slowdown from COVID-19, we reviewed our oil and gas properties within the Exploration and Production operating segment for impairment in the first quarter of 2020. We recognized pre-tax impairment charges in the first quarter of 2020 to reduce the carrying value of our oil and gas properties and certain related right-of-use assets at the North Malay Basin in Malaysia by \$755 million (\$755 million after income taxes), the South Arne Field in Denmark by \$670 million (\$594 million after income taxes), and in the Gulf of Mexico, the Stampede Field by \$410 million (\$410 million after income taxes) and the Tubular Bells Field by \$270 million (\$270 million after income taxes) primarily as a result of a lower long-term crude oil price outlook. The impairment charges were based on estimates of fair value at March 31, 2020 determined by discounting internally developed future net cash flows, a Level 3 fair value measurement. The total of the fair value estimates was approximately \$1.05 billion. Significant inputs used in determining the discounted future net cash flows include future prices, projected production volumes using risk adjusted oil and gas reserves, and discount rates. The future pricing assumptions used were based on forward strip crude oil prices as of March 31, 2020 for the remainder of 2020 through 2022, and \$50 per barrel for WTI (\$55 per barrel for Brent) in 2023 and thereafter to the end of field life. The weighted average crude oil benchmark price based on total projected crude oil volumes for the impaired assets was \$48.82 per barrel. A discount rate of 10% was used in each of the fair value measurements which represents the estimated discount rate a market participant would use. We determined the discount rate by considering the weighted average cost of capital for a group of peer companies.

Other Assets:

In the first quarter of 2020, we recognized impairment charges totaling \$21 million pre-tax (\$20 million after income taxes) related to drilling rig right-of-use assets in the Bakken and surplus materials and supplies.

13. Severance Costs

We incurred employee termination costs of \$27 million in 2020 related to cost reduction initiatives. All charges were based on amounts incurred under ongoing severance arrangements or other statutory requirements, plus amounts earned under enhanced benefit arrangements. Payments for termination costs were \$7 million in 2021 (2020: \$20 million; 2019: \$4 million).

14. Share-based Compensation

We have established and maintain long term incentive plans (LTIP) for the granting of restricted common shares, performance share units (PSUs) and stock options to our employees. At December 31, 2021, the total number of authorized common stock under the LTIP was 63.5 million shares, of which we have 23.6 million shares available for issuance. Share-based compensation expense consisted of the following:

	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions)		
Restricted stock.....	\$ 49	\$ 51	\$ 53
Performance share units.....	18	18	22
Stock options.....	10	10	10
Share-based compensation expense before income taxes.....	\$ 77	\$ 79	\$ 85
Income tax benefit on share-based compensation expense.....	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

Based on share-based compensation awards outstanding at December 31, 2021, unearned compensation expense, before income taxes, of \$79 million is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.8 years.

Our share-based compensation plans can be summarized as follows:

Restricted stock:

Restricted stock generally vests equally on an annual basis over a three-year term and is valued based on the prevailing market price of our common stock on the date of grant. The following is a summary of restricted stock award activity in 2021:

	Shares of Restricted Common Stock	Weighted - Average Price on Date of Grant
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)	
Outstanding at January 1, 2021	1,917	\$ 51.94
Granted	781	75.11
Vested (a).....	(980)	52.72
Forfeited.....	(102)	57.16
Outstanding at December 31, 2021	<u>1,616</u>	<u>\$ 62.33</u>

(a) In 2021, restricted stock with a vesting date fair value of \$72 million were vested (2020: \$51 million; 2019: \$102 million).

Performance share units:

PSUs generally vest three years from the date of grant and are valued using a Monte Carlo simulation on the date of grant. The number of shares of common stock to be issued under a PSU agreement is based on a comparison of the Corporation's total shareholder return (TSR) to the TSR of a predetermined group of peer companies over a three-year performance period ending December 31 of the year prior to settlement of the grant. Beginning with the PSUs granted in 2020, the Corporation's TSR is compared to the TSR of a predetermined group of peer companies and the S&P 500 index over the three-year performance period. Payouts of the performance share awards will range from 0% to 200% of the target awards based on the Corporation's TSR ranking within the peer group. Dividend equivalents for the performance period will accrue on performance shares but will only be paid out on earned shares after the performance period. The following is a summary of PSU activity in 2021:

	Performance Share Units	Weighted - Average Fair Value on Date of Grant
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)	
Outstanding at January 1, 2021	806	\$ 62.36
Granted	205	86.70
Vested (a).....	(274)	59.65
Forfeited.....	(4)	62.66
Outstanding at December 31, 2021	<u>733</u>	<u>\$ 70.17</u>

(a) In 2021, PSU's with a vesting date fair value of \$30 million were vested (2020: \$48 million; 2019: \$16 million).

The following weighted average assumptions were utilized to estimate the fair value of PSU awards:

	2021	2020	2019
Risk free interest rate.....	0.29 %	0.52 %	2.48 %
Stock price volatility.....	0.579	0.374	0.369
Contractual term in years.....	3.0	3.0	3.0
Grant date price of Hess common stock.....	\$ 75.04	\$ 49.72	\$ 56.74

Stock options:

Stock options vest over three years from the date of grant, have a 10-year term, and the exercise price equals the market price of our common stock on the date of grant. The following is a summary of stock options activity in 2021:

	Number of options (In thousands)	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term
Outstanding at January 1, 2021	4,382	\$ 61.57	5.1 years
Granted	319	75.04	
Exercised	(1,538)	49.87	
Cancelled	(1,049)	83.88	
Forfeited	(27)	52.07	
Outstanding at December 31, 2021	<u>2,087</u>	\$ 61.15	6.5 years

At December 31, 2021, there were 2.1 million outstanding stock options (1.17 million exercisable) with a weighted average exercise price of \$61.15 per share (\$62.27 per share for exercisable options), a weighted average remaining contractual life of 6.5 years (5.0 years for exercisable options) and an aggregate intrinsic value of \$28 million (\$15 million for exercisable options). The intrinsic value of stock options exercised in 2021 was \$45 million (2020: \$3 million, 2019: \$10 million).

The following weighted average assumptions were utilized to estimate the fair value of stock options:

	2021	2020	2019
Risk free interest rate	0.95 %	0.64 %	2.55 %
Stock price volatility	0.470	0.372	0.359
Dividend yield	1.33 %	2.01 %	1.76 %
Expected life in years	6.0	6.0	6.0
Weighted average fair value per option granted	\$ 29.66	\$ 14.30	\$ 18.08

In estimating the fair value of PSUs and stock options, the risk-free interest rate is based on the vesting period of the award and is obtained from published sources. The stock price volatility is determined from the historical stock prices of the Corporation using the expected term.

15. Income Taxes

The provision (benefit) for income taxes consisted of:

	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions)		
United States			
Federal			
Current	\$ —	\$ (4)	\$ (1)
Deferred taxes and other accruals	12	6	72
State	3	(1)	16
	<u>15</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>87</u>
Foreign			
Current (a)	478	48	447
Deferred taxes and other accruals	107	(60)	(73)
	<u>585</u>	<u>(12)</u>	<u>374</u>
Provision (Benefit) For Income Taxes	<u>\$ 600</u>	<u>\$ (11)</u>	<u>\$ 461</u>

(a) Primarily comprised of Libya in 2021, 2020 and 2019.

Income (loss) before income taxes consisted of the following:

	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions)		
United States (a)	\$ 143	\$ (1,509)	\$ (338)
Foreign	1,347	(1,341)	559
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	<u>\$ 1,490</u>	<u>\$ (2,850)</u>	<u>\$ 221</u>

(a) Includes substantially all of our interest expense, corporate expense and the results of commodity hedging activities.

The difference between our effective income tax rate and the U.S. statutory rate is reconciled below:

	2021	2020	2019
U.S. statutory rate	21.0 %	21.0 %	21.0 %
Effect of foreign operations (a)	28.0	12.1	142.9
State income taxes, net of federal income tax	0.2	0.1	5.8
Valuation allowance on current year operations	(5.3)	(36.5)	41.8
Release valuation allowance against previously unbenefited deferred tax assets	—	—	(24.5)
Noncontrolling interests in Midstream	(4.0)	1.7	(16.0)
Intraperiod allocation	—	—	33.7
Credits	—	2.0	—
Equity and executive compensation	0.4	(0.1)	2.2
Other	—	0.1	1.2
Total	40.3 %	0.4 %	208.1 %

(a) The variance in effective income tax rates attributable to the effect of foreign operations primarily resulted from the mix of income among high, primarily Libya, and low tax rate jurisdictions.

The components of deferred tax liabilities and deferred tax assets at December 31, were as follows:

	2021	2020
	(In millions)	
Deferred Tax Liabilities		
Property, plant and equipment and investments	\$ (1,712)	\$ (847)
Other	(38)	(45)
Total Deferred Tax Liabilities	(1,750)	(892)
Deferred Tax Assets		
Net operating loss carryforwards	4,323	5,037
Tax credit carryforwards	89	135
Property, plant and equipment and investments	258	55
Accrued compensation, deferred credits and other liabilities	71	196
Asset retirement obligations	258	252
Other	277	325
Total Deferred Tax Assets	5,276	6,000
Valuation allowances (a)	(3,838)	(5,391)
Total deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowances	1,438	609
Net Deferred Tax Assets (Liabilities)	\$ (312)	\$ (283)

(a) In 2021, the valuation allowance decreased by \$1,553 million (2020: increase of \$657 million; 2019: decrease of \$143 million).

In the *Consolidated Balance Sheet*, deferred tax assets and liabilities are netted by taxing jurisdiction and are recorded at December 31, as follows:

	2021	2020
	(In millions)	
Deferred income taxes (long-term asset)	\$ 71	\$ 59
Deferred income taxes (long-term liability)	(383)	(342)
Net Deferred Tax Assets (Liabilities)	\$ (312)	\$ (283)

At December 31, 2021, we have recognized a gross deferred tax asset related to net operating loss carryforwards of \$4,323 million before application of valuation allowances. The deferred tax asset is comprised of \$300 million attributable to foreign net operating losses which will begin to expire in 2025, \$3,507 million attributable to U.S. federal operating losses which will begin to expire in 2034, and \$516 million attributable to losses in various U.S. states which will begin to expire in 2022. The deferred tax asset attributable to foreign net operating losses, net of valuation allowances, is \$166 million. A full valuation allowance is established against the deferred tax asset attributable to U.S. federal and state net operating losses, except for \$12 million of U.S. federal and \$3 million of U.S. state deferred tax assets attributable to Midstream activities for which separate U.S. federal and state tax returns are filed. At December 31, 2021, we have U.S. state tax credit carryforwards of \$18 million, which will begin to expire in 2034, \$71 million of other business credit carryforwards, which will begin to expire in 2036, and foreign tax credit carryforwards of \$1 million, which will begin to expire in 2024. A full valuation allowance is established against the deferred tax asset attributable to these credits.

At December 31, 2021, the *Consolidated Balance Sheet* reflects a \$3,838 million (2020: \$5,391 million) valuation allowance against the net deferred tax assets for multiple jurisdictions based on application of the relevant accounting standards. Hess continues

to maintain a full valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets in the U.S. (non-Midstream) and Malaysia, and other certain jurisdictions, and did so against its deferred tax assets in Denmark prior to its sale in 2021 (see *Note 11, Dispositions*). The reduction in valuation allowance year over year is primarily due to the sale of the Denmark asset with its deferred tax asset and related valuation allowance being derecognized as part of net basis of property sold and partially due to a reduction in deferred tax asset balances in jurisdictions where we continue to maintain a full valuation allowance. Management assesses the available positive and negative evidence to estimate whether sufficient future taxable income will be generated to permit the use of deferred tax assets. The cumulative loss incurred over the three-year period ending December 31, 2021 constitutes significant objective negative evidence. Such objective negative evidence limits our ability to consider subjective positive evidence, such as our projections of future taxable income, resulting in the recognition of a valuation allowance against the net deferred tax assets for these jurisdictions. The amount of the deferred tax asset considered realizable, however, could be adjusted if estimates of future taxable income change or if objective negative evidence in the form of cumulative losses is no longer present and additional weight can be given to subjective evidence.

Below is a reconciliation of the gross beginning and ending amounts of unrecognized tax benefits:

	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions)		
Balance at January 1	\$ 166	\$ 168	\$ 168
Additions based on tax positions taken in the current year.....	12	2	2
Additions based on tax positions of prior years.....	3	1	1
Reductions based on tax positions of prior years.....	(48)	(2)	(1)
Reductions due to settlements with taxing authorities.....	—	(1)	—
Reductions due to lapses in statutes of limitation.....	—	(2)	(2)
Balance at December 31	<u>\$ 133</u>	<u>\$ 166</u>	<u>\$ 168</u>

The December 31, 2021 balance of unrecognized tax benefits includes \$15 million that, if recognized, would impact our effective income tax rate. Over the next 12 months, it is reasonably possible that the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits could decrease between zero and \$15 million due to settlements with taxing authorities or other resolutions, as well as lapses in statutes of limitation. At December 31, 2021, our accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits is \$6 million (2020: \$6 million).

We file income tax returns in the U.S. and various foreign jurisdictions. We are no longer subject to examinations by income tax authorities in most jurisdictions for years prior to 2009.

16. Outstanding and Weighted Average Common Shares

The Net income (loss) and weighted average number of common shares used in basic and diluted earnings per share computation were as follows:

	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions except per share amounts)		
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Hess Corporation Common Stockholders:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 890	\$ (2,839)	\$ (240)
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	331	254	168
Less: Preferred stock dividends	—	—	4
Net income (loss) attributable to Hess Corporation Common Stockholders	<u>\$ 559</u>	<u>\$ (3,093)</u>	<u>\$ (412)</u>
Weighted Average Number of Common Shares Outstanding:			
Basic	307.4	304.8	301.2
Effect of dilutive securities			
Restricted common stock	0.7	—	—
Stock options	0.4	—	—
Performance share units	0.8	—	—
Diluted	<u>309.3</u>	<u>304.8</u>	<u>301.2</u>
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Hess Corporation per Common Share:			
Basic	\$ 1.82	\$ (10.15)	\$ (1.37)
Diluted	\$ 1.81	\$ (10.15)	\$ (1.37)
Antidilutive shares excluded from the computation of diluted shares:			
Restricted common stock	—	2.1	2.2
Stock options	0.7	4.3	4.7
Performance share units	—	1.1	1.7

The following table provides the changes in our outstanding common shares:

	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions)		
Balance at January 1	307.0	304.9	291.4
Conversion of preferred stock	—	—	11.6
Activity related to restricted stock awards, net	0.7	1.0	0.9
Stock options exercised	1.5	0.3	0.7
PSUs vested	0.5	0.8	0.3
Balance at December 31	<u>309.7</u>	<u>307.0</u>	<u>304.9</u>

Preferred Stock:

In February 2016, we issued depository shares representing 575,000 shares of 8% Series A Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock (Preferred Stock), par value \$1 per share, with a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share. On January 31, 2019, the Preferred Stock automatically converted into shares of common stock and the net number of common shares issued by the Corporation was approximately 11.6 million shares.

Common Stock Repurchase Plan:

At December 31, 2021, we are authorized, but not required, to purchase additional common stock up to a value of \$650 million.

Common Stock Dividends:

Cash dividends declared on common stock totaled \$1.00 per share in 2021, 2020 and 2019. See *Note 21, Subsequent Events*.

17. Supplementary Cash Flow Information

The following information supplements the *Statement of Consolidated Cash Flows*:

	2021	2020	2019
	(In millions)		
Cash Flows From Operating Activities			
Interest paid	\$ (459)	\$ (460)	\$ (380)
Net income taxes (paid) refunded	(16)	(64)	(417)
Cash Flows From Investing Activities			
Additions to property, plant and equipment - E&P:			
Capital expenditures incurred - E&P	\$ (1,698)	\$ (1,678)	\$ (2,576)
Increase (decrease) in related liabilities	114	(218)	143
Additions to property, plant and equipment - E&P	\$ (1,584)	\$ (1,896)	\$ (2,433)
Additions to property, plant and equipment - Midstream:			
Capital expenditures incurred - Midstream	\$ (183)	\$ (253)	\$ (416)
Increase (decrease) in related liabilities	20	(48)	20
Additions to property, plant and equipment - Midstream	\$ (163)	\$ (301)	\$ (396)

In December 2019, as part of HESM Opco's acquisition of HIP (see *Note 4, Hess Midstream LP*), HESM Opco assumed \$800 million of outstanding HIP notes (see *Note 7, Debt*).

18. Guarantees, Contingencies and Commitments

Guarantees and Contingencies

We are subject to loss contingencies with respect to various claims, lawsuits and other proceedings. A liability is recognized in our consolidated financial statements when it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated. If the risk of loss is probable, but the amount cannot be reasonably estimated or the risk of loss is only reasonably possible, a liability is not accrued; however, we disclose the nature of those contingencies. We cannot predict with certainty if, how or when existing claims, lawsuits and proceedings will be resolved or what the eventual relief, if any, may be, particularly for proceedings that are in their early stages of development or where plaintiffs seek indeterminate damages.

We, along with many companies that have been or continue to be engaged in refining and marketing of gasoline, have been a party to lawsuits and claims related to the use of MTBE in gasoline. A series of similar lawsuits, many involving water utilities or governmental entities, were filed in jurisdictions across the U.S. against producers of MTBE and petroleum refiners who produced gasoline containing MTBE, including us. The principal allegation in all cases was that gasoline containing MTBE was a defective product and that these producers and refiners are strictly liable in proportion to their share of the gasoline market for damage to groundwater resources and are required to take remedial action to ameliorate the alleged effects on the environment of releases of MTBE. The majority of the cases asserted against us have been settled. There are two remaining active cases, filed by Pennsylvania and Maryland. In June 2014, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania filed a lawsuit alleging that we and all major oil companies with operations in Pennsylvania, have damaged the groundwater by introducing thereto gasoline with MTBE. The Pennsylvania suit has been forwarded to the existing MTBE multidistrict litigation pending in the Southern District of New York. In December 2017, the State of Maryland filed a lawsuit alleging that we and other major oil companies damaged the groundwater in Maryland by introducing thereto gasoline with MTBE. The suit, filed in Maryland state court, was served on us in January 2018 and has been removed to federal court by the defendants.

In September 2003, we received a directive from the NJDEP to remediate contamination in the sediments of the Lower Passaic River. The NJDEP is also seeking natural resource damages. The directive, insofar as it affects us, relates to alleged releases from a petroleum bulk storage terminal in Newark, New Jersey we previously owned. We and over 70 companies entered into an Administrative Order on Consent with the EPA to study the same contamination; this work remains ongoing. We and other parties settled a cost recovery claim by the State of New Jersey and agreed with the EPA to fund remediation of a portion of the site. On March 4, 2016, the EPA issued a ROD in respect of the lower eight miles of the Lower Passaic River, selecting a remedy that includes bank-to-bank dredging at an estimated cost of \$1.38 billion. The ROD does not address the upper nine miles of the Lower Passaic River or the Newark Bay, which may require additional remedial action. In addition, the federal trustees for natural resources have begun a separate assessment of damages to natural resources in the Passaic River. Given that the EPA has not selected a final remedy for the entirety of the Lower Passaic River or the Newark Bay, total remedial costs cannot be reliably estimated at this time. Based on currently known facts and circumstances, we do not believe that this matter will result in a significant liability to us because our former terminal did not store or use contaminants which are of concern in the river sediments and could not have contributed

contamination along the river’s length. Further, there are numerous other parties who we expect will bear the cost of remediation and damages.

In March 2014, we received an Administrative Order from the EPA requiring us and 26 other parties to undertake the Remedial Design for the remedy selected by the EPA for the Gowanus Canal Superfund Site in Brooklyn, New York. Our alleged liability derives from our former ownership and operation of a fuel oil terminal and connected shipbuilding and repair facility adjacent to the Canal. The remedy selected by the EPA includes dredging of surface sediments and the placement of a cap over the deeper sediments throughout the Canal and in-situ stabilization of certain contaminated sediments that will remain in place below the cap. The EPA’s original estimate was that this remedy would cost \$506 million; however, the ultimate costs that will be incurred in connection with the design and implementation of the remedy remain uncertain. We have complied with the EPA’s March 2014 Administrative Order and contributed funding for the Remedial Design based on an allocation of costs among the parties determined by a third-party expert. In January 2020, we received an additional Administrative Order from the EPA requiring us and several other parties to begin Remedial Action along the uppermost portion of the Canal. We intend to comply with this Administrative Order. The remediation work began in the fourth quarter of 2020. Based on currently known facts and circumstances, we do not believe that this matter will result in a significant liability to us, and the costs will continue to be allocated amongst the parties, as they were for the Remedial Design.

From time to time, we are involved in other judicial and administrative proceedings relating to environmental matters. We periodically receive notices from the EPA that we are a “potential responsible party” under the Superfund legislation with respect to various waste disposal sites. Under this legislation, all potentially responsible parties may be jointly and severally liable. For any site for which we have received such a notice, the EPA’s claims or assertions of liability against us relating to these sites have not been fully developed, or the EPA’s claims have been settled or a settlement is under consideration, in all cases for amounts that are not material. Beginning in 2017, certain states, municipalities and private associations in California, Delaware, Maryland, Rhode Island and South Carolina separately filed lawsuits against oil, gas and coal producers, including us, for alleged damages purportedly caused by climate change. These proceedings include claims for monetary damages and injunctive relief. Beginning in 2013, various parishes in Louisiana filed suit against approximately 100 oil and gas companies, including us, alleging that the companies’ operations and activities in certain fields violated the State and Local Coastal Resource Management Act of 1978, as amended, and caused contamination, subsidence and other environmental damages to land and water bodies located in the coastal zone of Louisiana. The plaintiffs seek, among other things, the payment of the costs necessary to clear, re-vegetate and otherwise restore the allegedly impacted areas. The ultimate impact of such climate and other aforementioned environmental proceedings, and of any related proceedings by private parties, on our business or accounts cannot be predicted at this time due to the large number of other potentially responsible parties and the speculative nature of clean-up cost estimates.

We are also involved in other judicial and administrative proceedings from time to time in addition to the matters described above, including claims related to post-production deductions from royalty payments. We may also be exposed to future decommissioning liabilities for divested assets in the event the current or future owners of facilities previously owned by us are determined to be unable to perform such actions, whether due to bankruptcy or otherwise. We cannot predict with certainty if, how or when such proceedings will be resolved or what the eventual relief, if any, may be, particularly for proceedings that are in their early stages of development or where plaintiffs seek indeterminate damages. Numerous issues may need to be resolved, including through potentially lengthy discovery and determination of important factual matters before a loss or range of loss can be reasonably estimated for any proceeding.

Subject to the foregoing, in management’s opinion, based upon currently known facts and circumstances, the outcome of lawsuits, claims and proceedings, including the matters disclosed above, is not expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. However, we could incur judgments, enter into settlements, or revise our opinion regarding the outcome of certain matters, and such developments could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations in the period in which the amounts are accrued and our cash flows in the period in which the amounts are paid.

Unconditional Purchase Obligations and Commitments

The following table shows aggregate information for certain unconditional purchase obligations and commitments at December 31, 2021, which are not included elsewhere within these *Consolidated Financial Statements*:

	Payments Due by Period				
	Total	2022	2023 and 2024	2025 and 2026	Thereafter
	(In millions)				
Capital expenditures.....	\$ 3,263	\$ 1,028	\$ 1,458	\$ 777	\$ —
Operating expenses.....	180	132	42	6	—
Transportation and related contracts.....	2,574	390	639	453	1,092

19. Segment Information

We currently have two operating segments, E&P and Midstream. The E&P operating segment explores for, develops, produces, purchases and sells crude oil, NGL and natural gas. Production operations over the three years ended December 31, 2021 were in the U.S., Malaysia and the JDA, Denmark (sold in August 2021), Libya, and Guyana commencing December 2019. The Midstream operating segment provides fee-based services including crude oil and natural gas gathering, processing of natural gas and the fractionation of NGL, transportation of crude oil by rail car, terminaling and loading crude oil and NGL, storing and terminaling propane, and water handling services primarily in the Bakken shale play of North Dakota. All unallocated costs are reflected under Corporate, Interest and Other.

The following table presents operating segment financial data (in millions):

	Exploration and Production	Midstream	Corporate, Interest and Other	Eliminations	Total
2021					
Sales and Other Operating Revenues - Third parties	\$ 7,473	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 7,473
Intersegment Revenues	—	1,204	—	(1,204)	—
Sales and Other Operating Revenues	<u>\$ 7,473</u>	<u>\$ 1,204</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ (1,204)</u>	<u>\$ 7,473</u>
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Hess Corporation	\$ 770	\$ 286	\$ (497)	\$ —	\$ 559
Interest Expense	—	105	376	—	481
Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization	1,361	166	1	—	1,528
Impairment and Other	147	—	—	—	147
Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes	585	15	—	—	600
Investment in Affiliates	94	102	1	—	197
Identifiable Assets	14,173	3,671	2,671	—	20,515
Capital Expenditures	1,698	183	—	—	1,881
2020					
Sales and Other Operating Revenues - Third parties	\$ 4,667	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 4,667
Intersegment Revenues	—	1,092	—	(1,092)	—
Sales and Other Operating Revenues	<u>\$ 4,667</u>	<u>\$ 1,092</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ (1,092)</u>	<u>\$ 4,667</u>
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Hess Corporation	\$ (2,841)	\$ 230	\$ (482)	\$ —	\$ (3,093)
Interest Expense	—	95	373	—	468
Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization	1,915	157	2	—	2,074
Impairment and Other	2,126	—	—	—	2,126
Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes	(12)	7	(6)	—	(11)
Investment in Affiliates	104	108	—	—	212
Identifiable Assets	13,688	3,599	1,534	—	18,821
Capital Expenditures	1,678	253	—	—	1,931
2019					
Sales and Other Operating Revenues - Third parties	\$ 6,495	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 6,495
Intersegment Revenues	—	848	—	(848)	—
Sales and Other Operating Revenues	<u>\$ 6,495</u>	<u>\$ 848</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ (848)</u>	<u>\$ 6,495</u>
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Hess Corporation	\$ 53	\$ 144	\$ (605)	\$ —	\$ (408)
Interest Expense	—	63	317	—	380
Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization	1,977	142	3	—	2,122
Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes	375	—	86	—	461
Capital Expenditures	2,576	416	—	—	2,992

The following table presents financial information by major geographic area:

	United States	Guyana	Malaysia and JDA	Other (a)	Corporate, Interest and other	Total
	(In millions)					
2021						
Sales and Other Operating Revenues	\$ 5,378	\$ 754	\$ 738	\$ 603	\$ —	\$ 7,473
Property, Plant and Equipment (Net) (b)	9,721	3,064	1,035	352	10	14,182
2020						
Sales and Other Operating Revenues	\$ 3,604	\$ 350	\$ 511	\$ 202	\$ —	\$ 4,667
Property, Plant and Equipment (Net) (b)	10,384	2,114	1,067	539	11	14,115
2019						
Sales and Other Operating Revenues	\$ 5,043	\$ —	\$ 762	\$ 690	\$ —	\$ 6,495

(a) Other includes our interests in Denmark (sold in August 2021), Libya, Suriname and Canada.

(b) Property, plant and equipment in the United States in 2021 includes \$6,596 million (2020: \$7,273 million) attributable to the E&P segment and \$3,125 million (2020: \$3,111 million) attributable to the Midstream segment.

20. Financial Risk Management Activities

In the normal course of our business, we are exposed to commodity risks related to changes in the prices of crude oil and natural gas, as well as changes in interest rates and foreign currency values. In the disclosures that follow, corporate financial risk management activities refer to the mitigation of these risks through hedging activities. We maintain a control environment for all of our financial risk management activities under the direction of our Chief Risk Officer. Our Treasury department is responsible for administering foreign exchange rate and interest rate hedging programs using similar controls and processes, where applicable. Hedging strategies are reviewed annually by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

Corporate Financial Risk Management Activities: Financial risk management activities include transactions designed to reduce risk in the selling prices of crude oil or natural gas we produce or by reducing our exposure to foreign currency or interest rate movements. Generally, futures, swaps or option strategies may be used to fix the forward selling price, or establish a floor price or a range banded with a floor and ceiling price, for a portion of our crude oil or natural gas production. Forward contracts may also be used to purchase certain currencies in which we conduct business with the intent of reducing exposure to foreign currency fluctuations. At December 31, 2021, these forward contracts relate to the British Pound, Canadian Dollar and Malaysian Ringgit. Interest rate swaps may be used to convert interest payments on certain long-term debt from fixed to floating rates.

The notional amounts of outstanding financial risk management derivative contracts were as follows:

	December 31, 2021	December 31, 2020
	(In millions)	
Commodity - crude oil hedge contracts (millions of barrels)	54.8	27.4
Foreign exchange forwards	\$ 145	\$ 163
Interest rate swaps	\$ 100	\$ 100

For calendar year 2022, we have hedged 90,000 bopd with WTI collars with an average monthly floor price of \$60 per barrel and an average monthly ceiling price of \$100 per barrel, and 60,000 bopd with Brent collars with an average monthly floor price of \$65 per barrel and an average monthly ceiling price of \$105 per barrel.

The table below reflects the gross and net fair values of risk management derivative instruments:

	Assets	Liabilities
	(In millions)	
December 31, 2021		
Derivative Contracts Designated as Hedging Instruments:		
Crude oil collars	\$ 155	\$ —
Interest rate swaps	2	—
Total derivative contracts designated as hedging instruments	<u>157</u>	<u>—</u>
Derivative Contracts Not Designated as Hedging Instruments:		
Foreign exchange forwards	—	(1)
Total derivative contracts not designated as hedging instruments	<u>—</u>	<u>(1)</u>
Gross fair value of derivative contracts	157	(1)
Gross amount offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheet	—	—
Net Amounts Presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheet	<u>\$ 157</u>	<u>\$ (1)</u>
December 31, 2020		
Derivative Contracts Designated as Hedging Instruments:		
Crude oil put options	\$ 64	\$ —
Crude oil swaps	—	(54)
Interest rate swaps	5	—
Total derivative contracts designated as hedging instruments	<u>69</u>	<u>(54)</u>
Derivative Contracts Not Designated as Hedging Instruments:		
Foreign exchange forwards	—	(1)
Total derivative contracts not designated as hedging instruments	<u>—</u>	<u>(1)</u>
Gross fair value of derivative contracts	69	(55)
Gross amount offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheet	(13)	13
Net Amounts Presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheet	<u>\$ 56</u>	<u>\$ (42)</u>

At December 31, 2021, the fair value of our crude oil collars is presented within *Other current assets* in our *Consolidated Balance Sheet*. At December 31, 2020, the fair value of our crude oil put options and crude oil swaps is presented within *Other current assets* and *Accrued liabilities*, respectively, in our *Consolidated Balance Sheet*. The fair value of our interest rate swaps is presented within *Other assets* in our *Consolidated Balance Sheet*. The fair value of our foreign exchange forwards is presented within *Accrued liabilities* in our *Consolidated Balance Sheet*. All fair values in the table above are based on Level 2 inputs.

Derivative contracts designated as hedging instruments:

Crude oil hedge contracts: In 2021, crude oil price hedging contracts decreased *Sales and other operating revenues* by \$243 million (2020: increase of \$547 million; 2019: increase of \$1 million). At December 31, 2021, pre-tax deferred losses in *Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)* related to outstanding crude oil price hedging contracts were \$68 million (\$68 million after income taxes), all of which will be reclassified into earnings during the next 12 months as the hedged crude oil sales are recognized in earnings.

Interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges: At December 31, 2021, we had interest rate swaps with gross notional amounts of \$100 million (2020: \$100 million), which were designated as fair value hedges and relate to long-term debt where we have converted interest payments from fixed to floating rates. Changes in the fair value of interest rate swaps and the hedged fixed-rate debt are recorded in *Interest expense* in the *Statement of Consolidated Income*. In 2021, the change in fair value of interest rate swaps was a decrease of \$3 million (2020: \$4 million increase; 2019: \$3 million increase) with a corresponding adjustment in the carrying value of the hedged fixed-rate debt.

Derivative contracts not designated as hedging instruments:

Foreign exchange: Total foreign exchange gains and losses were losses of \$2 million in 2021 (2020: loss of \$6 million; 2019: gain of \$3 million) and are reported in *Other, net* in Revenues and non-operating income in the *Statement of Consolidated Income*. A component of foreign exchange gains or losses is the result of foreign exchange derivative contracts that are not designated as hedges, which amounted to a net gain of \$1 million in 2021 (2020: net gain of \$2 million; 2019: net loss of \$2 million).

Credit Risk: We are exposed to credit risks that may at times be concentrated with certain counterparties, groups of counterparties or customers. Accounts receivable are generated from a diverse domestic and international customer base. At December 31, 2021, our Accounts receivable were concentrated with the following counterparty industry segments: Integrated companies — 50%, Independent E&P companies — 31%, Refining and marketing companies — 9%, National oil companies — 3%,

Storage and transportation companies — 3%, and Others — 4%. We reduce risk related to certain counterparties, where applicable, by using master netting arrangements and requiring collateral, generally cash or letters of credit.

At December 31, 2021, we had outstanding letters of credit totaling \$259 million (2020: \$269 million).

Fair Value Measurement: At December 31, 2021, our total long-term debt, which was substantially comprised of fixed rate debt instruments, had a carrying value of \$8,458 million and a fair value of \$9,897 million, based on Level 2 inputs in the fair value measurement hierarchy. We also have short-term financial instruments, primarily cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable, for which the carrying value approximated fair value at December 31, 2021 and December 31, 2020.

21. Subsequent Events

Following the startup of the Liza Phase 2 project in February 2022, we repaid the remaining \$500 million outstanding under our \$1 billion term loan and we announced a 50 percent increase in our quarterly dividend on common stock. In January 2022, we paid accrued Libyan income tax and royalties of approximately \$470 million related to operations for the period December 2020 through November 2021.

HESS CORPORATION AND CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES
SUPPLEMENTARY OIL AND GAS DATA (UNAUDITED)

The *Supplementary Oil and Gas Data* that follows is presented in accordance with ASC 932, *Disclosures about Oil and Gas Producing Activities*, and includes (1) costs incurred, capitalized costs and results of operations relating to oil and gas producing activities, (2) net proved oil and gas reserves and (3) a standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows relating to proved oil and gas reserves, including a reconciliation of changes therein.

Costs Incurred in Oil and Gas Producing Activities

For the Years Ended December 31	Total	United States	Guyana	Malaysia and JDA	Other (a)
	(In millions)				
2021					
Property acquisitions					
Unproved	\$ 24	\$ 4	\$ 20	\$ —	\$ —
Proved	—	—	—	—	—
Exploration	368	92	250	7	19
Production and development capital expenditures (b) (c)	<u>1,645</u>	<u>653</u>	<u>820</u>	<u>157</u>	<u>15</u>
2020					
Property acquisitions					
Unproved	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Proved	—	—	—	—	—
Exploration	307	169	130	2	6
Production and development capital expenditures (b)	<u>1,567</u>	<u>804</u>	<u>630</u>	<u>106</u>	<u>27</u>
2019					
Property acquisitions					
Unproved	\$ 26	\$ 26	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Proved	—	—	—	—	—
Exploration	455	174	239	4	38
Production and development capital expenditures (b)	<u>2,463</u>	<u>1,735</u>	<u>585</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>29</u>

(a) Other includes our interests in Denmark (sold in August 2021), Libya, Suriname and Canada.

(b) Includes an increase of \$208 million for net accruals and revisions of asset retirement obligations in 2021 (2020: \$88 million increase; 2019: \$201 million increase).

(c) Net accruals for asset retirement obligations in the United States exclude a charge of \$147 million related to our former interests in the West Delta Field in the Gulf of Mexico which we sold to a Fieldwood predecessor in 2004. See Note 8, *Asset Retirement Obligations* in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Capitalized Costs Relating to Oil and Gas Producing Activities

	At December 31,	
	2021	2020
	(In millions)	
Unproved properties	\$ 184	\$ 164
Proved properties	2,877	2,930
Wells, equipment and related facilities	23,745	23,224
Total costs	<u>26,806</u>	<u>26,318</u>
Less: Reserve for depreciation, depletion, amortization and lease impairment	15,759	15,325
Net Capitalized Costs	<u>\$ 11,047</u>	<u>\$ 10,993</u>

Results of Operations for Oil and Gas Producing Activities

The results of operations shown below exclude non-oil and gas producing activities, primarily gains (losses) on sales of oil and gas properties, sales of purchased crude oil, NGL and natural gas from third parties, interest expense and non-operating income. Sales and other operating revenues include crude oil hedging results and are net of payments for unutilized committed transportation capacity. Therefore, these results are on a different basis than the net income (loss) from E&P operations reported in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and in *Note 19, Segment Information* in the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*. Other includes results for Denmark (sold in August 2021), Libya, Suriname and Canada.

For the Years Ended December 31	Total	United States	Guyana (a)	Malaysia and JDA	Other
	(In millions)				
2021					
Sales and Other Operating Revenues	\$ 5,621	\$ 3,638	\$ 738	\$ 738	\$ 507
Costs and Expenses					
Operating costs and expenses (b)	1,073	718	196	106	53
Production and severance taxes	172	166	—	6	—
Midstream tariffs	1,094	1,094	—	—	—
Exploration expenses, including dry holes and lease impairment ...	162	102	35	7	18
General and administrative expenses	191	162	12	11	6
Depreciation, depletion and amortization (b)	1,426	1,085	109	205	27
Impairment and other	147	147	—	—	—
Total Costs and Expenses	4,265	3,474	352	335	104
Results of Operations Before Income Taxes	1,356	164	386	403	403
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	534	—	119	31	384
Results of Operations	\$ 822	\$ 164	\$ 267	\$ 372	\$ 19
2020					
Sales and Other Operating Revenues	\$ 3,794	\$ 2,747	\$ 345	\$ 511	\$ 191
Costs and Expenses					
Operating costs and expenses	895	564	136	109	86
Production and severance taxes	124	118	—	6	—
Midstream tariffs	946	946	—	—	—
Exploration expenses, including dry holes and lease impairment ...	351	284	25	—	42
General and administrative expenses	206	176	9	12	9
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	1,915	1,480	130	268	37
Impairment and other	2,126	697	—	755	674
Total Costs and Expenses	6,563	4,265	300	1,150	848
Results of Operations Before Income Taxes	(2,769)	(1,518)	45	(639)	(657)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(4)	—	9	22	(35)
Results of Operations	\$ (2,765)	\$ (1,518)	\$ 36	\$ (661)	\$ (622)
2019					
Sales and Other Operating Revenues	\$ 4,719	\$ 3,361	\$ —	\$ 759	\$ 599
Costs and Expenses					
Operating costs and expenses	971	693	47	139	92
Production and severance taxes	184	176	—	8	—
Midstream tariffs	722	722	—	—	—
Exploration expenses, including dry holes and lease impairment ...	233	144	47	3	39
General and administrative expenses	204	176	7	12	9
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	1,977	1,489	1	413	74
Total Costs and Expenses	4,291	3,400	102	575	214
Results of Operations Before Income Taxes	428	(39)	(102)	184	385
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	325	—	(60)	13	372
Results of Operations	\$ 103	\$ (39)	\$ (42)	\$ 171	\$ 13

(a) Production from Liza Phase 1 commenced in December 2019. Operating costs and expenses also include pre-development costs from the operator for future phases of development and Hess internal costs.

(b) Operating costs and expenses and depreciation, depletion and amortization, in the United States, include \$108 million and \$65 million, respectively, related to the cost of 4.2 million barrels of crude oil stored on two VLCCs at December 31, 2020 that were sold in 2021.

Proved Oil and Gas Reserves

Our proved oil and gas reserves are calculated in accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) regulations and the requirements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board. Proved oil and gas reserves are quantities, which by analysis of geoscience and engineering data, can be estimated with reasonable certainty to be economically producible from known reservoirs under existing economic conditions, operating methods and government regulations. Our estimation of net recoverable quantities of liquid hydrocarbons and natural gas is a highly technical process performed by our internal teams of geoscience and reservoir engineering professionals. Estimates of reserves were prepared by the use of appropriate geologic, petroleum engineering, and evaluation principles and techniques that are in accordance with practices generally recognized by the petroleum industry as presented in the publication of the Society of Petroleum Engineers entitled “Standards Pertaining to the Estimating and Auditing of Oil and Gas Reserves Information (Revision as of June 25, 2019).” The method or combination of methods used in the analysis of each reservoir is based on the maturity of the reservoir, the completeness of the subsurface data available at the time of the estimate, the stage of reservoir development and the production history. Subsurface data used included well logs, reservoir core and fluid samples, production and pressure testing, static and dynamic pressure information, and reservoir surveillance. Where applicable, reliable technologies may be used in reserve estimation, as defined in the SEC regulations. These technologies, including computational methods, must have been field tested and demonstrated to provide reasonably certain results with consistency and repeatability in the formation being evaluated or in an analogous formation. In some cases, where appropriate, use of empirical and analytical methods, combined with analog data were used. Analytic tools, including reservoir simulation, geologic modeling and seismic processing, have been used in the interpretation of the subsurface data. These technologies were used to increase the quality and confidence in the reserves estimates.

In order for reserves to be classified as proved, any required government approvals must be obtained and depending on the cost of the project, either senior management or the Board of Directors must commit to fund the development. Our proved reserves are subject to certain risks and uncertainties, which are discussed in *Item 1A. Risk Factors* of this Form 10-K.

In 2021, we announced our new five year GHG reduction targets for 2025, which are to reduce operated Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions intensity by approximately 44% and methane emissions intensity by approximately 52% from 2017. In January 2022, we announced our plan to reduce routine flaring at Hess operated assets to zero by the end of 2025. The impact of these targets on our production operations was reflected in the determination of proved reserves at December 31, 2021.

Internal Controls

The Corporation maintains internal controls over its oil and gas reserve estimation processes, which are administered by our Global Reserves group and our Chief Financial Officer. Estimates of reserves are prepared by technical staff who work directly with the oil and gas properties using industry standard reserve estimation principles, definitions and methodologies. Each year, reserve estimates of the Corporation’s assets are subject to internal technical audits and reviews. In addition, an independent third-party reserve engineer reviews and audits a significant portion of the Corporation’s reported reserves (see pages 91 through 96). Reserve estimates are reviewed by senior management and the Board of Directors.

Qualifications

The person primarily responsible for overseeing the preparation of the Corporation’s oil and gas reserves during 2021 was the Senior Manager, Global Reserves. He is a member of the Society of Petroleum Engineers and has 19 years of experience in the oil and gas industry with a MSc degree in Petroleum Engineering. His experience has been primarily focused on oil and gas subsurface understanding and reserves estimation in both domestic and international areas. He is also responsible for the Corporation’s Global Reserves group, which is the internal organization that establishes the policies and processes used within the operating units to estimate reserves and perform internal technical reserve audits and reviews.

Reserves Audit

We engaged the consulting firm of DeGolyer and MacNaughton (D&M) to perform an audit of the internally prepared reserve estimates on certain fields aggregating approximately 88% of 2021 year-end reported reserve quantities on a barrel of oil equivalent basis (2020: 92%). The purpose of this audit was to provide additional assurance on the reasonableness of internally prepared reserve estimates and compliance with SEC regulations. The D&M report, dated February 2, 2022, on the Corporation’s estimated oil and gas reserves was prepared using standard geological and engineering methods generally recognized in the petroleum industry. D&M is an independent petroleum engineering consulting firm that has been providing petroleum consulting services throughout the world for over 70 years. D&M’s letter report on the Corporation’s December 31, 2021 oil and gas reserves is included as an exhibit to this Form 10-K. While the D&M report should be read in its entirety, the report concludes that for the properties reviewed by D&M, the total net proved reserve estimates prepared by Hess and independently evaluated by D&M, in the aggregate, differed by less than 2.5% (2020: less than 1%) of total audited net proved reserves on a barrel of oil equivalent basis. The report also includes among other information, the qualifications of the technical person primarily responsible for overseeing the reserve audit.

Crude Oil Prices Used to Estimate Proved Reserves

Proved reserves are calculated using the average price during the twelve-month period before December 31 determined as an unweighted arithmetic average of the first-day-of-the-month price for each month within the year, unless prices are defined by contractual agreements, excluding escalations based on future conditions. Crude oil prices used in the determination of proved reserves at December 31, 2021 were \$66.34 per barrel for WTI (2020: \$39.77; 2019: \$55.73) and \$68.92 per barrel for Brent (2020: \$43.43; 2019: \$62.54). New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) natural gas prices used were \$3.68 per mcf in 2021 (2020: \$2.16; 2019: \$2.54).

At December 31, 2021, spot prices closed at \$75.21 per barrel for WTI and \$77.02 per barrel for Brent. If crude oil prices in 2022 are at levels below that used in determining 2021 proved reserves, we may recognize negative revisions to our December 31, 2022 proved undeveloped reserves. In addition, we may recognize negative revisions to proved developed reserves, which can vary significantly by asset due to differing operating cost structures. Conversely, price increases in 2022 above those used in determining 2021 proved reserves could result in positive revisions to proved developed and proved undeveloped reserves at December 31, 2022. It is difficult to estimate the magnitude of any potential net negative or positive change in proved reserves at December 31, 2022, due to numerous currently unknown factors, including 2022 crude oil prices, the amount of any additions to proved reserves, positive or negative revisions in proved reserves related to 2022 reservoir performance, the levels to which industry costs will change in response to 2022 crude oil prices, and management's plans as of December 31, 2022 for developing proved undeveloped reserves.

Following are the Corporation's proved reserves:

	Crude Oil & Condensate					Natural Gas Liquids	
	United States	Guyana	Malaysia and JDA	Other (a)	Total	United States	Total
	(Millions of bbls)					(Millions of bbls)	
Net Proved Reserves							
At January 1, 2019	501	40	8	165	714	175	175
Revisions of previous estimates	(54)	13	—	(6)	(47)	(29)	(29)
Extensions, discoveries and other additions	112	33	1	11	157	40	40
Production	(51)	—	(2)	(9)	(62)	(17)	(17)
At December 31, 2019	508	86	7	161	762	169	169
Revisions of previous estimates	(94)	78	—	(24)	(40)	(2)	(2)
Extensions, discoveries and other additions	58	48	—	—	106	18	18
Sales of minerals in place	(18)	—	—	—	(18)	(1)	(1)
Production	(53)	(8)	(1)	(3)	(65)	(22)	(22)
At December 31, 2020	401	204	6	134	745	162	162
Revisions of previous estimates	16	3	—	—	19	23	23
Extensions, discoveries and other additions	161	9	—	1	171	73	73
Sales of minerals in place	(40)	—	—	(27)	(67)	(6)	(6)
Production	(40)	(11)	(1)	(8)	(60)	(19)	(19)
At December 31, 2021	498	205	5	100	808	233	233
Net Proved Developed Reserves							
At January 1, 2019	266	—	4	149	419	85	85
At December 31, 2019	293	31	5	139	468	90	90
At December 31, 2020	282	72	4	134	492	120	120
At December 31, 2021	283	65	3	100	451	138	138
Net Proved Undeveloped Reserves							
At January 1, 2019	235	40	4	16	295	90	90
At December 31, 2019	215	55	2	22	294	79	79
At December 31, 2020	119	132	2	—	253	42	42
At December 31, 2021	215	140	2	—	357	95	95

(a) Other includes our interests in Denmark, which were sold in August 2021, and Libya.

	Natural Gas					Total				
	United States	Guyana (b)	Malaysia and JDA	Other (c)	Total	United States	Guyana (b)	Malaysia and JDA	Other (c)	Total
	(Millions of mcf)					(Millions of boe)				
Net Proved Reserves										
At January 1, 2019	813	12	784	206	1,815	812	42	139	199	1,192
Revisions of previous estimates ..	(197)	(7)	31	(11)	(184)	(116)	12	4	(7)	(107)
Extensions, discoveries and other additions.....	164	2	3	15	184	179	33	2	14	228
Production (a).....	(80)	—	(133)	(9)	(222)	(81)	—	(24)	(11)	(116)
At December 31, 2019	700	7	685	201	1,593	794	87	121	195	1,197
Revisions of previous estimates ..	(17)	68	81	(32)	100	(99)	89	14	(29)	(25)
Extensions, discoveries and other additions.....	78	9	20	—	107	89	50	3	—	142
Sales of minerals in place.....	(5)	—	—	—	(5)	(20)	—	—	—	(20)
Production (a).....	(103)	(1)	(111)	(4)	(219)	(92)	(8)	(20)	(4)	(124)
At December 31, 2020	653	83	675	165	1,576	672	218	118	162	1,170
Revisions of previous estimates ..	138	(33)	(42)	—	63	62	(3)	(6)	—	53
Extensions, discoveries and other additions.....	282	—	27	—	309	281	9	4	1	295
Sales of minerals in place.....	(44)	—	—	(63)	(107)	(53)	—	—	(38)	(91)
Production (a).....	(94)	(2)	(135)	(4)	(235)	(75)	(11)	(23)	(9)	(118)
At December 31, 2021	935	48	525	98	1,606	887	213	93	116	1,309
Net Proved Developed Reserves										
At January 1, 2019.....	432	—	585	192	1,209	423	—	102	181	706
At December 31, 2019.....	400	3	497	183	1,083	450	31	88	170	739
At December 31, 2020.....	490	36	543	165	1,234	484	78	94	162	818
At December 31, 2021	568	17	394	98	1,077	516	68	69	116	769
Net Proved Undeveloped Reserves										
At January 1, 2019.....	381	12	199	14	606	389	42	37	18	486
At December 31, 2019.....	300	4	188	18	510	344	56	33	25	458
At December 31, 2020.....	163	47	132	—	342	188	140	24	—	352
At December 31, 2021	367	31	131	—	529	371	145	24	—	540

(a) Natural gas production in 2021 includes 19 million mcf used for fuel (2020: 16 million mcf; 2019: 14 million mcf).

(b) Guyana natural gas reserves will be consumed for fuel.

(c) Other includes our interests in Denmark, which were sold in August 2021, and Libya.

Extensions, discoveries and other additions ('Additions')

2021: Total Additions were 295 million boe, of which 25 million boe (14 million barrels of crude oil, 7 million barrels of NGL and 24 million mcf of natural gas) related to proved developed reserves. Additions to proved developed reserves primarily resulted from drilling activity in the Bakken shale play in North Dakota. Additions to proved undeveloped reserves were 270 million boe (157 million barrels of crude oil, 66 million barrels of NGL and 285 million mcf of natural gas) and are discussed in further detail on page 95.

2020: Total Additions were 142 million boe, of which 12 million boe (8 million barrels of crude oil, 2 million barrels of NGL and 14 million mcf of natural gas) related to proved developed reserves. Additions to proved developed reserves primarily resulted from drilling activity in the Bakken shale play in North Dakota. Additions to proved undeveloped reserves were 130 million boe (98 million barrels of crude oil, 16 million barrels of NGL and 93 million mcf of natural gas) and are discussed in further detail on page 95.

2019: Total Additions were 228 million boe, of which 25 million boe (13 million barrels of crude oil, 6 million barrels of NGL and 35 million mcf of natural gas) related to proved developed reserves. Additions to proved developed reserves primarily resulted from new wells drilled in the Bakken shale play in North Dakota. Additions in the U.S. also included two wells drilled in the Gulf of Mexico. Additions to proved undeveloped reserves were 203 million boe (144 million barrels of crude oil, 34 million barrels of NGL and 149 million mcf of natural gas) and are discussed in further detail on page 95.

Revisions of previous estimates

2021: Total revisions of previous estimates of proved reserves amounted to a net increase of 53 million boe, of which revisions of proved developed reserves amounted to an increase of 73 million boe (31 million barrels of crude oil, 27 million barrels of NGL and 88 million mcf of natural gas). In the U.S., net positive revisions to proved developed reserves from the Bakken of 68 million boe were due to higher commodity prices (39 million boe) and improved well performance (32 million boe), partially offset by other negative revisions of 3 million boe. In the Gulf of Mexico, positive revisions to proved developed reserves were 10 million boe, including 5 million boe of positive price revisions and 5 million boe of other revisions, primarily improved well performance. In Malaysia and JDA, net negative revisions to proved developed reserves were 6 million boe due to the impact of higher commodity prices on entitlement allocations in the production sharing contract at JDA (50%) and performance at North Malay Basin and JDA (50%). Revisions associated with proved undeveloped reserves are discussed in further detail on page 95.

2020: Total revisions of previous estimates of proved reserves amounted to a net decrease of 25 million boe, of which revisions of proved developed reserves amounted to an increase of 108 million boe (38 million barrels of crude oil, 30 million barrels of NGL and 237 million mcf of natural gas). In the U.S., revisions to proved developed reserves from the Bakken were a net increase of 55 million boe, comprised of positive revisions of 77 million boe and negative price revisions of 22 million boe. The positive revisions resulted from well performance (50%), updated yield and decline factors (30%) and other changes (20%), primarily driven by cost reductions. In the Gulf of Mexico, net negative revisions were 8 million boe, including 2 million boe of negative price revisions. In Guyana, revisions increased proved developed reserves by 47 million boe related to performance (55%), improved recovery associated with water injection (35%), and increased natural gas for consumption (10%). In Malaysia and JDA, net revisions to proved developed reserves were an increase of 18 million boe due to performance at North Malay Basin and JDA (80%) and the impact of lower crude oil prices on entitlement allocations in the production sharing contract at JDA (20%). Other had negative revisions to proved developed reserves of 4 million boe, primarily in Libya. Revisions associated with proved undeveloped reserves are discussed in further detail on page 95.

2019: Total revisions of previous estimates amounted to a net decrease of 107 million boe, of which revisions of proved developed reserves amounted to a net decrease of 19 million boe (7 million barrels of NGL and 72 million mcf of natural gas). Revisions to proved developed reserves from the Bakken were a net decrease of 25 million boe with approximately 80% relating to changes in expected recoveries of NGL and natural gas and approximately 20% relating to the impact of lower prices. Net revisions from international assets were an increase of 6 million boe. Revisions associated with proved undeveloped reserves are discussed in further detail on page 95.

Sales of minerals in place ('Asset sales')

2021: Asset sales relate to the divestiture of our working interests in Denmark and our acreage interests in the Little Knife and Murphy Creek area of the Bakken.

2020: Asset sales relate to the divestiture of our 28% working interest in the Shenzi Field in the deepwater Gulf of Mexico.

Proved Undeveloped Reserves

Following are the Corporation's proved undeveloped reserves:

	United States	Guyana	Malaysia and JDA	Other (a)	Total
	(Millions of boe)				
Net Proved Undeveloped Reserves					
At January 1, 2019	389	42	37	18	486
Revisions of previous estimates	(91)	9	—	(6)	(88)
Extensions, discoveries and other additions	154	34	—	15	203
Transfers to proved developed reserves	(108)	(29)	(4)	(2)	(143)
At December 31, 2019	<u>344</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>458</u>
Revisions of previous estimates	(146)	42	(4)	(25)	(133)
Extensions, discoveries and other additions	78	50	2	—	130
Transfers to proved developed reserves	(85)	(8)	(7)	—	(100)
Sales of minerals in place	(3)	—	—	—	(3)
At December 31, 2020	<u>188</u>	<u>140</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>352</u>
Revisions of previous estimates	(16)	(4)	—	—	(20)
Extensions, discoveries and other additions	257	9	4	—	270
Transfers to proved developed reserves	(19)	—	(4)	—	(23)
Sales of minerals in place	(39)	—	—	—	(39)
At December 31, 2021	<u>371</u>	<u>145</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>540</u>

(a) Other includes our interests in Denmark, which were sold in August 2021, and Libya.

Extensions, discoveries and other additions ('Additions')

2021: In the United States, additions from the Bakken shale play in North Dakota were 257 million boe, which resulted from additional undeveloped well locations due to improved economic conditions, planned additional drilling activity, and development plan optimization. In Guyana, additions of 9 million boe related to the deepening of the hydrocarbon contact for Liza Phase 2. In Malaysia and JDA, additions were due to additional planned wells to be drilled.

2020: In the United States, additions from the Bakken shale play in North Dakota were 78 million boe, which primarily resulted from new wells planned to be drilled in the next five years, including the impact of optimizing locations in the development plan. In Guyana, additions of 50 million boe were due to the sanction of the Payara project. In Malaysia, additions at the North Malay Basin were due to additional planned wells to be drilled.

2019: In the United States, additions from the Bakken shale play in North Dakota were 154 million boe, of which approximately 25% of the change results from additional planned wells to be drilled in the next five years, and approximately 75% results from new wells moved into the five-year plan associated with optimization of drilling locations. Additions in Guyana totaling 34 million boe are from the sanction of Phase 2 development at the Liza Field on the Stabroek Block, offshore Guyana. Other additions were at the South Arne Field in Denmark and in Libya due to additional planned wells to be drilled.

Revisions of previous estimates

2021: In the United States, net negative reserve revisions of 16 million boe were primarily from the Bakken, which included a decrease of 88 million boe largely related to wells moved outside the five-year development plan mainly based on optimization of drilling locations and other net negative revisions of 8 million boe, partially offset by positive revisions of 80 million boe related to higher prices. In Guyana, net negative reserve revisions were 4 million boe, which included negative revisions of 16 million boe related to the impact of higher crude oil prices on entitlement allocations in the production sharing contract and negative revisions of 3 million boe resulting from decreased natural gas for consumption. Positive revisions of 15 million boe in Guyana resulted from improved recovery associated with water and gas injection.

2020: In the United States, negative reserve revisions of 146 million boe were from the Bakken, which included negative price revisions of 77 million boe, and a decrease of 121 million boe from wells moved outside our management and Board approved five-year plan due to a reduction in planned rig count and optimization of drilling locations in response to the decline in crude oil prices in 2020. These decreases were partially offset by positive revisions of 52 million boe, primarily due to optimized development spacing and increased well productivity. In Guyana, net positive reserve revisions for Liza Phase 1 and Phase 2 totaling 42 million boe resulted from improved recovery associated with water injection (45%), the impact of lower crude oil prices on entitlement allocations in the production sharing contract (40%) and increased natural gas for consumption (15%). For Other, net negative reserves revisions were 14 million boe in Libya and 11 million boe in Denmark due to moving planned wells outside our five-year plan in response to the decline in crude oil prices in 2020.

2019: Negative reserve revisions in the United States of 91 million boe were largely from the Bakken (94 million boe), of which approximately 75% resulted from wells moved outside our five-year plan associated with optimization of drilling locations. The remaining 25% of negative revisions in the Bakken were caused by lower commodity prices. The net positive reserve revisions in Guyana of 9 million boe relate to the Liza Phase 1 development due to the impact of lower crude oil prices on entitlement allocations in the production sharing agreement.

Transfers to proved developed reserves ('Transfers')

2021: Transfers from proved undeveloped reserves resulting from drilling activity included 19 million boe in the Bakken, and 4 million boe at JDA. Transfers in 2021 were consistent with the development plan used to determine proved reserves at December 31, 2020.

2020: Transfers from proved undeveloped reserves resulting from drilling activity included 83 million boe in the Bakken, 2 million boe in the Gulf of Mexico, 8 million boe for Liza Phase 1 in Guyana, and 7 million boe in the North Malay Basin.

2019: Transfers from proved undeveloped reserves included 100 million boe in the Bakken associated with drilling activity, 29 million boe at the Stabroek Block in Guyana where first production was achieved in 2019, and 8 million boe at the Tubular Bells Field in the Gulf of Mexico associated with drilling activity.

In 2021, capital expenditures of \$190 million were incurred to convert proved undeveloped reserves to proved developed reserves (2020: \$1,090 million; 2019: \$1,750 million).

At December 31, 2021, projects that have proved reserves that have been classified as undeveloped for a period in excess of five years totaled 11 million boe, or less than 1% of total proved reserves, related to the multi-phase offshore developments, primarily at North Malay Basin, offshore Malaysia, and the Stabroek Block, offshore Guyana.

Production Sharing Contracts

The Corporation's proved reserves include crude oil and natural gas reserves relating to long-term agreements with governments or authorities in which the Corporation has the legal right to produce or has a revenue interest in the production. The Corporation's operations with these production sharing arrangements include those in Guyana, Malaysia, and the JDA. Proved reserves for each of the three years ended December 31, 2021, as well as volumes produced and received during 2021, 2020 and 2019 from these production sharing contracts are presented in the proved reserve tables on pages 92 and 93. Revisions resulting from the entitlement impact of price changes in production sharing contracts decreased proved reserves by 17 million boe in 2021 (2020: 22 million boe increase; 2019: 5 million boe increase).

Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows Relating to Proved Oil and Gas Reserves

Future net cash flows are calculated by applying prescribed oil and gas selling prices used in determining year-end reserve estimates (adjusted for price changes provided by contractual arrangements) to estimated future production of proved oil and gas reserves, less estimated future development and production costs, which are based on year-end costs and existing economic assumptions. Future income tax expenses are computed by applying the appropriate year-end statutory tax rates to the pre-tax net cash flows, as well as including the effect of tax deductions and tax credits and allowances relating to the Corporation's proved oil and gas reserves. Future net cash flows are discounted at the prescribed rate of 10%.

The prices used for the discounted future net cash flows in 2021 were \$66.34 per barrel for WTI (2020: \$39.77; 2019: \$55.73) and \$68.92 per barrel for Brent (2020: \$43.43; 2019: \$62.54) and do not include the effects of commodity hedges. NYMEX natural gas prices used were \$3.68 per mcf in 2021 (2020: \$2.16; 2019: \$2.54). Selling prices have in the past, and can in the future, fluctuate significantly. As a result, selling prices used in the disclosure of future net cash flows may not be representative of future selling prices. In addition, the discounted future net cash flow estimates do not include exploration expenses, interest expense or corporate general and administrative expenses. The amount of tax deductions, credits, and allowances relating to the Corporation's proved oil and gas reserves can change year to year due to factors including changes in proved reserves, variances in actual pre-tax cash flows from forecasted pre-tax cash flows in historical periods, and the impact to year-end carryforward tax attributes associated with deducting in the Corporation's income tax returns exploration expenses, interest expense, and corporate general and administrative expenses that are not contemplated in the standardized measure computations. The future net cash flow estimates could be materially different if other assumptions were used.

At December 31	Total	United States	Guyana	Malaysia and JDA	Other (a)
	(In millions)				
2021					
Future revenues.....	\$ 55,788	\$ 32,054	\$ 13,940	\$ 2,759	\$ 7,035
Less:					
Future production costs.....	15,553	11,246	3,043	910	354
Future development costs.....	8,122	4,342	3,063	543	174
Future income tax expenses.....	11,257	3,625	1,516	151	5,965
	<u>34,932</u>	<u>19,213</u>	<u>7,622</u>	<u>1,604</u>	<u>6,493</u>
Future net cash flows.....	20,856	12,841	6,318	1,155	542
Less: Discount at 10% annual rate.....	9,603	7,073	2,091	193	246
Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows.....	<u>\$ 11,253</u>	<u>\$ 5,768</u>	<u>\$ 4,227</u>	<u>\$ 962</u>	<u>\$ 296</u>
2020					
Future revenues.....	\$ 28,745	\$ 11,757	\$ 8,362	\$ 2,578	\$ 6,048
Less:					
Future production costs.....	12,360	6,887	2,784	1,073	1,616
Future development costs.....	6,322	2,593	2,617	677	435
Future income tax expenses.....	4,135	45	380	110	3,600
	<u>22,817</u>	<u>9,525</u>	<u>5,781</u>	<u>1,860</u>	<u>5,651</u>
Future net cash flows.....	5,928	2,232	2,581	718	397
Less: Discount at 10% annual rate.....	2,343	1,205	935	123	80
Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows.....	<u>\$ 3,585</u>	<u>\$ 1,027</u>	<u>\$ 1,646</u>	<u>\$ 595</u>	<u>\$ 317</u>
2019					
Future revenues.....	\$ 44,778	\$ 25,223	\$ 5,326	\$ 3,473	\$ 10,756
Less:					
Future production costs.....	14,176	10,189	931	1,238	1,818
Future development costs.....	8,267	5,104	1,549	823	791
Future income tax expenses.....	8,560	1,291	505	162	6,602
	<u>31,003</u>	<u>16,584</u>	<u>2,985</u>	<u>2,223</u>	<u>9,211</u>
Future net cash flows.....	13,775	8,639	2,341	1,250	1,545
Less: Discount at 10% annual rate.....	5,390	3,872	539	270	709
Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows.....	<u>\$ 8,385</u>	<u>\$ 4,767</u>	<u>\$ 1,802</u>	<u>\$ 980</u>	<u>\$ 836</u>

(a) Other includes our interests in Denmark, which were sold in August 2021, and Libya.

Changes in Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows Relating to Proved Oil and Gas Reserves

<u>For the Years Ended December 31</u>	<u>2021</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2019</u>
	(In millions)		
Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows at January 1	\$ 3,585	\$ 8,385	\$ 10,650
Changes during the year:			
Sales and transfers of oil and gas produced during the year, net of production costs	(3,282)	(1,829)	(2,842)
Development costs incurred during the year	1,437	1,479	2,262
Net changes in prices and production costs	11,321	(10,141)	(5,761)
Net change in estimated future development costs	(1,695)	1,860	(186)
Extensions and discoveries (including improved recovery) of oil and gas reserves, less related costs	2,419	543	1,591
Revisions of previous oil and gas reserve estimates	461	364	(281)
Net purchases (sales) of minerals in place, before income taxes	(196)	(500)	—
Accretion of discount	578	1,220	1,635
Net change in income taxes	(3,477)	2,091	1,305
Revision in rate or timing of future production and other changes	102	113	12
Total	7,668	(4,800)	(2,265)
Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows at December 31	\$ 11,253	\$ 3,585	\$ 8,385

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Based upon their evaluation of the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of December 31, 2021, John B. Hess, Chief Executive Officer, and John P. Rielly, Chief Financial Officer, concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2021.

There was no change in internal controls over financial reporting identified in the evaluation required by paragraph (d) of Rules 13a-15 or 15d-15 in the quarter ended December 31, 2021 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, internal controls over financial reporting.

Management's report on internal control over financial reporting and the attestation report on the Corporation's internal controls over financial reporting are included in *Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data* of this annual report on Form 10-K.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

Item 9C. Disclosure Regarding Foreign Jurisdictions that Prevent Inspections

Not applicable.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

For information regarding our executive officers, see Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Additional information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's definitive proxy statement for the 2022 annual meeting of stockholders.

The Corporation has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applicable to the Corporation's directors, officers (including the Corporation's principal executive officer and principal financial officer) and employees. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is available on the Corporation's website. In the event that we amend or waive any of the provisions of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that relate to any element of the code of ethics definition enumerated in Item 406(b) of Regulation S-K, we intend to disclose the same on the Corporation's website at www.hess.com.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information relating to executive compensation is incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's definitive proxy statement for the 2022 annual meeting of stockholders.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Information pertaining to security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's definitive proxy statement for the 2022 annual meeting of stockholders.

See Equity Compensation Plans in *Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Stock, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities* for information pertaining to securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Information relating to this item is incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's definitive proxy statement for the 2022 annual meeting of stockholders.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Information relating to this item is incorporated herein by reference to the Corporation's definitive proxy statement for the 2022 annual meeting of stockholders.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following documents are made a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

1. and 2. Financial statements and financial statement schedules

The financial statements filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K are listed in the accompanying index to financial statements and schedules in *Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*.

All other financial statement schedules required under SEC rules that are not included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, are omitted either because they are not applicable or the required information is contained in *Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*.

3. Exhibits

The exhibits required to be filed pursuant to Item 15(b) of Form 10-K are listed in the Exhibit Index filed herewith, which Exhibit Index is incorporated herein by reference.

- 3(1) Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant, including amendment thereto dated May 3, 2006 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(1) of Registrant's Form 10-Q for the three months ended June 30, 2006.
- 3(2) Certificate of Amendment to Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant, dated May 22, 2013, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(1) of Form 8-K of Registrant filed on May 22, 2013.
- 3(3) Certificate of Amendment to Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant, effective May 12, 2014, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(1) of Form 8-K of Registrant filed on May 13, 2014.
- 3(4) Certificate of Elimination of 8.00% Series A Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock of Registrant, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(4) of Form 10-K of Registrant for the year ended December 31, 2019.
- 3(5) By-Laws of Hess Corporation (as amended effective May 6, 2020) incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(1) of Form 10-Q of Registrant for the three months ended March 31, 2020.
- 4(1) Extension and Amendment Agreement, dated as of April 13, 2021, to Credit Agreement among Hess Corporation, the subsidiary party thereto, the lenders party thereto, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(1) of Form 8-K of the Registrant, filed on April 13, 2021.
- 4(2) Indenture dated as of October 1, 1999, between Registrant and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(1) of Form 10-Q of Registrant for the three months ended September 30, 1999.
- 4(3) First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 1, 1999, between Registrant and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as Trustee, relating to Registrant's 73/8% Notes due 2009 and 77/8% Notes due 2029, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(2) of Form 10-Q of Registrant for the three months ended September 30, 1999.
- 4(4) Prospectus Supplement, dated August 8, 2001, to Prospectus dated July 27, 2001 relating to Registrant's 5.30% Notes due 2004, 5.90% Notes due 2006, 6.65% Notes due 2011 and 7.30% Notes due 2031, incorporated by reference to Registrant's prospectus filed pursuant to Rule 424(b)(2) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, on August 9, 2001.
- 4(5) Prospectus Supplement, dated February 28, 2002, to Prospectus dated July 27, 2001 relating to Registrant's 7.125% Notes due 2033, incorporated by reference to Registrant's prospectus filed pursuant to Rule 424(b)(4) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, on March 1, 2002.
- 4(6) Indenture dated as of March 1, 2006, between Registrant and The Bank of New York Mellon, as successor to JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Trustee, including form of Note, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Registrant's Form S-3ASR filed on March 1, 2006.
- 4(7) Form of 6.00% Note due 2040, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(1) to Form 8-K of Registrant filed on December 15, 2009.
- 4(8) Form of 5.60% Note due 2041, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(1) to Form 8-K of Registrant filed on August 12, 2010.
- 4(9) Form of 3.50% Note due 2024, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(3) to Form 8-K of Registrant filed on June 25, 2014.
- 4(10) Form of 4.30% Note due 2027, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(1) to Form 8-K of Registrant filed on September 28, 2016.
- 4(11) Form of 5.80% Note due 2047, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(2) to Form 8-K of Registrant filed on September 28, 2016.

- 4(12) Description of Hess Corporation's Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(12) of Form 10-K of Registrant for the year ended December 31, 2019.
- 4(13) Loan Agreement, dated as of March 16, 2020, among Hess Corporation, the lenders party thereto, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(1) of Form 8-K of the Registrant, filed on March 17, 2020.
- 4(14) Amendment No. 1 dated as of June 9, 2020 to the Term Loan Agreement dated as of March 16, 2020, among Hess Corporation, the lenders party thereto, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(1) of Form 10-Q of Registrant for the three months ended June 30, 2020.
- 4(15) Amendment No.2, dated as of October 4, 2021, to the Term Loan Agreement dated as of March 16, 2020, as amended, among Hess Corporation, the subsidiary party thereto, the lenders party thereto, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(1) of Form 8-K of the Registrant, filed on October 6, 2021.
Other instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of Registrant and its consolidated subsidiaries are not being filed since the total amount of securities authorized under each such instrument does not exceed 10% of the total assets of Registrant and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. Registrant agrees to furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission a copy of any instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of Registrant and its subsidiaries upon request.
- 10(1)* Annual Cash Incentive Plan description incorporated by reference to Item 5.02 of Form 8-K of Registrant filed on March 4, 2021.
- 10(2)* Financial Counseling Program description incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(6) of Form 10-K of Registrant for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004.
- 10(3)* Hess Corporation Savings and Stock Bonus Plan incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(7) of Form 10-K of Registrant for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.
- 10(4)* Hess Corporation Pension Restoration Plan, dated January 19, 1990, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(9) of Form 10-K of Registrant for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1989. (P)
- 10(5)* Amendment, dated December 31, 2006, to Hess Corporation Pension Restoration Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(10) of Form 10-K of Registrant for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.
- 10(6)* Letter Agreement, dated May 17, 2001, between Registrant and John P. Rielly relating to Mr. Rielly's participation in the Hess Corporation Pension Restoration Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(18) of Form 10-K of Registrant for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.
- 10(7)* Amended and Restated 2008 Long-term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to exhibit 10(1) of Form 8-K of the Registrant filed on May 12, 2015.
- 10(8)* Forms of Awards under Registrant's 2008 Long-term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(14) of Form 10-K of Registrant for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.
- 10(9)* Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement under Registrant's Amended and Restated 2008 Long-term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(2) of Form 10-Q of Registrant for the three months ended March 31, 2015.
- 10(10)* Compensation program description for non-employee directors, incorporated by reference to Item 1.01 of Form 8-K of Registrant filed on January 4, 2007.
- 10(11)* Form of Amended and Restated Change in Control Termination Benefits Agreement, dated as of May 29, 2009, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(1) of Form 10-Q of Registrant for the three months ended June 30, 2009. A substantially identical agreement (differing only in the signatories thereto) was entered into between Registrant and John B. Hess.
- 10(12)* Amended and Restated Change in Control Termination Benefits Agreement, dated as of May 29, 2009, between Registrant and John P. Rielly, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(17) of Form 10-K of Registrant for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. Substantially identical agreements (differing only in the signatories thereto) were entered into between Registrant and other executive officers (including the named executive officers, other than Barbara Lowery-Yilmaz and John B. Hess).
- 10(13)* Form of Change in Control Termination Benefits Agreement, dated as of August 3, 2015, between the Registrant and Barbara Lowery-Yilmaz, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(2) of Form 10-Q of Registrant for the three months ended June 30, 2021. Substantially identical agreements (differing only in the signatories thereto) were entered into between the Registrant and other senior officers.
- 10(14)* Agreement between Registrant and Gregory P. Hill, relating to Mr. Hill's compensation and other terms of employment, incorporated by reference to Item 5.02 of Form 8-K of Registrant filed January 7, 2009.
- 10(15)* Agreement between Registrant and Timothy B. Goodell, relating to Mr. Goodell's compensation and other terms of employment, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(20) of Registrant's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.
- 10(16)* Deferred Compensation Plan of Registrant, dated December 1, 1999, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(16) of Form 10-K of Registrant for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999.

10(17)*	Hess Corporation 2017 Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(1) of Form 8-K of Registrant filed on June 13, 2017.
10(18)*	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement under the 2017 Long-Term Incentive Plan incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(1) of Form 10-Q of Registrant for the three months ended March 31, 2020.
10(19)*	Form of Stock Option Award Agreement under the 2017 Long-Term Incentive Plan incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(2) of Form 10-Q of Registrant for the three months ended March 31, 2020.
10(20)*	Form of 2019 Performance Award Agreement under the 2017 Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(3) of Form 10-Q of Registrant for the three months ended March 31, 2019.
10(21)*	Form of 2020 Performance Award Agreement under the 2017 Long-Term Incentive Plan incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(3) of Form 10-Q of Registrant for the three months ended March 31, 2020.
10(22)*	Form of 2021 Performance Award Agreement under the 2017 Long-Term Incentive Plan incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(1) of Form 10-Q of the Registrant, filed on May 6, 2021.
10(23)*	Amendment No. 1 to the Hess Corporation 2017 Long-Term Incentive Plan incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(1) of Form 8-K of the Registrant, filed on June 3, 2021.
21	Subsidiaries of Registrant.
24	Power of Attorney (included on the signatures page of this Annual Report on Form 10-K).
23(1)	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, dated March 1, 2022.
23(2)	Consent of DeGolyer and MacNaughton dated March 1, 2022.
31(1)	Certification required by Rule 13a-14(a) (17 CFR 240.13a-14(a)) or Rule 15d-14(a) (17 CFR 240.15d-14(a)).
31(2)	Certification required by Rule 13a-14(a) (17 CFR 240.13a-14(a)) or Rule 15d-14(a) (17 CFR 240.15d-14(a)).
32(1)	Certification required by Rule 13a-14(b) (17 CFR 240.13a-14(b)) or Rule 15d-14(b) (17 CFR 240.15d-14(b)) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350).
32(2)	Certification required by Rule 13a-14(b) (17 CFR 240.13a-14(b)) or Rule 15d-14(b) (17 CFR 240.15d-14(b)) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350).
99(1)	Letter report of DeGolyer and MacNaughton, Independent Petroleum Engineering Consulting Firm, dated February 2, 2022, on proved reserves audit as of December 31, 2021 of certain properties attributable to Registrant.
101(INS)	Inline XBRL Instance Document
101(SCH)	Inline XBRL Schema Document
101(CAL)	Inline XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document
101(LAB)	Inline XBRL Labels Linkbase Document
101(PRE)	Inline XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document
101(DEF)	Inline XBRL Definition Linkbase Document
104	The cover page from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2021 has been formatted in Inline XBRL.


** These exhibits relate to executive compensation plans and arrangements.*

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on the 1st day of March 2022.

HESS CORPORATION
(Registrant)

By



(John P. Rielly)
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY

Each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints John B. Hess, Timothy B. Goodell and John P. Rielly or any of them, his or her true and lawful attorneys-in-fact and agents, with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for him or her and in his or her name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to Annual Report on Form 10-K, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorneys-in-fact and agents, and each of them, full power and authority to do and to perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully and to all intents and purposes as he or she might or would do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents or any of them, or their or his or her substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
/s/ John B. Hess <hr/> John B. Hess	Director and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	March 1, 2022
/s/ James H. Quigley <hr/> James H. Quigley	Director and Chairman of the Board	March 1, 2022
/s/ Terrence J. Checki <hr/> Terrence J. Checki	Director	March 1, 2022
/s/ Leonard S. Coleman Jr. <hr/> Leonard S. Coleman Jr.	Director	March 1, 2022
/s/ Edith E. Holiday <hr/> Edith E. Holiday	Director	March 1, 2022
/s/ Marc S. Lipschultz <hr/> Marc S. Lipschultz	Director	March 1, 2022
/s/ Raymond J. McGuire <hr/> Raymond J. McGuire	Director	March 1, 2022
/s/ David McManus <hr/> David McManus	Director	March 1, 2022
/s/ Dr. Kevin O. Meyers <hr/> Dr. Kevin O. Meyers	Director	March 1, 2022
/s/ Karyn F. Ovelmen <hr/> Karyn F. Ovelmen	Director	March 1, 2022
/s/ John P. Rielly <hr/> John P. Rielly	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	March 1, 2022
/s/ William G. Schrader <hr/> William G. Schrader	Director	March 1, 2022

HESS CORPORATION AND CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES
SUBSIDIARIES OF THE REGISTRANT

Name of Company	Registrant Ownership %	Jurisdiction
Hess Asia Holdings Inc.	100	Cayman Islands
Hess Bakken Investments II, LLC	100	Delaware
Hess Bakken Investments III, LLC	100	Delaware
Hess Bakken Investments IV, LLC	100	Delaware
Hess Bakken Processing LLC	43.5	Delaware
Hess Baldpate-Penn State LLC	100	Delaware
Hess Canada (Aspy) Exploration Limited	100	Cayman Islands
Hess Canada Exploration Limited	100	Cayman Islands
Hess Canada Oil and Gas ULC	100	Nova Scotia, Canada
Hess Capital Limited	100	Cayman Islands
Hess Capital Services Holdings, LLC	100	Delaware
Hess Capital Services Limited	100	Cayman Islands
Hess Capital Services LLC	100	Delaware
Hess Conger LLC	100	Delaware
Hess Energy Exploration LLC	100	Delaware
Hess Equatorial Guinea Investments Limited	100	Cayman Islands
Hess Exploration and Production Holdings LLC	100	Delaware
Hess Exploration and Production Malaysia B.V.	100	The Netherlands
Hess Exploration Services, Inc.	100	Delaware
Hess Finance	100	England & Wales
Hess GOM Deepwater LLC	100	Delaware
Hess GOM Deepwater Sub-Holdings LLC	100	Delaware
Hess GOM Exploration LLC	100	Delaware
Hess Guyana (Block B) Exploration Limited	100	Cayman Islands
Hess Guyana (Liza) Exploration Limited	100	Cayman Islands
Hess Guyana Exploration Limited	100	Cayman Islands
Hess Holdings EG Limited	100	Cayman Islands
Hess Holdings GOM Ventures LLC	100	Delaware
Hess Holdings West Africa Limited	100	Cayman Islands
Hess (Indonesia-VIII) Holdings Limited	100	Cayman Islands
Hess Infrastructure Partners LP	43.5	Delaware
Hess International Holdings Corporation	100	Delaware
Hess International Holdings Limited	100	Cayman Islands
Hess International Receivables Limited	100	Cayman Islands
Hess International Sales LLC	100	Delaware
Hess Libya Exploration Limited	100	Cayman Islands
Hess Libya (Waha) Limited	100	Cayman Islands
Hess Limited	100	England & Wales
Hess Llano LLC	100	Delaware
Hess Middle East New Ventures Limited	100	Cayman Islands

Name of Company	Registrant Ownership %	Jurisdiction
Hess Midstream Operations LP	43.5	Delaware
Hess Midstream Partners GP LP	43.5	Delaware
Hess New Ventures Exploration Limited	100	Cayman Islands
Hess North Dakota Export Logistics Holdings LLC	43.5	Delaware
Hess North Dakota Export Logistics LLC	43.5	Delaware
Hess North Dakota Export Logistics Operations LP	43.5	Delaware
Hess North Dakota Pipelines Holdings LLC	43.5	Delaware
Hess North Dakota Pipelines LLC	43.5	Delaware
Hess NWE Holdings	100	England & Wales
Hess Offshore Response Company, LLC	100	Delaware
Hess Ohio Developments, LLC	100	Delaware
Hess Ohio Holdings, LLC	100	Delaware
Hess Ohio Sub-Holdings LLC	100	Delaware
Hess Oil & Gas Sdn. Bhd.	100	Malaysia
Hess Oil and Gas Holdings Inc.	100	Cayman Islands
Hess Oil and Gas International Limited	100	Bermuda
Hess Oil and Gas International II Limited	100	Cayman Islands
Hess Oil Company of Thailand (JDA) Limited	100	Cayman Islands
Hess Oil Company of Thailand Ltd. Co.	100	Texas
Hess Oil Production and Exploration LLC	100	Delaware
Hess Services UK Limited	100	England & Wales
Hess Stampede LLC	100	Delaware
Hess Suriname Exploration Limited	100	Cayman Islands
Hess Tank Cars Holdings II LLC	43.5	Delaware
Hess Tank Cars LLC	43.5	Delaware
Hess Tank Cars II LLC	43.5	Delaware
Hess TGP Finance Company LLC	100	Delaware
Hess TGP Holdings LLC	43.5	Delaware
Hess TGP Operations LP	43.5	Delaware
Hess Tioga Gas Plant LLC	43.5	Delaware
Hess Trading Corporation	100	Delaware
Hess Tubular Bells LLC	100	Delaware
Hess Water Services LLC	43.5	Delaware
Hess West Africa Holdings Limited	100	Cayman Islands

Each of the foregoing subsidiaries conducts business under the name listed. The above list does not include 56 subsidiary holding companies (20 domestic and 36 non-U.S.) that would otherwise be reported except that they are ultimately 100% owned by the Registrant and, as their line of business, fulfill similar roles to those holding companies separately identified in the above list. In addition, we have excluded subsidiaries associated with divested assets, discontinued activities and those that when considered in the aggregate as a single subsidiary, would not constitute a significant subsidiary.

Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the following Registration Statements:

- (1) Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 333-43569) pertaining to the Hess Corporation Employees' Savings Plan,
- (2) Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 333-150992) pertaining to the Hess Corporation Amended and Restated 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan and the Hess Corporation 2017 Long-Term Incentive Plan,
- (3) Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 333-167076) pertaining to the Hess Corporation Amended and Restated 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan and the Hess Corporation 2017 Long-Term Incentive Plan,
- (4) Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 333-181704) pertaining to the Hess Corporation Amended and Restated 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan and the Hess Corporation 2017 Long-Term Incentive Plan,
- (5) Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 333-204929) pertaining to the Hess Corporation Amended and Restated 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan and the Hess Corporation 2017 Long-Term Incentive Plan,
- (6) Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 333-219113) pertaining to the Hess Corporation 2017 Long-Term Incentive Plan,
- (7) Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 333-257070) pertaining to the Hess Corporation 2017 Long-Term Incentive Plan, and
- (8) Registration Statement (Form S-3 No. 333-253681) of Hess Corporation;

of our reports dated March 1, 2022, with respect to the consolidated financial statements of Hess Corporation and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting of Hess Corporation included in this Annual Report (Form 10-K) of Hess Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2021.



New York, New York
March 1, 2022

DeGolyer and MacNaughton
5001 Spring Valley Road
Suite 800 East
Dallas, Texas 75244

March 1, 2022

Hess Corporation
1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We hereby consent to the use of the name DeGolyer and MacNaughton, to references to DeGolyer and MacNaughton as an independent petroleum engineering consulting firm, to references to our report of third party dated February 2, 2022, containing our opinion on the estimated proved reserves, as of December 31, 2021, attributable to certain properties in which Hess Corporation has represented it holds an interest (our "Report") under the heading "Proved Oil and Gas Reserves—Reserves Audit," and to the inclusion of our Report as an exhibit in Hess Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2021. We also consent to all such references, including under the heading "Experts," and to the incorporation by reference of our Report in the Registration Statements filed by Hess Corporation on Form S-3 (No. 333-253681) and Form S-8 (No. 333-43569, No. 333-150992, No. 333-167076, No. 333-181704, No. 333-204929, No. 333-219113, and No. 333-257070).

Very truly yours,




DeGOLYER and MacNAUGHTON
Texas Registered Engineering Firm F-716

I, John B. Hess, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Hess Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's Board of Directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

By




John B. Hess
Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 1, 2022

I, John P. Rielly, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Hess Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's Board of Directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

By




John P. Rielly
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

Date: March 1, 2022

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Hess Corporation (the Corporation) on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2021 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the Report), I, John B. Hess, Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Corporation.

By  _____
John B. Hess
Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 1, 2022


A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Corporation and will be retained by the Corporation and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Hess Corporation (the Corporation) on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2021 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the Report), I, John P. Rielly, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Corporation, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Corporation.

By



John P. Rielly
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

Date: March 1, 2022

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Corporation and will be retained by the Corporation and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

DeGolyer and MacNaughton
5001 Spring Valley Road
Suite 800 East
Dallas, Texas 75244

February 2, 2022

Board of Directors
Hess Corporation
1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to your request, this report of third party presents an independent evaluation, as of December 31, 2021, of the net proved oil, condensate, natural gas liquids (NGL), and gas reserves of certain properties in which Hess Corporation (Hess) has represented it holds an interest to determine the reasonableness of Hess' estimates. This evaluation was completed on February 2, 2022. Hess has represented that these properties account for approximately 88 percent on a net equivalent barrel basis of Hess' net proved reserves, as of December 31, 2021, and that the net proved reserves estimates have been prepared in accordance with the reserves definitions of Rules 4-10(a) (1)–(32) of Regulation S–X of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). It is our opinion that the procedures and methodologies employed by Hess for the preparation of its proved reserves estimates as of December 31, 2021, comply with the current requirements of the SEC. We have reviewed information provided by Hess that it represents to be Hess' estimates of the net reserves, as of December 31, 2021, for the same properties as those which we evaluated. This report was prepared in accordance with guidelines specified in Item 1202 (a)(8) of Regulation S–K and is to be used for inclusion in certain SEC filings by Hess.

Reserves estimates included herein are expressed as net reserves as represented by Hess. Gross reserves are defined as the total estimated petroleum remaining to be produced from these properties after December 31, 2021. Net reserves are defined as that portion of the gross reserves attributable to the interests held by Hess after deducting all interests held by others.

Certain properties evaluated herein are subject to the terms of production sharing contracts (PSC). The terms of these PSCs generally allow for working interest participants to be reimbursed for portions of capital costs and operating expenses and to share in the profits. The reimbursements and profit proceeds are converted to a barrel of oil equivalent or standard cubic foot of gas equivalent by dividing by product prices to estimate the “entitlement quantities.” These entitlement quantities are equivalent in principle to net reserves and are used to calculate an equivalent net share, termed an “entitlement interest.” In this report, Hess’ net reserves or interest for the properties subject to these PSCs is the entitlement based on Hess’ working interest.

Estimates of reserves should be regarded only as estimates that may change as further production history and additional information become available. Not only are such estimates based on that information which is currently available, but such estimates are also subject to the uncertainties inherent in the application of judgmental factors in interpreting such information.

Information used in the preparation of this report was obtained from Hess. In the preparation of this report we have relied, without independent verification, upon such information furnished by Hess with respect to the property interests being evaluated, production from such properties, current costs of operation and development, current prices for production, agreements relating to current and future operations and sale of production, and various other information and data that were accepted as represented. A field examination was not considered necessary for the purposes of this report.

Definition of Reserves

Petroleum reserves estimated by Hess included in this report are classified as proved. Only proved reserves have been evaluated for this report. Reserves classifications used by Hess in this report are in accordance with the reserves definitions of Rules 4–10(a) (1)–(32) of Regulation S–X of the SEC. Reserves are judged to be economically producible in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions and assuming continuation of current regulatory practices using conventional production methods and equipment. In the analyses of production-decline curves, reserves were estimated only to the limit of economic rates of production under existing economic and operating conditions using prices and costs consistent with the effective date of this report, including consideration of changes in existing prices provided only by contractual arrangements but not including escalations based upon future conditions. The petroleum reserves are classified as follows:

Proved oil and gas reserves – Proved oil and gas reserves are those quantities of oil and gas, which, by analysis of geoscience and engineering data, can be estimated with reasonable certainty to be economically producible—from a given date forward, from known reservoirs, and under existing economic conditions, operating methods, and government regulations—prior to the time at which contracts providing the right to operate expire, unless evidence indicates that renewal is reasonably certain, regardless of whether deterministic or probabilistic methods are used for the estimation. The project to extract the hydrocarbons must have commenced or the operator must be reasonably certain that it will commence the project within a reasonable time.

(i) The area of the reservoir considered as proved includes: (A) The area identified by drilling and limited by fluid contacts, if any; and, (B) Adjacent undrilled portions of the reservoir that can, with reasonable certainty, be judged to be continuous with it and to contain economically producible oil or gas on the basis of available geoscience and engineering data.

(ii) In the absence of data on fluid contacts, proved quantities in a reservoir are limited by the lowest known hydrocarbons (LKH) as seen in a well penetration unless geoscience, engineering, or performance data and reliable technology establishes a lower contact with reasonable certainty.

(iii) Where direct observation from well penetrations has defined a highest known oil (HKO) elevation and the potential exists for an associated gas cap, proved oil reserves may be assigned in the structurally higher portions of the reservoir only if geoscience, engineering, or performance data and reliable technology establish the higher contact with reasonable certainty.

(iv) Reserves which can be produced economically through application of improved recovery techniques (including, but not limited to, fluid injection) are included in the proved classification when:

(A) Successful testing by a pilot project in an area of the reservoir with properties no more favorable than in the reservoir as a whole, the

operation of an installed program in the reservoir or an analogous reservoir, or other evidence using reliable technology establishes the reasonable certainty of the engineering analysis on which the project or program was based; and, (B) The project has been approved for development by all necessary parties and entities, including governmental entities.

(v) Existing economic and operating conditions include prices and costs at which economic producibility from a reservoir is to be determined. The price shall be the average price during the 12-month period prior to the ending date of the period covered by the report, determined as an unweighted arithmetic average of the first-day-of-the-month price for each month within such period, unless prices are defined by contractual arrangements, excluding escalations based upon future conditions.

Developed oil and gas reserves – Developed oil and gas reserves are reserves of any category that can be expected to be recovered:

- (i) Through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods or in which the cost of the required equipment is relatively minor compared to the cost of a new well; and
- (ii) Through installed extraction equipment and infrastructure operational at the time of the reserves estimate if the extraction is by means not involving a well.

Undeveloped oil and gas reserves – Undeveloped oil and gas reserves are reserves of any category that are expected to be recovered from new wells on undrilled acreage, or from existing wells where a relatively major expenditure is required for recompletion.

- (i) Reserves on undrilled acreage shall be limited to those directly offsetting development spacing areas that are reasonably certain of production when drilled, unless evidence using reliable technology exists that establishes reasonable certainty of economic producibility at greater distances.

(ii) Undrilled locations can be classified as having undeveloped reserves only if a development plan has been adopted indicating that they are scheduled to be drilled within five years, unless the specific circumstances justify a longer time.

(iii) Under no circumstances shall estimates for undeveloped reserves be attributable to any acreage for which an application of fluid injection or other improved recovery technique is contemplated, unless such techniques have been proved effective by actual projects in the same reservoir or an analogous reservoir, as defined in [Section 210.4–10(a) Definitions], or by other evidence using reliable technology establishing reasonable certainty.

Methodology and Procedures

Estimates of reserves were prepared by the use of appropriate geologic, petroleum engineering, and evaluation principles and techniques that are in accordance with the reserves definitions of Rules 4–10(a) (1)–(32) of Regulation S–X of the SEC and with practices generally recognized by the petroleum industry as presented in the publication of the Society of Petroleum Engineers entitled “Standards Pertaining to the Estimating and Auditing of Oil and Gas Reserves Information (revised June 2019) Approved by the SPE Board on 25 June 2019” and in Monograph 3 and Monograph 4 published by the Society of Petroleum Evaluation Engineers. The method or combination of methods used in the analysis of each reservoir was tempered by experience with similar reservoirs, stage of development, quality and completeness of basic data, and production history.

Based on the current stage of field development, production performance, the development plans provided by Hess, and analyses of areas offsetting existing wells with test or production data, reserves were classified as proved.

The proved undeveloped reserves estimates were based on opportunities identified in the plan of development provided by Hess.

Hess has represented that its senior management is committed to the development plan provided by Hess and that Hess has the financial capability to execute the development plan, including the drilling and completion of wells and the installation of equipment and facilities.

For the evaluation of unconventional reservoirs, a performance-based methodology integrating the appropriate geology and petroleum engineering data was utilized for this

report. Performance-based methodology primarily includes (1) production diagnostics, (2) decline-curve analysis, and (3) model-based analysis (if necessary, based on availability of data). Production diagnostics include data quality control, identification of flow regimes, and characteristic well performance behavior. These analyses were performed for all well groupings (or type-curve areas).

Characteristic rate-decline profiles from diagnostic interpretation were translated to modified hyperbolic rate profiles, including one or multiple b-exponent values followed by an exponential decline. Based on the availability of data, model-based analysis may be integrated to evaluate long-term decline behavior, the effect of dynamic reservoir and fracture parameters on well performance, and complex situations sourced by the nature of unconventional reservoirs.

When applicable, the volumetric method was used to estimate the original oil in place (OOIP) and original gas in place (OGIP). Structure maps were prepared to delineate each reservoir, and isopach maps were constructed to estimate reservoir volume. Electrical logs, radioactivity logs, core analyses, and other available data were used to prepare these maps as well as to estimate representative values for porosity and water saturation. When adequate data were available and when circumstances justified, material-balance methods were used to estimate OOIP or OGIP.

Estimates of ultimate recovery were obtained after applying recovery factors to OOIP and OGIP. These recovery factors were based on consideration of the type of energy inherent in the reservoirs, analyses of the petroleum, the structural positions of the properties, and the production histories. When applicable, material balance and other engineering methods were used to estimate recovery factors based on an analysis of reservoir performance, including production rate, reservoir pressure, and reservoir fluid properties.

For depletion-type reservoirs or those whose performance disclosed a reliable decline in producing-rate trends or other diagnostic characteristics, reserves were estimated by the application of appropriate decline curves or other performance relationships. In the analyses of production-decline curves, reserves were estimated only to the limits of economic production as defined under the Definition of Reserves heading of this report or the expiration of the fiscal agreement, as appropriate.

In certain cases, reserves were estimated by incorporating elements of analogy with similar wells or reservoirs for which more complete data were available.

In the evaluation of undeveloped reserves, type-well analysis was performed using well data from analogous reservoirs for which more complete historical performance data were available.

Data provided by Hess from wells drilled through December 31, 2021, and made available for this evaluation were used to prepare the reserves estimates herein. These reserves estimates were based on consideration of monthly production data available only through August 2021. Estimated cumulative production, as of December 31, 2021, was deducted from the estimated gross ultimate recovery to estimate gross reserves. This required that production be estimated for up to 4 months.

Oil and condensate reserves estimated herein are to be recovered by normal field separation. NGL reserves estimated herein include pentanes and heavier fractions (C5+) and liquefied petroleum gas (LPG), which consists primarily of propane and butane fractions, and are the result of low-temperature plant processing. Oil, condensate, and NGL reserves included in this report are expressed in millions of barrels (106bbl). In these estimates, 1 barrel equals 42 United States gallons. For reporting purposes, oil and condensate reserves have been estimated separately and are presented herein as a summed quantity.

Gas quantities estimated herein are expressed as marketable gas and fuel gas. Marketable gas is defined as the total gas produced from the reservoir after reduction for shrinkage resulting from field separation; processing, including removal of the nonhydrocarbon gas to meet pipeline specifications; and flare and other losses but not from fuel usage. Fuel gas is that portion of the gas consumed in field operations. Gas reserves estimated herein are reported as marketable gas; therefore, fuel gas is included as reserves. Gas quantities are expressed at a temperature base of 60 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) and at a pressure base of 14.7 pounds per square inch absolute (psia). Gas quantities included in this report are expressed in billions of cubic feet (109ft³).

Gas quantities are identified by the type of reservoir from which the gas will be produced. Nonassociated gas is gas at initial reservoir conditions with no oil present in the reservoir. Associated gas includes both gas-cap gas and solution gas. Gas-cap gas is gas at initial reservoir conditions and is in communication with an underlying oil zone. Solution gas is gas dissolved in oil at initial reservoir conditions. Gas quantities estimated herein include both associated and nonassociated gas.

At the request of Hess, marketable gas reserves estimated herein were converted to oil equivalent using an energy equivalent factor of 6,000 cubic feet of gas per 1 barrel of oil equivalent.

Primary Economic Assumptions

This report has been prepared using initial prices, expenses, and costs provided by Hess in United States dollars (U.S.\$). Future prices were estimated using guidelines established by the SEC and the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). The following economic assumptions were used for estimating the reserves reported herein:

Oil and Condensate Prices

Hess has represented that the oil and condensate prices were based on a reference price, calculated as the unweighted arithmetic average of the first-day-of-the-month price for each month within the 12-month period prior to the end of the reporting period, unless prices are defined by contractual agreements. The 12-month average reference prices used were U.S.\$66.34 per barrel for West Texas Intermediate and U.S.\$68.92 per barrel for Brent. Hess supplied differentials by field to the relevant reference prices and the prices were held constant thereafter. The volume-weighted average price attributable to the estimated proved reserves over the lives of the independently evaluated properties was U.S.\$62.96 per barrel of oil and condensate.

NGL Prices

Hess has represented that the NGL prices were based on a reference price, calculated as the unweighted arithmetic average of the first-day-of-the-month price for each month within the 12-month period prior to the end of the reporting period, unless prices are defined by contractual agreements. The volume weighted average price attributable to the estimated proved reserves over the lives of the independently evaluated properties was U.S.\$26.31 per barrel of NGL.

Gas Prices

Hess has represented that gas prices were based on reference prices, calculated as the unweighted arithmetic average of the first-day-of- the-month price for each month within the 12-month period prior to the end of the reporting period, unless prices are defined by contractual agreements. The 12-month average reference price for

NYMEX was U.S.\$3.68 per million Btu. The gas prices were adjusted for each property using differentials to the NYMEX reference price furnished by Hess and held constant thereafter. The volume-weighted average price attributable to the estimated proved reserves over the lives of the independently evaluated properties was U.S.\$3.71 per thousand cubic feet of gas.

Operating Expenses, Capital Costs, and Abandonment Costs

Estimates of operating expenses, provided by Hess and based on current expenses, were held constant for the lives of the properties. Future capital expenditures were estimated using 2021 values, provided by Hess, and were not adjusted for inflation. In certain cases, future expenditures, either higher or lower than current expenditures, may have been used because of anticipated changes in operating conditions, but no general escalation that might result from inflation was applied. Abandonment costs, which are those costs associated with the removal of equipment, plugging of wells, and reclamation and restoration associated with the abandonment, were provided by Hess and were not adjusted for inflation. Operating expenses, capital costs, and abandonment costs were considered, as appropriate, in determining the economic viability of the undeveloped reserves.

In our opinion, the information relating to estimated proved reserves of oil, condensate, NGL, and gas contained in this report has been prepared in accordance with Paragraphs 932-235-50-4, 932-235-50-6, 932-235-50-7, and 932-235-50-9 of the Accounting Standards Update 932-235-50, *Extractive Industries – Oil and Gas (Topic 932): Oil and Gas Reserve Estimation and Disclosures* (January 2010) of the FASB and Rules 4-10(a) (1)–(32) of Regulation S-X and Rules 302(b), 1201, 1202(a) (1), (2), (3), (4), (8), and 1203(a) of Regulation S-K of the SEC; provided, however, that estimates of proved developed and proved undeveloped reserves are not presented at the beginning of the year.

To the extent the above-enumerated rules, regulations, and statements require determinations of an accounting or legal nature, we, as engineers, are necessarily unable to express an opinion as to whether the above-described information is in accordance therewith or sufficient therefor.

Summary of Conclusions

Hess has represented that its estimated net proved reserves attributable to the evaluated properties were based on the definition of proved reserves of the SEC. Hess' estimates of the net proved reserves, as of December 31, 2021, attributable to these properties, which represent approximately 88 percent of Hess' reserves on a net equivalent basis, are summarized as follows, expressed in millions of barrels (106bbl), billions of cubic feet (109ft3), and millions of barrels of oil equivalent (106boe):

Estimated by Hess				
Net Proved Reserves as of December 31, 2021				
	Oil and Condensate (10⁶bbl)	NGL (10⁶bbl)	Marketable Gas (10⁹ft³)	Oil Equivalent (10⁶boe)
United States	466	229	876	841
Guyana	205	0	48	213
Malaysia and JDA	5	0	525	93
Total	676	229	1,449	1,147

1. Marketable gas reserves estimated herein were converted to oil equivalent using an energy equivalent factor of 6,000 cubic feet of gas per 1 barrel of oil equivalent.
2. Net proved fuel gas reserves included as a portion of marketable gas reserves were estimated to be 150 10⁹ft³.
3. Joint Development Area is abbreviated JDA.

In comparing the detailed net proved reserves estimates by field prepared by DeGolyer and MacNaughton and by Hess, differences have been found, both positive and negative, resulting in an aggregate difference of less than 2.5 percent when compared on the basis of net equivalent barrels. It is DeGolyer and MacNaughton’s opinion that the total net proved reserves estimates prepared by Hess, as of December 31, 2021, on the properties evaluated and referred to above, when compared on the basis of net equivalent barrels, do not differ materially from those prepared by DeGolyer and MacNaughton.

Hess’ oil and gas reserves were estimated assuming the continuation of the current regulatory environment.

Changes in the regulatory environment by host governments may affect the operating environment and oil and gas reserves estimates of industry participants. Such regulatory changes could include increased mandatory government participation in producing contracts, changes in royalty terms, cancellation or amendment of contract rights, or expropriation or nationalization of property. While the oil and gas industry is subject to regulatory changes that could affect an industry participant’s ability to recover its reserves, neither we nor Hess are aware of any such governmental actions which restrict the recovery of the December 31, 2021, estimated reserves.

DeGolyer and MacNaughton is an independent petroleum engineering consulting firm that has been providing petroleum consulting services throughout the world since 1936. DeGolyer and MacNaughton does not have any financial interest, including stock ownership, in Hess. Our fees were not contingent on the results of our evaluation. This report has been prepared at the request of Hess. DeGolyer and MacNaughton has used all data, procedures, assumptions and methods that it considers necessary to prepare this report.

Submitted,

DeGolyer and MacNaughton

DeGOLYER and MacNAUGHTON
Texas Registered Engineering Firm F-716



Federico Dordoni

Federico Dordoni, P.E.
Senior Vice President
DeGolyer and MacNaughton

CERTIFICATE of QUALIFICATION

I, Federico Dordoni, Petroleum Engineer with DeGolyer and MacNaughton, 5001 Spring Valley Road, Suite 800 East, Dallas, Texas, 75244 U.S.A., hereby certify:

1. That I am a Senior Vice President with DeGolyer and MacNaughton, which firm did prepare the report of third party addressed to Hess dated February 2, 2022, and that I, as Senior Vice President, was responsible for the preparation of this report of third party.
2. That I attended Buenos Aires Institute of Technology (ITBA) University, and that I graduated with a degree in Petroleum Engineering in the year 2004; that I am a Registered Professional Engineer in the State of Texas; that I am a member of the Society of Petroleum Engineers and the Society of Petroleum Evaluation Engineers; and that I have in excess of 17 years of experience in oil and gas reservoir studies and reserves evaluations.



Federico Dordoni

Federico Dordoni, P.E.
Senior Vice President
DeGolyer and MacNaughton

Corporate Information

COMMON STOCK

Listed New York Stock Exchange
(TICKER SYMBOL: HES)

Transfer Agent and Registrar

Computershare
P.O. Box 505000
Louisville, KY 40233-5000
TELEPHONE: 866-203-6215

FOR OVERNIGHT DELIVERIES:

Computershare
462 South 4th Street, Suite 1600
Louisville, KY 40202

SHAREHOLDER WEBSITE:

www.computershare.com/investor

SHAREHOLDER ONLINE INQUIRIES:

www-us.computershare.com/investor/contact

DOCUMENTS AVAILABLE

Copies of the corporation's 2021 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and annual proxy statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), as well as the corporation's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Corporate Governance Guidelines, and charters of the Audit Committee, Compensation and Management Development Committee and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee of the Board of Directors, are available, without charge, on our website (www.hess.com) or upon written request to the Corporate Secretary, EMAIL: corporatesecretary@hess.com.

The corporation has also filed with the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) its annual certification that the corporation's chief executive officer is not aware of any violation of the NYSE's corporate governance standards. The corporation has also filed with the SEC the certifications of its chief executive officer and chief financial officer required under SEC Rule 13a-14(a) as exhibits to its 2021 Form 10-K.

DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT PLAN

Information concerning the Dividend Reinvestment Plan available to holders of Hess Corporation common stock may be obtained at www.computershare.com/investor, by writing to Computershare, Dividend Reinvestment Department, P.O. Box 505000, Louisville, KY 40233-5000, or by calling 1-866-203-6215.

HESS WEBSITE: www.hess.com



The Hess Annual Report cover and editorial sections are printed on recycled paper made from fiber sourced from well managed forests and other controlled wood sources and independently certified to Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) standards.

© 2022 Hess Corporation



www.hess.com